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Abstract

Background: Updated, robust estimates of the incidence and prevalence of rare long-term neurological conditions in the UK are not available. Global estimates may be misrepresented as disease aetiology may vary by location. Objectives: To systematically review the incidence and prevalence of long-term neurological conditions in the UK since 1988. Search Strategy: Medline (January 1988 to January 2009), Embase (January 1988 to January 2009), CINAHL (January 1988 to January 2009) and Cochrane CENTRAL databases. Selection Criteria: UK population-based incidence/prevalence studies of long-term neurological conditions since 1988. Exclusion criteria included inappropriate diagnoses and incomprehensive case ascertainment. Data Collection and Analysis: Articles were included based on the selection criteria. Data were extracted from articles with ranges of incidence and prevalence reported. Main Results: Eight studies met the criteria (3 on motor neurone disease; 4 on Huntington’s disease; 1 on progressive supranuclear palsy). The incidence of motor neurone disease ranged from 1.06 to 2.4/100,000 person-years. The prevalence ranged from 4.02 to 4.91/100,000. The prevalence of Huntington’s disease ranged from 4.0 to 9.94/100,000. The prevalence of progressive supranuclear palsy ranged from 3.1 to 6.5/100,000. Conclusions: The review updates the incidence/prevalence of long-term neurological conditions. Future epidemiological studies must incorporate comprehensive case ascertainment methods and strict diagnostic criteria.

Introduction

Progressive neurological diseases vary in presentation, both in timescale and severity. This review forms part of a Policy Research Programme commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research to assess disease burden on service user, family and health and social care services. The investigated conditions were requested by
the commission to encompass a range of aetiologies, symptoms, diagnoses and prognoses.

Rationale and Aim of Review
The aim was to systematically identify and update the incidence and prevalence of the following long-term neurological conditions:
- Motor neuron disease
- Huntington’s disease
- Progressive supranuclear palsy
- Multiple system atrophy
- Postpolio syndrome
- Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease
- Dominantly inherited ataxias
Knowledge of these data is valuable in informing future research and health service policies.

Methods
The review protocol is accessible at http://www.ltn-c.org.uk/research_files/RESULT_study.html. Population-based studies of incidence and prevalence were sought.

Scoping Search
A scoping search identified existing reviews of incidence and prevalence. Existing reviews would be updated, not repeated. Medline (Ovid; 1950 to week 2 of 2009), Embase (Ovid; 1980 to week 46 of 2008), CINAHL (Ovid; 1982 to week 1 of 2009), the Science Citation Index, Cochrane Library (CENTRAL) and Centre for Reviews and Dissemination databases were searched.

Main Search Strategy
The search strategy (Appendix 1) identified articles from Medline, Embase and CINAHL. The Cochrane Library (CENTRAL) and databases of ongoing research and unpublished literature were also searched. Reference lists of included articles were assessed to capture further articles omitted from the search strategy.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria
Articles were included based on the criteria outlined in table 1. Comprehensive case ascertainment was required in order to ensure maximum patient capture. Studies before 1988 were excluded as the review aimed to present up-to-date statistics. Strict diagnostic criteria were set to minimise bias from misdiagnoses. Inclusion was based on agreement between 2 of the independent reviewers (T.H., J.C., G.J., J.R.). In cases of non-consensus, a third independent review was obtained. In cases of incomprehensive study methodology, authors were approached to determine a study’s potential inclusion.

Data Extraction
The following data were extracted into tables:
- Source: authors and journal published
- Study design: e.g. cross-sectional, cohort, etc.
- Population denominator
- Timescale: incidence time frame and prevalence date
- Case ascertainment method
- Diagnostic method
- Outcome: incidence per 100,000 person-years; prevalence per 100,000 of population
- Methodological limitations
- Potential bias

Data Analyses
Incidence were reported as ranges. Pooling statistics was not possible due to methodological heterogeneity and shared population denominations between certain studies.

Results

Existing Systematic Reviews
No existing systematic reviews of incidence and prevalence were identified.

Study Yield
The initial search yielded 8,869 references; 311 were identified as potentially relevant. Of these, 9 met the inclusion criteria and were included in the review (fig. 1).

Included Studies by Condition
Motor Neurone Disease (Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis)
Five studies assessed the incidence/prevalence of motor neurone disease (table 2). Total population coverage was 11,498,075, although some overlap between studies emerged. The incidence of motor neurone disease in the UK ranged from 1.06 to 2.4/100,000 person-years (in-
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including all diagnostic categories of the World Federation of Neurology, WFN, and El Escorial criteria). The prevalence ranged from 4.02 to 4.91/100,000 of the populations studied.

Huntington’s Disease
Three studies assessed the prevalence of Huntington’s disease (table 3). No reports of incidence were identified. Total population coverage was 5,483,871. The prevalence ranged from 4.0 to 6.4/100,000 of the populations studied.

Progressive Supranuclear Palsy
One study assessed the prevalence of progressive supranuclear palsy (table 4). The study included 3 substudies. A national study of the entire UK population, a regional study covering a catchment of 2,598,240 and a community study covering a catchment of 259,998 people. The prevalence ranged from 1.0/100,000 in the national study to 6.5/100,000 in the community study. However, only the community study had a comprehensive case ascertainment and we therefore report these statistics in our results.

Results Summary
Table 5 illustrates a summary of results identified in the study.

Excluded Studies
Four studies illustrated in table 6 were excluded from the review.

Fig. 1. Flowchart of included/excluded articles.
Table 2. Details of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source/condition</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Population/denominator</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Case ascertainment method</th>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Potential bias/methodological limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abhinav et al. (2007) [1]</td>
<td>Population-based study of incidence and prevalence</td>
<td>South East London boroughs (Lambeth, Southwark, Lewisham, Bexley, Greenwich, Bromley), Brighton and Hove, East Sussex, and Kent; population of 2,890,482 based on 2001 census (excluding population under 15 years of age)</td>
<td>Incidence between January 1, 2002, and June 30, 2006; prevalence on June 30, 2006</td>
<td>SEALS registry used to identify cases since 1997; department general hospitals, neurology units checked; list of patients referred to healthcare professionals with suspected ALS assessed to ensure maximum ascertainment</td>
<td>El Escorial criteria: incidence of 1.20/100,000 incidence in population; however, this is a population person-years prevalence of 4.06/100,000</td>
<td>138 incident cases during time period; 142 alive on point prevalence date; incidence of 1.06/100,000 person-years; prevalence of 4.91/100,000</td>
<td>Possibility of low levels of missed case ascertainment in elderly category where patients seen by geriatricians not covered by capture sources; however, ascertainment likely to be high due to multiple overlapping sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forbes et al. (2007) [2]</td>
<td>Population-based study of incidence</td>
<td>Scotland; population estimated at 5,125,000 in mid-1994</td>
<td>Incidence from January 1, 1989, to December 31, 1999</td>
<td>Cases identified from national register of MND since 1989; neuroreferrals, nurse specialist records, Scottish morbidity records and mortality coding checked to ensure maximum case ascertainment</td>
<td>WFN criteria before 1994; El Escorial criteria after 1994; not specified which El Escorial categories included</td>
<td>1.226 incident cases; incidence of 2.4/100,000 person-years</td>
<td>Estimated that 2.2% of patients went unobserved from the 2-source capture-recapture method; therefore, reported statistics possibly underestimated; inclusion of this estimate would increase incidence to 2.44/100,000 person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnston et al. (2006) [3]</td>
<td>Population-based study of incidence and prevalence</td>
<td>London boroughs of Lambeth, Southwark and Lewisham; population of approx. 615,040 based on Office for National Statistics</td>
<td>Incidence from January 1, 1997, to July 31, 2004; prevalence on July 31, 2004</td>
<td>Cases ascertained from the ALS ward and clinic, neurophysiology department and physiotherapy department at King’s College Hospital; records from National Hospital, Queen Square, also assessed</td>
<td>El Escorial criteria (suspected, possible, probable and definite cases); also assessed El Escorial definite and probable cases only, and the revised WFN criteria</td>
<td>El Escorial criteria: incidence of 1.20/100,000 person-years – prevalence of 4.06/100,000 definite/probable only; incidence of 0.79/100,000 person-years – prevalence of 2.11/100,000 revised WFN criteria: incidence of 1.07/100,000 person-years – prevalence of 3.74/100,000</td>
<td>Below-average proportion of elderly individuals in the study (5%vs. 7.6% national average) likely to result in lower incidence in population; however, this is a population trait rather than a methodological limitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al. (1998) [4]</td>
<td>Population-based study of incidence</td>
<td>Lancashire and South Cumbria; population of 1,473,153 based on 1991 census</td>
<td>Incidence from January 1, 1989, to December 31, 1993</td>
<td>Cases ascertained from clinical records at the Preston Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, and district general hospitals – these units cover the entire study catchment area; small numbers of patient living in study catchment ascertained from departments serving neighbouring catchments</td>
<td>Detailed clinical assessment, full inpatient investigation including: EMG, nerve conduction, muscle biopsy, CT, myelography, CSF, immunoelectrophoresis, glucose tolerance and thyroid function; assessments made by at least 2 consultant neurologists before definitive diagnosis</td>
<td>Incidence of 1.76/100,000 person-years</td>
<td>Study did not use standardised diagnostic criteria as before: El Escorial; however, extensive methods used in diagnosis; case ascertainment likely to be close to fully complete as study centres are English steering centres for European MND registry, which requires a ‘true’ population-based knowledge of all MND patients living in the catchment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James et al. (1994) [5]</td>
<td>Population-based study of prevalence</td>
<td>South and Mid Glamorgan, and Gwent; population of 1,394,400 based on 1991 census</td>
<td>Prevalence estimated on June 22, 1992</td>
<td>Inpatient register at the department of neurology and GP registers within the catchment area</td>
<td>WFN criteria (suspected, possible, probable and definite cases); WFN criteria definite and probable cases only also assessed</td>
<td>Prevalence of 4.02/100,000 for all cases; prevalence of 2.73/100,000 for definite and probable cases at diagnosis</td>
<td>None identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALS = Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; SEALS = South-East England Register for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis; MND = motor neurone disease; GP = general practitioner; EMG = electromyography; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid.
Table 3. Details of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source/condition</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Population/denominator</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Case ascertainment method</th>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Potential bias/methodological limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morrison et al. (1995) [6]</td>
<td>Population-based study</td>
<td>Northern Ireland population of 1,569,971 based on 1991 national census</td>
<td>Prevalence estimated on April 21, 1991</td>
<td>Northern Ireland GP records; neurologist, psychiatrist and geriatrician records; Department of Medical Genetics diagnostic records</td>
<td>DNA-confirmed diagnoses for all patients</td>
<td>Prevalence of 6.4/100,000</td>
<td>Small founder effect due to large families, however, families not large enough to cause bias in population statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James et al. (1994) [7]</td>
<td>Population-based study</td>
<td>South and Mid Glamorgan, and Gwent; population of 1,393,900 based on 1991 national census</td>
<td>Prevalence estimated on March 1, 1994</td>
<td>HD register for South Wales</td>
<td>Details of formal diagnosis in register; notes of symptom type and onset provided</td>
<td>Prevalence of 6.2/100,000</td>
<td>All known living cases of HD studied; potential for cases not included in register, and subsequent underestimation of prevalence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watt and Seller (1993) [8]</td>
<td>Population-based study</td>
<td>Oxford Region Health Authority under NHS; population of 2,520,000</td>
<td>Prevalence estimated on live patients on January 1, 1988</td>
<td>Oxford region medical genetics department records; it is thought by the time of the study all persons affected in 1988 would have been referred and ascertained</td>
<td>Confirmed by presymptomatic linkage test</td>
<td>Prevalence of 4.0/100,000</td>
<td>None identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HD = Huntington’s disease; NHS = National Health Service; GP = general practitioner.

Table 4. Details of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source/condition</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Population/denominator</th>
<th>Timescale</th>
<th>Case ascertainment method</th>
<th>Diagnostic method</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Potential bias/methodological limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nath et al. (2001) [9]</td>
<td>Population-based study</td>
<td>3 substudies: – National study: population of 59,236,500 – North East study: population of 2,589,240 – Community study (35 GP catchments in Newcastle-upon-Tyne): population of 259,998; populations all based on 1998 census figures for the UK</td>
<td>Prevalence on January 1, 1999</td>
<td>– National study: case ascertainment not complete – Regional study: direct referral of cases from all neurologists in the region, correspondence reviews, database screening, inpatient hospital sources – Community study: screening of GP records for potential patients followed by review of records and structured interview and clinical examination</td>
<td>Possible or probable cases based on NINDS-SPSP criteria</td>
<td>– National study: prevalence of 1.0/100,000 – Regional study: prevalence of 3.1/100,000 – Community study: prevalence of 6.5/100,000</td>
<td>Smaller population denominator at each level of study led to more extensive capture methods, hence the greater prevalence in smaller denomination studies; national study did not use active case ascertainment methods and thought up to 81% of cases unidentified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PSP = Progressive supranuclear palsy; GP = general practitioner; NINDS-SPSP = National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Society for Progressive Supranuclear Palsy.
Unreported Conditions

No articles relating to postpolio syndrome, Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, multiple system atrophy and dominantly inherited ataxias in the UK were identified that met the inclusion criteria. However, some studies were identified that did not meet the required criteria. Whilst it would be inappropriate to include these studies in the results, table 7 provides incidence and prevalence statistics for excluded studies in conditions not represented in the results to provide at least some information on these conditions. However, one must view these results with caution due to the associated methodological limitations.

Discussion

The review aimed to systematically report the incidence and prevalence of long-term neurological conditions in the UK. Review findings and the variation between studies are discussed.

Motor Neurone Disease

Some variation in incidence is evident. An incidence of 1.06/100,000 person-years was found in South East England [1], compared to 2.4 in Scotland [2]. Differences in age structure between geographical locations may influence variations in incidence and prevalence rates. The literature suggests that onset generally occurs after 40 years age, with a peak incidence between 55 and 75 years [14, 15]. Data from the 2001 census [16, 17] indicate that 32% of the population of Greater London are over 45 years of age compared to 40% of the Scottish population. Furthermore, approximately 10% of the Greater London population are in the 60- to 75-year age bracket compared to 14% in Scotland. Such statistics are likely to make small differences in incidence and prevalence rates but would not account for any large differences. Other than these geographical considerations, there is no evidence of environmental factors to explain differences. Familial cases are reported as less than 10%, making it unlikely that geographical clustering of families with motor neurone disease affected observed figures. Methodological differences within the study design may explain observed differences. Omissions of small numbers of unidentified cases could influence rates reported substantially. However, case ascertainment and diagnostic methods appear similar, and differences could be attributable to chance.

The prevalence was consistent across studies, ranging from 4.02 to 4.91/100,000. These figures sit at the lower end of the reported global prevalence (4–10/100,000) [18–22]. However, it is worth noting that the actual prevalence may be higher as those without a diagnosis are not included in these estimates.

Table 5. Ranges of prevalence/incidence of the long-term conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Incidence range, cases per 100,000 person-years</th>
<th>Prevalence range, cases per 100,000 of population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motor neurone disease</td>
<td>1.06–2.4</td>
<td>4.02–4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntington’s disease</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>4.0–6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive supranuclear palsy</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple system atrophy</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominantly inherited ataxias</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpolio syndrome</td>
<td>not reported</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Huntington’s Disease

The prevalence ranged from 4.0 to 6.4/100,000. This is contrary to reported global rates (0.4–0.5/100,000), but comparable to the prevalence reported in other Western countries (8–10/100,000) [23]. Differences in prevalence between studies could be attributable to geographical variation due to the hereditary nature of the disease. A previous study reported a prevalence of 9.94/100,000 in the Grampian region of Scotland in 1987 [13], compared to 4.0/100,000 in Oxfordshire in 1993 [8]. Authors report the Grampian region to have low migration levels due to thriving local communities, compared to relatively high migration in the Oxfordshire region. A closed gene pool population compared to a population with high migration rates may explain such discrepancies.

The possibility of methodological differences between studies remains and could be a factor in reported discrepancies. Case ascertainment appears consistent between the studies. However, some studies used a genetic test as a diagnostic confirmation [6], whereas others appeared to assess records and registers for diagnostic confirmation [7]. Consequently, in studies using genetic testing, positive diagnoses were made for presymptomatic patients, which was not possible in studies where only symptomatic patients were included. As mentioned previously, in rare conditions incomplete case ascertainment or disease misclassification can skew the reported incidence/prevalence significantly in both directions.
Progressive Supranuclear Palsy
Evidence suggests progressive supranuclear palsy is sporadic. Tau gene mutations have been identified as a predisposition. However, two thirds of the global population possess this polymorphism. Consequently, other factors may be more important in progressive supranuclear palsy.

The prevalence ranged from 1.0/100,000 in the national study by Nath et al. [9] to 6.5/100,000 in the community study by the same authors. However, the authors ad-
mit the case ascertainment was not ‘active’ and fully comprehensive due to the denominator size and report that 81% of the cases may be unascertained based on the community study prevalence. The regional study fits within the reported global prevalence range (1.39–5.8/100,000) [24–26]. As the condition is sporadic, the greater prevalence observed in the community study is probably due to a more complete case ascertainment.

**General Points**
A fundamental point is that the incidence and prevalence reported should be regarded as minimum figures. Assuming studies had 100% case ascertainment of diagnosed patients, the statistics would still omit undiagnosed patients. Consequently, such studies will under-predict incidence and prevalence. One could argue that due to the lack of firm diagnostic criteria, diagnoses may switch between conditions, resulting in under- and over-estimation of figures. However, misclassifications and changes in diagnoses would have insignificant bearing on statistics in comparison to the magnitude of effect of an exclusion of undiagnosed patients.

**Non-Reported Conditions**
No studies of the incidence/prevalence of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease or postpolio syndrome were identified. In Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease, this was surprising as it is reported as the most prevalent condition in global studies [27]. Furthermore, one may expect more extensive research with follow-up in a non-life-limiting condition. With regard to postpolio syndrome, despite a number of intervention trials [28–33], the lack of reported incidence/prevalence may reflect difficulties in confirming diagnoses due to the symptoms being similar to those associated with natural ageing. Accurate case ascertainment would be difficult and expose statistics to bias.

**Conclusion**
In conclusion, the review reported incidence/prevalence ranges for the long-term neurological conditions from all identified studies in the UK since 1988. The rates varied between studies, particularly for Huntington’s disease, possibly attributable to geographical variation. The exclusion of articles due to methodological limitations suggests future epidemiological studies require comprehensive case ascertainment and strict and standardised diagnostic methods. Such safeguards will ensure more comprehensive reviews of incidence and prevalence, covering a wider denominator population of the UK.

**Appendix 1**

### Medline (Ovid) 1950 – week 2, 2009
1. exp Huntington’s Disease
2. exp Motor Neurone Disease
3. exp Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis
4. exp Multiple System Atrophy
5. exp Postpoliomyelitis Syndrome
6. exp Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease
7. exp Hereditary Motor and Sensory Neuropathies
8. exp Supranuclear Palsy, Progressive
9. spinocerebellar ataxia
10. episodic ataxia
11. dentatorubropallidoluysian atrophy
12. DPRLA
13. long-term neurological conditions.mp.
14. progressive neurological conditions.mp.
15. progressive neurological disease.mp.
16. exp Epidemiology
17. exp Incidence
18. exp Prevalence
19. exp Diagnosis
20. exp Prognosis
21. or/1–15
22. or/16–20
23. 21 and 22
24. limit 23 to (English language and yr = “1988–2009”)

### Embase (Ovid) 1980 – week 46, 2008
1. exp Huntington’s Disease
2. exp Motor Neurone Disease
3. exp Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis
4. exp Multiple System Atrophy
5. exp Postpoliomyelitis Syndrome
6. exp Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease
7. exp “Hereditary Motor and Sensory Neuropathies”
8. exp Supranuclear Palsy, Progressive
9. spinocerebellar ataxia
10. episodic ataxia
11. dentatorubropallidoluysian atrophy
12. DPRLA
13. long-term neurological conditions.mp.
14. progressive neurological conditions.mp.
15. progressive neurological disease.mp.
16. exp Epidemiology
17. exp Incidence
18. exp Prevalence
19. exp Diagnosis
20. exp Prognosis
21. or/1–15
22. or/16–20
23. 21 and 22
24. limit 23 to (English language and yr = “1988–2009”)
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Appendix 2

Diagnostic criteria

- Motor neurone disease: diagnosed by the El Escorial criteria [34] after 1994, and the WFN criteria before 1994 (definite, probable, possible and suspected categories)
- Huntington’s disease: diagnosed by genetic test and/or presence of physical and psychological symptoms; confirmed by at least 1 neurologist
- Progressive supranuclear palsy: diagnosis confirmed by at least 1 neurologist
- Multiple system atrophy: diagnosis confirmed by at least 1 neurologist
- Postpolio syndrome: confirmation of polio virus in earlier life, and presence of symptoms
- Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: diagnosis confirmed by family history and neurological tests
- Dominantly inherited ataxias: diagnosis by genetic and neurological tests


17 2001 census for Scotland. General Register Office for Scotland.


