What Wyatt Really Did to Aretino’s *I Sette Salmi*

*Introduction: Catholic/Protestant = Medieval/Early Modern?*

I have of course, in the spirit of *imitatio*, borrowed the title of my paper from C.S. Lewis’s essay ‘What Chaucer Really Did to *Il Filostrato*’. Lewis famously claimed that Chaucer ‘medievalized’ Boccaccio’s proto-Renaissance poem, as ‘Boccaccio […] wrote for an audience who were beginning to look at poetry in our own way’ whereas ‘Chaucer wrote for an audience who still looked at poetry in the medieval fashion – a fashion for which the real literary units were “matters”, “stories”, and the like, rather than individual authors’.[[1]](#footnote-1) This alleged process is an inversion of what a number of commentators have claimed for Wyatt’s translation of Pietro Aretino’s prose paraphrase of the Penitential Psalms – that is, that Wyatt’s translation is a Protestant reforming of Aretino’s paraphrase, which is part of a long-established Catholic tradition of rewriting the seven psalms.

For example, Harold Mason claims that ‘we can see in what spirit Wyatt handled Aretino. There is no doubt that a full and faithful translation of Aretino would seem to us a sickening performance, offensive alike to literary and religious taste’.[[2]](#footnote-2) What exactly is meant by ‘religious taste’ is not clear, but Mason does confirm that Wyatt ‘avoids all that is characteristic of the Catholic account’.[[3]](#footnote-3) R.A. Rebholz judiciously translates Mason’s ‘sickening performance’ when he says that Wyatt ‘draws away from Aretino partly because of the Italian’s verbosity’, which is undoubtedly true. Following Mason, Rebholz claims that ‘Wyatt departs from Aretino in order […] to create a shape for the whole work that presents a Reformed Christian’s view of the individual’s experience of redemption rather than a Roman Catholic’s’, clarifying the Reformed Christian’s view by arguing that Wyatt attempts

to make David the type of Reformed Christian who experiences the genuinely profound, almost despairing sense of his sinfulness only once before the critical act of believing that God forgives him, justifies him by imputing righteousness to him, loves him, and will make him holy.[[4]](#footnote-4)

Indeed, Wyatt’s David proclaims in the *De profundis* (Ps. 130.4-5) that because ‘in thi hand is mercys resedence / By hope whe[re]off thou dost our hertes move; / I in the, lord, have set *my confydence*’ (681-3, emphasis added).[[5]](#footnote-5) Yet Aretino also enacts the movement from despair to assured hope in his extended paraphrase of the same lines: ‘sempre sperai in lui, e sempre *mi co[n]fidai* ne lo ammendarmi [...]. E p[er]che io so senza alcun dubbio di hauere a trovare pace seco ... voglio rallegrarmi in me[z]zo e la mia tristitia’ (emphasis added) (‘always I will have hope in him, and always have confidence in his amendment of me [...] And because I know, without any doubt, that I will find peace with him [...] I will rejoice even in the midst of my tears’).[[6]](#footnote-6) Does this make Aretino a Reformed Christian? Or are both authors responding to the soteriology of the sequence itself? Stephen Greenblatt’s reading of the sequence points us towards an answer to the second question, following which I will turn to the first.

Greenblatt, echoing Mason and Rebholz, claims that ‘Wyatt captures the authentic voice of early English Protestantism, its mingled humility and militancy, its desire to submit without intermediary directly to God’s will, and above all its inwardness’.[[7]](#footnote-7) However, he adds a significant codicil: ‘Though Wyatt gives it both a personal and markedly Protestant cast, the inwardness of the penitential psalms is by no means either his own innovation or the invention of the early sixteenth-century Reformers. It is embedded in the poems themselves’.[[8]](#footnote-8) The ‘inwardness’ which is the primary characteristic of Wyatt’s allegedly evangelized Penitential Psalms is found inwardly, as always-already within the sequence, which had been a mainstay of medieval Catholicism.[[9]](#footnote-9) Even Mason notes that ‘Wyatt had a detailed exposition before him in Fisher of the stages of penance’.[[10]](#footnote-10) Wyatt’s reformation of Aretino’s text must then take place at the basic verbal level. The verbosity Rebholz notes, which Mason found ‘sickening’, is – according to this critical tradition – to be torn away in a linguistic corollary to the stripping of the altars (to use Duffy’s phrase), as Thomas Greene argues: ‘This suppression of ornament and [...] imagistic asceticism, is essential to Wyatt’s language because it strips the word of its aesthetic pretentiousness and leaves it as a naked gauge of integrity’.[[11]](#footnote-11) This argument is on safer ground, although Wyatt’s sparseness is a characteristic of his overall poetic output – we find it for example in his divestment of Petrarch’s rich *integumenta* – and not an exclusive characteristic of his translation of the psalms.

Through this critical accumulation we become aware of the shortcomings of the ‘Protestant Poetics’ narrative that has become the mainstay of commentary upon Wyatt’s sequence.[[12]](#footnote-12) It is a narrative underpinned by a periodization that privileges the early modern at the expense of a denigrated Middle Ages by means of a Protestant/Catholic dividing line that was still blurred in the mid-1530s. As we shall see when discussing Aretino’s doctrinal position, the distinction between ‘Reformed Christian’ and ‘Roman Catholic’ is a false dichotomy – Aretino was a Catholic in favour of reforming the Church, who associated with leading Italian reformers or *spirituali* who supported Luther.

The critical commonplace that in the Penitential Psalms ‘Wyatt captures the authentic voice of early English Protestantism’ stems in part from Wyatt himself. In the *Defence* which he composed in 1541 following allegations of treason made against him by Bishop Edmund Bonner and Dr Simon Heynes, as part of which Wyatt was accused of consorting with Catholics, he claimed that ‘I thynke I shulde have more adoe with a great sorte in Inglande to purge my selffe of suspecte of a Lutherane then of a Papyst’.[[13]](#footnote-13) Indeed, Wyatt was known on occasion to fraternize with the evangelicals who frequented Queen Anne’s court.[[14]](#footnote-14) However, Wyatt’s statement clearly shows that he aligns himself with neither extreme – his way is the via media, and in this he was entirely consonant with early Anglican doctrine.

The image of Wyatt as The Protestant Poet is also partly the responsibility of the Earl of Surrey, who in an elegiac sonnet which prefaces the Psalms in Wyatt’s holograph, the Egerton MS, notes how Wyatt

dothe paynte the lyvely faythe and pure,

The stedfast hoope, the swete returne to grace

Of iust Dauyd by parfite penytence,

Where Rewlers may se in a myrrour clere

The bitter frewte of false concupicense.[[15]](#footnote-15)

However, against the critical tradition which is founded upon these two statements I recommend Rivkah Zim’s very sensible point that whereas early modern imitation of classical texts emphasizes rupture and alterity, ‘in the case of psalm versions we should emphasize rather familiarity and continuity-in-change. Despite the doctrinal upheavals of the period sixteenth-century readers and writers continued to participate in a long and relatively stable tradition of Christian devotion’.[[16]](#footnote-16) Raymond Southall also tempers the ‘Protestant Poetics’ reading, arguing instead that ‘In Wyatt, then, can be perceived that impulse which was forming itself into a movement of reformation and in his poetry is revealed something of that crisis of consciousness which must have had a catalytic effect upon the diverse elements of the new civilization which were appearing’, a view echoed by Susan Brigden in her recent biography of Wyatt.[[17]](#footnote-17) The process of division was not yet complete.

Indeed, many of the evangelical elements in Wyatt’s poem are to be found in his principal Italian source, Aretino’s *I sette salmi de la penitentia di David* (1534), which is not as conservatively Catholic as certain commentators would like to think. If Chaucer medievalized Boccaccio (which I am not at all convinced he did), then Wyatt politicized Aretino, but – and it is a significant but – in doing so Wyatt was drawing on an established late medieval tradition in English rewritings of the Penitential Psalms, as Lynn Staley, amongst others, has pointed out, just as Wyatt’s lyrics drew on the fourteenth-century politicized love elegy, as has been discussed by James Simpson.[[18]](#footnote-18) Wyatt’s sequence balances traditional and evangelical theology primarily because the early Anglican Church did the same. Again, the clear distinction between Catholic Aretino and Protestant Wyatt is more indebted to a literary history which seeks to divorce early modern England from its medieval inheritance than it is to a radical break enacted by Wyatt’s sequence. I argue here that Wyatt uses Aretino’s paraphrase, and Antonio Brucioli’s 1534 commentary – a source previously unidentified – to correct the doctrinal inconsistencies of the Ten Articles of 1536 and the Bishops’ Book of the following year.

*Wyatt, The Bishops’ Book and Dating*

In October 1537 Thomas Wriothesley responded to a letter he had received from Wyatt, who was then Henry VIII’s resident ambassador at the court of Charles V. Wriothesley, in addition to jovially upbraiding Wyatt for persistently writing in cipher, adds that ‘The Book of the Bishops I send not because the same shall be reformed, as it had need in many points’.[[19]](#footnote-19) The Bishops’ Book is of course the formulary entitled *The Institvtion of a Christen Man*, which Henry had required in 1537 as a means of expounding the Ten Articles of 1536, and was partly a response to the Pilgrimage of Grace.[[20]](#footnote-20) It was, as Wriothesley says, in need in many points. Its primary failing is its equivocation, which at times becomes outright self-contradiction. This contradiction stems from the fact that the bishops who composed the book were a mixture of older conservatives (such as Stokesley) and younger, more reform-minded theologians (such as Cranmer), not to mention that the King added his own amendments, which accorded with his traditionalist religious sympathies. As George Bernard argues, ‘[w]hat the king sought was a search for a middle way between Rome and Wittenberg, between Rome and Zurich’.[[21]](#footnote-21)

And so the Book contains evangelical statements which approach the Lutheran position of *sola fide:*

the penitente muste conceyue certayne hope and faithe, that god wyll forgyue hym his synnes, and repute hym iustifyed, and of the nombre of his electe chyldren, not for the worthynes of any merite or worke done by the penitent, but for the onely merites of the blode and passion of our savyour Jesu Christe.[[22]](#footnote-22)

Yet such statements are undermined by those which depend upon a more traditional formulation of penance (such as that detailed by the recently executed Bishop Fisher in his 1509 Treatise, discussed below):

although Chryste and his deathe be the sufficient oblation, sacrifice, satisfaction, and recompense, for the whiche god the father forgyueth and remytteth to all synners not onely theyr synnes, but also eternall peyne due for the same: yet all men truely penitente, contrite, and confessed muste nedes also brynge forthe the fruites of penaunce, That is to saye, prayer, fastynge, and almes dede, with moche mournynge and lamentyng for theyr synnes before commytted. And they muste also make restitution or satisfaction in wyll and dede to theyr neyghbours, in suche thynges as they have done them woronge and iniurie in. And fynally they muste do all other good workes of mercye and charitie, and expresse theyr obediente wyll in the executynge and fulfyllinge of goddis commaundment ovtwardely, whan tyme, power, and occasion shall be ministred vnto them, or elles they shall neuer be saued.[[23]](#footnote-23)

‘Good workes’ are acts of contrition by any other name. Exactly why the penitent ‘muste do all other good workes [...] or elles they shall neuer be saued’ when ‘the worthynes of any merite or worke done by the penitent’ was previously confirmed as having no influence upon his or her salvation confirms Wriothesley’s criticism. Wyatt needed to reform the Reformers’ book if his sequence was to adhere to official doctrine without tying itself in knots.

 Wyatt evidently obtained a copy of the Bishops Book somehow as one of the catchphrases of the treatise – echoed from the Ten Articles of the previous year – is ‘grace and favour’, which finds its way into Wyatt’s Penitential Psalms: ‘Let Israell trust vnto the lord allway, / Ffor grace and fauour arn his propertie’ (691-2).[[24]](#footnote-24) The phrase, thought to be an English cognate of Machiaelli’s ‘grazia e concessione’was still a neologism, suggesting Wyatt’s encountered it either via the Ten Articles or the Bishops’ Book.[[25]](#footnote-25)

It is my view that Wyatt had requested the Bishops’ Book of Wriothesley as he was already planning on writing his Penitential Psalms. However, the contradictions within the Bishops’ Book caused Wyatt some problems if he was to ‘paynte the lyvely faythe and pure’. As such, Wyatt turned to the work of a reform-minded Catholic, Pietro Aretino, and one of the foremost Italian reformers, Antonio Brucioli, whose combined theology coincided with the mixture of tradition and reform which is the primary characteristic of the Bishops’ Book, and by drawing on these Italian texts Wyatt was enabled to move beyond doctrinal contradiction towards a more consistent poetics of grace. Nobody has yet made the case for Brucioli’s influence on Wyatt.[[26]](#footnote-26) Indeed, Aretino’s own reading of Brucioli has been doubted by the leading contemporary Aretino scholar.[[27]](#footnote-27)

However, before I attempt to determine what Wyatt did to Aretino’s Sette Salmi, I should briefly mention the issue which has dogged so many studies of Wyatt’s *Psalms* – the date of composition. Wyatt’s request for the Bishops’ Book whilst in embassy suggests, but does not confirm, that he began work on the sequence whilst in Spain. Wyatt’s sequence would appear to have been influenced by the 1538 publication of Luther’s paraphrase upon the Penitential Psalms – Luther’s polyptoton, ‘sum Justus et justificatus per justum et justificantem Christum’ (‘I am just and justified by a just and justifying Christ’) is seemingly echoed by Wyatt’s ‘then forthwith justly able, / Just I am, jugd by justice off thy grace’ (454-5).[[28]](#footnote-28) Luther’s line informs Southall’s revised dating of Wyatt’s sequence, as part of which he claims that the psalms were not composed directly into Egerton but transcribed from an earlier draft: ‘Consequently, the composition of the Psalms could have begun as early as 1536, the echo of Luther’s commentary being the result of a later emendation, with Wyatt returning to the task in earnest in 1540, which appears the most likely date of their entry in E’. However, the fact that Egerton had degraded from a fair-copy manuscript to a working manuscript into which poems were directly composed during the Imperial embassy somewhat undermines Southall’s revised thesis, especially given the emendations made to those very lines which echo Luther . If we look at the lines in Egerton we can see that Wyatt has altered them in the process of transcription, which does not suggest the use of an initial draft:

 then

 ~~and~~ forthwt Iustly able

 I ame

 Iust ~~to be~~ Iugd / by Iustice off thy grace[[29]](#footnote-29)

The emendations here suggest composition, not transcription from foul papers.

The poems composed during the Imperial embassy appear between folios 62r to 70r in Egerton. On folio 65v appears a partial translation of Psalm 37, *Noli emulare*, in the hand of John Brereton (Egerton Hand D), who was part of Wyatt’s ambassadorial family, and whose hand can also be observed in a letter of 23 June 1537 addressed to Wriothesley.[[30]](#footnote-30)  This psalm is not part of the Penitential sequence but one of the Wisdom psalms, yet, like the Penitential Psalms, its authorship is ascribed to King David. It seems more likely that Wyatt was inspired to take on the Penitential Psalms following the successful translation of Psalm 37, rather than undertaking the relatively slighter translation following his completion of the penitential sequence, which from the perspective of the ordering of Egerton alone seems very unlikely. The Penitential Psalms themselves were entered in a blank portion of the manuscript from fols. 86r-98v in Wyatt’s own hand (Egerton Hand B). They are written in light brown ink until fol. 90v, then darken for a period, before returning to brown on fol. 97v. The brown ink-fade suggests the use of powdered ink such as one would use on board ship. The implication is, as Jason Powell convincingly argues, that ‘Wyatt began paraphrasing the Penitential Psalms in Spain or before’ and completed them subsequently.[[31]](#footnote-31) The *terminus ad quem* for the composition of the sequence cannot be confirmed – they most likely began in embassy, but whether they were completed there remains to be seen. They might have been completed during Wyatt’s second imprisonment in the Tower in 1541, but this is necessarily conjectural. This is not to say that the 1536 imprisonment did not play an important part in the decision to translate the Penitential Psalms, as the sequence was commonly used as a supplement to the ritual of penance.

*Wyatt, Aretino and the Bishops’ Book*

The theory that the unambiguously Protestant Wyatt translated the unambiguously Catholic Aretino is complicated by the fact that Aretino had reformist sympathies and moved in reformist circles. Aretino’s paraphrase appeared in November 1534. In May of that year Antonio Brucioli published *I Sacri Psalmi di David Distinti in cinque libri, Tradotti […] in lingua Toscana, & con nuovo commento dichiariti*, which had been preceded by his 1531 edition of the Psalms. Brucioli’s commentaries, as Raymond Waddington has pointed out, were ‘largely translations and paraphrases of Martin Bucer’s and Marin Luther’s commentaries’.[[32]](#footnote-32) Moreover, Brucioli’s vernacular Bible of 1532 was arguably the most popular text amongst the *spirituali*. To indicate the bond between Brucioli and Aretino we need only point to the fact that Aretino was godfather to Brucioli’s two sons.[[33]](#footnote-33) Others members of Aretino’s circle included Pier Paolo Vergerio, who was tried for heresy in 1546, fled to Geneva in 1549 and attempted to set up a Lutheran Church in Lithuania and Poland in the mid 1550s, and the preacher Bernardino Ochino, *quondam* tutor to the young Elizabeth I. Aretino himself always condemned Luther, but he also condemned Cardinal Carafa, the head of the conservative Catholic movement known as the Theatines, who later became Pope Paul IV. In a letter to Brucioli of 7 November 1537, Aretino outlines his religious sympathies and views. He inveighs against the corruption of friars and priests but also condemns the calumnies of Lutherans who attack the most just and most Christian (‘molestano con la calunnia [*sic*] di Luterano i piu giusti, & i piu Christiani’).[[34]](#footnote-34) As Waddington notes, Aretino in this letter confirms his adherence to ‘the central tenets of Christianity – the Virgin birth, the immortality of the soul and the resurrection of the dead; and above all the priority he places on faith alone’.[[35]](#footnote-35) Such sympathies might be interpreted as generally evangelical, and correspond to Wyatt’s own, insofar as we can glean them. They also underpin the *Sette Salmi*. Interestingly, Aretino writes that ‘we [Aretino and Brucioli] are defended by the faith which they [the corrupt clerics and Lutherans] have lost’ (‘siamo difesi dal credito; che essi hanno perduto’), which suggests that he did not view Brucioli as being a Lutheran either. And yet he praises Brucioli’s translation and edition of the Psalms, which are distasteful to the corrupt Christians: ‘la Biblia, i Salmi e gli altri immortali sudori del Bruciolo non son’ cibi dal gusto di tali’.[[36]](#footnote-36) Again, the Catholic/Protestant dichotomy does not appear. For Aretino there are true Christians (‘i piu giusti ed i piu Christiani’) and those corrupt Christians who attack with lies (‘molestano con la calunnia’).

One of the earliest passages in Wyatt’s paraphrase which commentators have read as indicative of his Protestant reformation of his Catholic source appears in the second Penitential Psalm (number 32 in the Vulgate):

Oh happy ar they that have forgiffnes gott

 Off theire offence (not by their penitence

 As by meryt wych recompensyth not

Altho that yet pardone hath non offence

 Withowte the same) but by the goodnes

 Off hym that hath perfect intelligens

Off hert contrite, and coverth the grettnes

 Off syn within a marcifull discharge. (217-24)

The dismissal of penitence as the cause of forgiveness – although, as Wyatt notes, divine pardon entails penitence in the first instance – and the emphasis upon Divine mercy born of ‘perfect intelligens / Off hert contrite’ as opposed to outward acts appear to diverge from the doctrine of another of Wyatt’s sources in the Psalms, namely John Fisher’s 1509 *Treatyse*, in which he discusses the three parts of penance in the Catholic tradition:

By [th]e virtue of co[n]trycyon our synnes be forgyuen/by confessyon they be forgoten/but by satisfaccyon they be so clene done away [tha]t no sygne or token remayneth in ony condycyon of them/but as clene as ever we were. […] Even soo in mannes soule which fyrst hath brought forth the budde of contrycyon/and after the floure confessyon yf at the laste it brynge not forth the good werkes of satisfaccyon it is to be dredde lest ony preuy gyle or deceyte remayne styll in the soule [...]. It is not ynough for a penytent to be contryte for his synnes/but also he must shewe theym all vnto a preest his ghostly fader/whan he hath conuenyent tyme and space so to do. [[37]](#footnote-37)

Wyatt, despite doctrinal divergences, does draw on Fisher’s commentary repeatedly – more frequently than previous commentators have noted – which is not surprising given that Wyatt was educated at Christ’s College, Cambridge, as Susan Brigden has recently shown, which was founded by Lady Margaret Beaufort under the direction of Fisher.[[38]](#footnote-38)

Fisher’s emphasis on ‘the good werkes of satisfaccyon’ and his statement that ‘[i]t is not ynough for a penytent to be contryte for his synnes’ is echoed by the Bishops’ Book’s insistence on ‘good workes of mercye and charitie’and the position that **‘**all men truely penitente, contrite, and confessed muste nedes also brynge forthe the fruites of penaunce, That is to saye, prayer, fastynge, and almes dede […]. And they muste also make restitution or satisfaction in wyll and dede to theyr neyghbours’. And this despite the immediately preceding statement that ‘Chryste and his deathe be the sufficient oblation, sacrifice, satisfaction, and recompense’.

Wyatt’s dismissal of penitence as the cause of forgiveness and the emphasis upon Divine mercy born of ‘perfect intelligens / Off hert contrite’ as opposed to outward acts, good works or acts of contrition diverges from the self-contradiction of the Bishops’ Book thanks to Aretino:

O beati coloro le cui iniquità perdona Iddio, lasciandole impunite, non per le opere de la contritione, ne de la penitentia, se ben senza esse le colpe nostre non hanno remissione, ma per beneficio de la gratia sua; la bontà de la quale nel cor rintenerito riguarda, e per la compuntion sua moue a ricoprirgli i peccati col lembo de la misericordia.

O blessed are they whose sins God pardons, leaving them unpunished, not through acts of contrition, nor through penitence – except insofar that without these our sins can have no remission – but through the benefice of His grace, the bounty of which in the returned heart you observe, and through its compunction determine to cloak our sins with the mantle of mercy.[[39]](#footnote-39)

In fact, Aretino goes further than Wyatt by using a number of disputed terms, such as acts of contrition (*opere de la contritione)*, penitence (*penitentia*), remission of sin (*le colpe* [...] *remissione*), compunction (*compuntion*), and the term which is an absent presence in the equivalent section in Wyatt’s translation: grace (*gratia*). Aretino’s emphasis upon the bounty of God’s grace, and the penitence which God regards in the heart of the penitent, is reformed as Wyatt’s ‘perfect intelligens off hert contrite’, which does not need an external act of contrition.

Wyatt thus maintains the position that salvation is given ‘not for the worthynes of any merite or worke done by the penitent, but for the onely merites of the blode and passion of our savyour Jesu Christe’, as the Bishops’ Book says, but, following Aretino, refutes the emphasis on almsdeeds and good works. And whereas the Bishops’ Book emphasizes ‘the executynge and fulfyllinge of goddis commaundment ovtwardely’, Wyatt castigates outward deeds at the close of his paraphrase of Psalm, 51 (the *Miserere*):

 Ffor if thou hadst estemid plesant good

The ovttward dedes that owtward men disclose,

 I would have offerd vnto the sacryfice.

 But thou delightes not in no such glose

Off owtward dede, as men dreme and devyse.

 The sacryfice that the lord lykyth most

 Is spryte contrite: low hert in humble wyse

Thow dost accept, o god, for plesant host.

 Make Syon, lord, accordyng to thy will

 Inward Syon, the Syon of the ghost:

Off hertes Hierusalem strength the walles still. (495-505)

The disparagement of ‘ovttward dedes that owtward men disclose’ develops the earlier divergence from acts of contrition to divine grace, and again is dependent upon Aretino:

Laude, e gloria con la bocca, e col core ti ho sacrificato Signore, e se tu hauessi voluto altro sacrificio certamente io te lo haurei fatto; ma io veggio in spirito che tu non ti diletterai de gli holocausti; & verrà tempo che non hauerai agrado cotal sacrificio, perche sono ceremonie che appaiono di fuora, et a te sono grate le intentioni de l’animo [...]. vn core contrite, & humiliate Iddio mai non dispregierà [...].[[40]](#footnote-40)

Praise and glory with my mouth and heart have been my sacrifice to you Lord, but if you had willed another sacrifice I would certainly have made it. But I see with the eye of my spirit that you do not delight in such burnt offerings, and that there will come a time when there will be no need for such sacrifice, because they are ceremonies which appear outwardly, and to you are given the intentions of the soul [...] . God will never scorn a contrite and humble heart.

Wyatt’s ‘ovttward dedes’ translates Aretino’s ‘ceremonie che appaiono di fuora’, although the addition of ‘owtward men’ is Wyatt’s own, and appears to be a direct refutation of the term ‘outwardly’ as it is used in the Bishops’ Book.

 However, there are long-standing points of doctrine upon which Aretino, Wyatt and the Bishops’ Book agree. Wyatt’s emphasis upon the inward Zion is perhaps more traditional than previous commentators would allow, given its quasi-Augustinian tenor of the heavenly Jerusalem gained through *caritas* as opposed to *cupiditas*, of a life lived *secundum* *spiritum* as opposed to *secundum carnem*. This emphasis again is frequently claimed as Wyatt’s innovation but in fact has its roots in Aretino’s denigration of outward sacrifice and his extended exposition of the inward Zion:

Per la tua summa bontà Signore sia benigno a Sion, che cotal nome ho posto a la speculatione di quelli, che per desiderio de la verità verranno a cognitione del tuo figliuolo [...] acciò sieno edificati i muri di Gierusalemme, il qual tolgo come visione de la pace, e de la vnione che debbe essere nel genere humano circa il laudare, honorare, & adorare te solo. ... e cosi edificandosi le mura Gierusalemme si edificherà ne le anime la vertù de la tua sapientia. [...] riceverai da loro non solamento le vittime, le oblationi, e gli holocausti, ma il sacrificio del cor sincero [...].[[41]](#footnote-41)

Through your high bounty Lord be benign to Sion, which name I have given to the speculation of those who out of desire for the truth will come to understanding of your son [...] so that the walls of Jerusalem may be built, which I conceive of as a vision of the peace and union that must maintain amongst those people who praise, honour and adore you alone. ... And so [it is understood that] building the walls of Jerusalem will build in people’s souls the virtue of your wisdom. [...] receive from them not only the victims, the oblations, and the burnt offerings, but the sacrifice of the sincere heart.

Aretino’s tripartite movement is clear: Sion is the as yet unrealized Christian community; the walls of Jerusalem represent the peace and union which gird that community, and by establishing communal peace and union the virtue of patience will inhere within the individual soul of each member of that community, whose individual sacrifice will be the inward holocaust of the sincere heart. The inward, individual relationship with God is thus an atomic or molecular part of the wider Christian communion. This emphasis on the commonwealth via the individual underlines Aretino’s conception of a reformed Catholic Christendom. Happily for Wyatt, Aretino here corresponds with the Bishops’ Book, which clarifies the Christian communion in its exposition of the ninth article of the Creed:

And I beleve assuredly that this congregation, accordyng as it is called in scripture, so it is in very deed the Cite of heuenly Hierusalem ... . And lyke as citizens assembled in one citie do lyue there vnder commune lawes, and in commune societie, and there do consult, studie and labour eche man in his roume and office, and accordynge vnto his callynge for theyr common welth, and fynally be made participant, or parttakers of all and syngular suche benefites, and commodities, as do aryse unto them therby: Even so I beleve, that the members of this holy catholique churche, or congregation be collected, & gathered togyther within the same churche, as within one citie or folde. and that they be therin all vnyted, and incorporated by the holy spirite of Christe into one body, and that they do lyve there all in one faythe, one hope, once charitie, and one perfytte vnitie, consent, and agrement, not onely in the true doctrine of Christe, but also in the right vse and ministration of his sacramentes.[[42]](#footnote-42) (*Institution*, 52-53)

However, if the Bishops’ Book accords with Aretino here, Aretino also accords with Bruclioli.

*‘Tourne, and translate’: Wyatt, Aretino and Brucioli*

Aretino’s discussion of Sion in his paraphrase of Psalm 51 owes something to Brucioli’s commentary in its moral exegesis of the walls of Jerusalem as signifying a proto-Christian vision of peace and communion (‘i muri di Gierusalemme, il qual tolgo come visione de la pace, e de la vnione che debbe essere nel genere humano circa il laudare, honorare, & adorare te solo’). In his commentary on Ps. 51.18 Brucioli writes:

Et che Dauid tanto grandemente orasse per la perfettione del principiato edificio di Ierusalem, & culto di Iddio, non fece questo perche esso facessi grande stima dello esteriore splendore della città, ò dello strepito solamente cerimonie, ma riguardò in queste cose, il uero, & ineterno culto di Iddio, & la solida de santi del popolo, anzi infino nel regno di Christo eleuò la mente, l’ombra del quale uedeua in se.[[43]](#footnote-43)

And when David prays so earnestly for the completion of the building of Jerusalem’s walls, and the worship of God, he does not do so because this would create great admiration of the city’s splendid exterior, or simply for the clamour of ceremonies, but because he regarded in these things the true and everlasting worship of God, and the solid piety of the popular saints, at least until the reign of Christ elevates their minds, which [elevation] he sees foreshadowed in himself.

Brucioli’s emphasis, like Aretino’s, is upon the common good and the model of a Christian society, as the reference to the popular saints (‘i santi del popolo’) testifies. Brucioli’s ‘true and everlasting worship of God’ is extended into Aretino’s ‘vision of peace and union that will inhere amongst those who laud, honour and adore God only’, which also draws on Brucioli’s later exegesis of Sion as signifying the church of the faithful in his commentary on Psalm 102.22. David’s traditional foreshadowing of Christ in Brucioli informs Aretino’s statement that Sion is ‘the name I have given to the speculation of those who, by means of a desire for the truth, will come to an understanding of your son’ (‘[il] nome hò posto a la speculatione di quelli , che per desiderio de la uerità uerranno a cognitione del tuo figliuolo’). Indeed, it is to Brucioli’s influence upon both Aretino and Wyatt that we ought now to turn, and in doing so turn back to begin anew.

In the opening paraphrase of the first line of the second penitential psalm (Psalm 32, *Domine ne in furore*), as we have already seen, Aretino writes

O blessed are they whose sins God pardons, leaving them unpunished, not through acts of contrition, nor through penitence [...] but through the benefice of His grace […].

This emphasis upon God’s grace, not acts of contrition or penitence, as being the source of divine forgiveness corresponds with Brucioli’s commentary on the same line of the same psalm:

Et beato anchora quello, del quale non imputaua il signore la sua iniquita, cioè quello giustifica Iddio per la gratia sua, & non per i meriti, & che puramente, & semplicemente conosce il suo errore.[[44]](#footnote-44)

And blessed yet [is] that one to whom the Lord does not impute sin; that is, the one whom God justifies through His grace, and not through his merits, and who purely and simply recognizes his sin.

The correspondence here is not only doctrinal but phrasal: Brucioli’s ‘la sua iniquita’ is echoed by Aretino’s ‘le cui iniquità’, Brucioli’s ‘per la gratia sua’ becomes Aretino’s ‘per beneficio de la gratia sua’. However, Aretino expands Brucioli’s ‘not through his merits’ into the more explicitly catechistic ‘not through acts of contrition, nor through penitence’. Wyatt in his turn fuses the two commentaries, adding Aretino’s *penitentia* to Brucioli’s *i meriti:*

Oh happy are they that have forgiffnes got
Off theire offence (not by their *penitence*
As by *meryt* which recompensyth not ...) (217-19, emphasis added)

Wyatt’s addition of ‘meryt’, which has no equivalent in Aretino, or Campensis, or any of Wyatt’s other established sources, can be read as evidence that he had read Brucioli’s commentary, just as Aretino had. Brucioli also refers to merits in his commentary on Psalm 6, in which he writes that by asking ‘not to be castigated and rebuked by God in His ire and fury, David is effectively saying “do not condemn me according to what I deserve [my merits]”’ (‘ma hora di no[n] essere castigato & ripresa in ira, & furore, quasi dica, non mi uolere condannare secondo i meriti miei’).[[45]](#footnote-45)  Likewise in his commentary on the opening lines of Psalm 143, Brucioli writes how David wills God not to render justice in accordance with deeds, ‘no[n] voglia rendere loro [giudico] secondo l’ope[re]’, which informs Aretino’s plea that he be judged ‘not in accordance with the truth and justice of Your laws, which swiftly condemn and punish the sinner according to the gravity of his sin’ (‘non secondo la uerità e la giustitia de le leggi, le quali condannano, e puniscano di subito secondo la grauezza del demerito’, both of which produce Wyatt’s ‘answere to my desire / Not by desert’ (728-29).[[46]](#footnote-46) However, it is in his emphasis on *i meriti* that Brucioli accords with the Bishops’ Book, which confirms that salvation is granted ‘not for the worthynes of any merite or worke done by the penitent’.

It might yet be argued that Wyatt is reiterating Brucioli through Aretinian osmosis, or that his emphasis on merit comes from his reading of the Bishops’ Book only. Something more tangible is needed. In his translation of Psalm 51.7 Wyatt writes ‘And as the Juyz to hele the liepre sore / With hysope clense, clense me, and I ame clene’ (469-70). Muir and Thomson note that this is ‘W[yatt]’s independent paraphrase of the Bible. His *liepre sore* is not fully accounted for by any known source, but possibly he was influenced by Aretino who draws out the idea of the healing God’.[[47]](#footnote-47)  However, in his commentary on Psalm 51.7, Brucioli writes ‘it is known that hyssop was employed in that solemn sacrifice for [the cleansing of] the sin which is related in Numbers 19, in the same way that it is used in the purging of leprosy, as treated in Leviticus 14’ (‘è da sapere, che in quel solenne sacrificio, per il peccato del quale è scritto nel libro de Numeri. Cap. 19. & medesimamente nella purgatione del lebroso, della quale tratta nel libro del Leuitico. Cap. 14, si toglieua l’hysopo’).[[48]](#footnote-48)  This passing reference to leprosy follows an extended comparison of David’s sense of sin to leprosy in Brucioli’s commentary on the second verse of the psalm:

Et domandando Dauid di essere lauato da peccati, non gli basta domandare essa lauanda [...] ma si humilia facendosi come lebroso, & riconosce il peccato suo, come lebbra, dicendo multiplica il lauare me dalla iniquita, cioè come pien di lebbra [...] & come si mondano i lebbrosi dalle lebbra loro, monad me dal peccato mio [...].[[49]](#footnote-49)

David asks to be cleansed of his sin, but it is not enough to ask to be cleansed ... he humbly makes himself like a leper, and acknowledges his sin as being like leprosy, saying repeatedly ‘cleanse me of my iniquity’, as if full of leprosy, [...] and as lepers are cleansed of their leprosy, cleanse me of my sin.

On the basis of this evidence, we can see not only that Aretino’s paraphrase was influenced by Brucioli’s commentary in relation to specific points of doctrine – most notably in relation to divine grace superseding acts of contrition – but also that Wyatt often fused the two together in relation to those same cruces. Wyatt’s doctrine thus accords with that of the reform-minded Catholic Aretino, and the Protestant reformist, Brucioli. That Wyatt’s patron Cromwell was in correspondence with Aretino during this period, and that the same Cromwell would have exhorted and expected diplomats working under his direction to obtain and translate continental works that supported the developing doctrine of the Anglican communion, not only supports the view that Wyatt turned to Aretino because his doctrinal position was consonant with the more evangelical views expressed in the Bishops’ Book and the Ten Articles, but also explains why he would have sought out and incorporated Brucioli’s commentary also.[[50]](#footnote-50)  It is not surprising to find that Cromwell is the first signatory of the Bishops’ Book. He would have been all too aware of its doctrinal shortcomings, and so it is not impossible to imagine him endorsing Wyatt’s sequence as a means of furthering a more reformist agenda. Indeed, Clare Costley King-Oo has shown that the posthumous publication of Wyatt’s Penitential Psalms in 1549 was part of a Protestant propaganda campaign – by 1549 the religious divisions were, of course, much more entrenched than they had at the time of the Ten Articles, the Bishops’ Book and Wyatt’s Penitential Psalms.

 In the mid-1530s, however, the Protestant/Catholic dichotomy, in terms of distinct and discrete doctrines and religious cultures, had not yet fully emerged, as the Bishops’ Book shows not only in its equivocation, but illustrates in its discussion of the term Catholic:

I beleue that this holy churche is catholyque, that is to say, that it can not be coarcted or restrayened within the limites or bondes of any one towne, citie, prouince, region, or countreye: but that it is dispersed and spredde vniversally through out all the hole worlde. […] And I beleue that these particular churches, in what place of the worlde so euer they be congregated, be the very partes, porcions, or members of this catholyque and vnyversal church. And that between them there is in dede no difference in superioritie, pre-eminence, or auctoritie, neither that any one of them is heed or soueraygne ouer the other […]. And therefore I do beleue that the churche of Rome is not, nor can not worthily be called the catholyque churche, but onely a partycular membre therof, and can not chalenge or vindicate of righte, and by the worde of god, to be heed of this vniuersall churche, or to haue any superioritie ouer thoghter churches of Christ, which be in England, France, Espayne, or in any other realme […].[[51]](#footnote-51)

Whilst it is clear in its refutation of the arrogated superiority of the Church of Rome, the early Anglican Church does not consider itself as removed from the Catholic communion. This vision of the Church is entirely in accordance with Aretino’s ‘vision of peace and union that will inhere amongst those who laud, honour and adore God only’, as represented by the walls of Jerusalem. Those walls, which gird ‘the very partes, porcions, or members of this catholyque and vnyversal church’, confirm the existence of Wyatt’s ‘Inward Syon, the Syon of the ghost’, as detailed in Aretino’s exposition of the heavenly Jerusalem, which accords with the Bishops’ Book’s account of the relationship between the individual Christian and the wider communion. The retrospective partitioning of Protestant and Catholic cultures supports the literary-historical narrative that would put the medieval and the early modern asunder, and in its insistence upon rupture neglects the long-standing tradition of translating the Penitential Psalms which stands behind Wyatt’s sequence. That Wyatt would turn to a Catholic reformer as a primary source for his Protestant sequence confirms the inadequacy of this narrative. What we find in Wyatt’s sequence, as the sections of this paper have, I hope, illustrated, is a series of interrelatioinships, or continuities, and overlaps which interrogate the narrative of doctrinal rupture: Wyatt-Bishops’ Book-Aretino; Wyatt-Aretino-Brucioli; Wyatt-Brucioli-Bishops’ Book. Wyatt’s method of translation in the Penitential Psalms, moreover, whereby a plurality of commentaries is pressed into the service of the new work, illustrates clearly how the methods of medieval *translatio* informed and infused early modern *imitatio*. It is perhaps as necessary to ask what Aretino really did to Wyatt, or what Aretino really did to the Bishops’ Book, as it is to ask what Wyatt really did to Aretino’s *I sette salmi*.
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