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Abstract

The fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* causes rice blast disease, one of the most devastating diseases of all cereals. This fungus infects rice, the essential staple crop for half of the world’s population. *M. oryzae* attacks all parts of the rice plant, causing losses up to 30% of the annual rice harvest. Such losses have lead to rice shortages in many developing countries in recent years, making effective control of this devastating disease imperative to ensure global food security and economic and social stability. Fortunately, the availability of the genome sequences of both rice and *M. oryzae* has made this a model pathosystem for understanding the molecular basis of plant-fungal interactions.

In this study, I sought to identify novel genetic determinants for successful colonisation of plant tissue by *M. oryzae*, using two experimental approaches: 1) by screening a *M. oryzae* random insertional mutagenesis library; and 2) by targeted deletion of putatively secreted *M. oryzae* proteins. Unfortunately, these candidate effectors were not essential for successful colonisation of rice and barley cells and were not secreted into the plant host cells. The major outcome of this research project has been the identification of a new *M. oryzae* pathogenicity gene, *TPC1* (*Transcription factor for Polarity Control1*), which was identified as a pathogenicity-defective mutant M1422 generated by random insertional T-DNA mutagenesis. *TPC1* belongs to the strictly fungal group of Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family. This transcriptional regulator appears to play an important role in vegetative fungal growth and in fungal colonisation in planta. The phenotypes observed during appressorium- and infection-associated developmental processes suggest that *TPC1* is a core polarity protein that is required for the correct development of apical-growing structures.
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CHAPTER 1

General Introduction
1.1. Rice blast disease

The filamentous ascomycete *Magnaporthe oryzae* (Hebert) Barr (anamorph *Pyricularia oryzae* Sacc.; class: Pyrenomycetes) is the causal agent of rice blast disease, one of the most devastating of all cereal diseases throughout the world. It is estimated that each year rice blast causes losses of 10% to 30% of the rice yield (Talbot, 2003; Skamnioti and Gurr, 2009). In addition to rice, *M. oryzae* also infects other agronomically important crops such as barley, wheat and millet (Valent and Chumley, 1991). *M. oryzae* is an important model organism for studying fungal infection-related development and pathogenicity due to the ease of culturing the fungus *in vitro* and its genetic tractability (Valent and Chumley, 1991; Talbot, 2003). The genomic sequences of both the fungus (Dean *et al.*, 2005) and rice (Goff *et al.*, 2002; Yu *et al.*, 2002) are available, providing a unique opportunity to study a host-pathogen interaction from both sides using functional genomics approaches.

In nature, the rice blast fungus attacks all above ground parts of rice plants and infections occur when conidia attach and germinate on the leaf surface (Hamer *et al.*, 1988). The germinating spore differentiates a melanised appressorium, which generates enormous turgor pressure that is required to penetrate the leaf cuticle and invade plant tissue (de Jong *et al.*, 1997; Dean, 1997). The fungus can also undergo a different series of developmental events and infect plant roots (Dufresne and Osbourn, 2001). Here, at infection sites, hyphal swellings resembling the hyphopodia of root-infecting fungi develop and invade the root tissue and the vascular system to cause systemic plant infection (Sesma and Osbourn, 2004). Subsequent invasive growth *in planta* is similar to that observed during foliar infection by *M. oryzae* (Kankanala *et al.*, 2007). For root infection, the fungus does not require the formation of a melanised appressorium or the cAMP signal transduction pathway (Sesma and Osbourn, 2004).
1.2. The infection cycle of the rice blast fungus \textit{M. oryzae}

1.2.1. Attachment and germination of conidia

The disease cycle of \textit{M. oryzae} is shown in Fig. 1.1 and it is initiated by asexual spores called conidia. Conidiophores emerge from infected tissues through stomata or by breaking out the host cuticle from underlying plant cells infected with the fungus and produce a sympodial arrangement of three-celled conidia. Conidia of \textit{M. oryzae} are dispersed by wind and water splashes from plant to plant. Upon landing on a host leaf surface, the apical cell wall of the hydrated spore breaks open and the pre-formed spore tip mucilage is released, anchoring the conidium to the hydrophobic rice leaf surface. Once attached, even vigorous attempts to remove adherent conidia from surfaces are typically unsuccessful (Hamer \textit{et al.}, 1988; Koga and Nakayachi, 2004). This attachment can be blocked by addition of concanavalin A, a lectin that binds to α-linked glucosyl and/ or mannosyl residues present in the spore tip mucilage (Hamer \textit{et al.}, 1988; Xiao \textit{et al.}, 1994a).

Conidia germinate by producing a polarised germ tube within 30 minutes after contact with a hard, hydrophobic substrate. Usually, a single germ tube emerges from the apical or basal cell of the three-celled conidia, but not from the middle cell (Bourett and Howard, 1990). The germ tube elongates by tip growth and extends for only a short distance (15 - 30µm) before swelling and bending at its tip. This process, involving germ tube tip deformation, is known as hooking and these changes may reflect a recognition phase toward appressorium morphogenesis, occurring 2 - 4h after germination of conidia (Mendgen \textit{et al.}, 1988; Bourett and Howard, 1990). However, growth and differentiation of germ tubes also depend on environmental cues, such as surface hydrophobicity (Lee and Dean, 1993; Jelitto \textit{et al.}, 1994; Lee and Dean, 1994), the hardness of the leaf surface (Xiao \textit{et al.}, 1994b), light (Jelitto \textit{et al.}, 1994), plant cutin monomers (Uchiyama and Okuyama, 1990;
Gilbert et al., 1996), and nitrogen starvation (Talbot et al., 1997). Therefore, the correct combination of these environmental cues will trigger signal transduction cascades and the formation of the mature appressorium (Xu et al., 2007).

Fungal hydrophobins play a role in adhesion of the germ tube to the hydrophobic leaf surface and act as a signal for appressorium morphogenesis (Talbot, 1995). The highly expressed \textit{MPG1} class I hydrophobin-encoding gene is necessary to secure germ tube attachment to the hydrophobic leaf cuticle. Mpg1 self-assembles into an amphipathic layer to increase the wettability of the hydrophobic leaf surface and ensure efficient surface attachment (Talbot et al., 1996; Kershaw et al., 1998). As a consequence, the \textit{Δmpg1} mutant is reduced in appressorium formation and pathogenicity (Talbot et al., 1993; Beckerman and Ebbole, 1996). Kershaw et al. (2005) also showed that cysteine residues involved in intramolecular disulphide bonds are essential for Mpg1 protein secretion and subsequent virulence in \textit{M. oryzae}. A class II hydrophobin \textit{MHP1} was later characterised in \textit{M. oryzae} and its targeted disruption reduces conidiation, conidial germination, appressorium development and plant infection (Kim et al., 2005). However, it is still not clear if Mhp1 contributes to surface hydrophobicity. In the \textit{M. oryzae} genome, at least 6 other genes encode putative hydrophobins (Xu et al., 2007).
Figure 1.1. Life cycle of the rice blast fungus *M. oryzae*.

The rice blast fungus starts its infection cycle when a three-celled conidium lands on the rice leaf surface. The spore attaches to the hydrophobic cuticle and germinates, producing a germ tube, which subsequently hooks at its tip before differentiating into an appressorium. The appressorium becomes melanised and generates internal turgor pressure. Pressure force is generated and a penetration peg forms at the base, puncturing the cuticle and allowing entry into the rice epidermis. The appressorium matures and the conidium collapses and dies in a programmed process that requires autophagy. Plant invasion occurs by means of bulbous invasive hyphae that invaginate the rice plasma membrane and invade epidermal cells. Cell-to-cell movement occurs by plasmodesmata. Disease lesions occur between 72 – 96h after infection and sporulation occurs under humid conditions. Aerial conidiophores with sympodially-arrayed spores are carried to new host plants by wind or water splashes (Wilson and Talbot, 2009).
1.2.2. Cyclic AMP signaling during appressorium formation

The cyclic AMP (cAMP) response pathway is thought to be triggered at an early stage of *M. oryzae* germ tube elongation, with cAMP acting as a mediator of infection structure formation (Fig. 1.2). Appressorium formation can be induced on non-inductive surfaces by addition of exogenous cAMP or isobutylmethylxanthine (IBMX), an inhibitor of phosphodiesterase (Lee and Dean, 1993). In Δmac1 mutants, which lack the unique adenylate cyclase enzyme required for cAMP synthesis from ATP, there are pleiotropic defects on vegetative growth, sporulation and mating ability and mutants are unable to form appressoria and to infect susceptible rice leaves (Choi and Dean, 1997). Remarkably, on hydrophobic surfaces these mutants hook their germ tubes repeatedly and swell at their tips, but do not form appressoria. However, when Δmac1 mutants are grown on hydrophilic (non-inductive to appressorium formation) surfaces, the germ tubes are thinner, straight and undifferentiated, similar to germ tubes of the wild type strain Guy11 (Adachi and Hamer, 1998). These results demonstrate that Δmac1 mutants are impaired in their ability to discriminate between hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces, implying that cAMP signaling is involved in surface recognition in *M. oryzae*. Defects in Δmac1 mutants can be complemented by the presence of cAMP or suppressed by a spontaneous dominant active mutation in *SUM1*, which encodes the regulatory subunit of protein kinase A (PKA) (Choi and Dean, 1997; Adachi and Hamer, 1998). *SUM1* is an essential gene in *M. oryzae* and mutants with *SUM1* silenced by RNA interference are significantly reduced in the production of conidia and vegetative growth and are non-pathogenic (Xu *et al.*, 2007).
Figure 1.2. Model for signal transduction pathways that regulate appressorium morphogenesis.

In this model, appressorium development is positively regulated by physical surface signals that are perceived by Pth11 receptor protein and activate Mac1 adenylate cyclase. Negative regulation of appressorium development in response to exogenous nutrients occurs via dissociation of the MagB-containing heterotrimeric G protein, releasing the βγ subunit, which acts as a repressor of Mac1. The PMK1 MAPK signaling pathway regulates appressorium morphogenesis and later stages of invasive growth, acting via MST12 transcription factor. The Pmk1 MAPK is regulated via interaction with Mst50, which acts as an adaptor protein for the Mst11 MAPKKK and Mst7 MAPKK. Mst7 is responsible for Pmk1 phosphorylation and associate with Pmk1 via a MAPK docking site. The cAMP pathway is also responsible for regulating carbohydrate and lipid metabolism during turgor generation. In this model, the MPS1 regulatory pathway for penetration peg emergence is triggered by a developmental checkpoint, perhaps following completion of appressorium morphogenesis. Adapted from Talbot, 2003 and Caracuel-Rios and Talbot, 2007.
Gene replacement mutants of CPKA, a gene encoding a catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent PKA, produce smaller melanised appressoria and are non-pathogenic (Mitchell and Dean, 1995; Xu et al., 1997). However, Δcpka mutants can infect abraded rice leaves, suggesting that CpkA is not essential for infectious growth after penetration. On non-inductive hydrophilic surfaces, Δcpka mutants are still responsive to exogenous cAMP for appressorium formation (Xu et al., 1997).

Kulkarni and Dean (2004) identified several proteins that interact with Mac1 and Cpka, two regulators of appressorium development, using a yeast two-hybrid assay. The interactors of Mac1 include a MAP kinase kinase, a Ser/Thr kinase and a membrane protein with a fungal-specific CFEM domain called Aci1 (Kulkarni et al., 2003). Cpka also interacted with a putative transcriptional regulator and two different glycosyl hydrolases (Kulkarni and Dean, 2004). Further characterisation of these interacting partners will help to understand the protein interaction network involved in appressorium development and their roles in cAMP signaling.

Initial events in substrate sensing and transduction of extracellular cues into an intracellular signal to initiate appressorium formation are still poorly understood, but are likely to involve perception of signals through G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). One of these components is the GPCR-like gene PTH11, which encodes a transmembrane protein with a cysteine-rich CFEM domain, and localises to the plasma membrane and vacuoles (DeZwaan et al., 1999; Kulkarni et al., 2005). Δpth11 mutants are non-pathogenic due to a defect in appressorium differentiation. However, Δpth11 mutants can form functional appressoria at a frequency 10-15% of the wild type and can infect wounded plant tissues, suggesting that Pth11 is not required for appressorium morphogenesis but is involved in host surface recognition. Defects in the Δpth11 mutants can be restored by addition of cAMP and diacylglycerol (DAG), which is consistent with Pth11 acting upstream of the cAMP pathway and mediating appressorium differentiation by activation of Mac1/ cAMP-
mediated intracellular signaling (DeZwaan et al., 1999). To date, the M. oryzae genome appears to encode 76 GPCR-like proteins of which 61 represent a class related to Pth11. Interestingly, no Pth11 homologues are found in the model yeast species Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe or in the genomes of basidiomycetes, plants and animals (Kulkarni et al., 2005).

In several fungi, activation of adenylate cyclase is mediated by heterotrimeric GTP-binding proteins and M. oryzae is no exception (Fig. 1.2). The fungus has three Gα (MagA, MagB, MagC), one Gβ (Mgb1) and one Gγ subunits. The ΔmagB deletion mutant is reduced in appressorium formation and in the ability to infect rice leaves, whereas ΔmagA and ΔmagC mutants are still pathogenic, i.e. they act as virulence determinants (Liu and Dean, 1997). Deletion of MAGC reduces conidiation and both ΔmagA and ΔmagC mutants do not produce mature asci after sexual reproduction. In ΔmagB mutants the defect in appressorium formation can be restored by addition of cAMP, IBMX and 1,16-hexadecanediol (a lipid monomer). The ΔmagB mutants also fail to form perithecia and have reduced vegetative growth, conidiation and appressorium formation. Generation of a constitutively-active dominant MAGB\textsuperscript{G42R} allele is predicted to abolish GTPase activity. The MagB\textsuperscript{G42R} mutants are reduced in virulence but are able to produce appressoria on hydrophobic and hydrophilic surfaces (Fang and Dean, 2000). This dominant mutation also causes autolysis of aged colonies, mis-scheduled melanisation of hyphal tips and reduction in both sexual and asexual reproduction. These results suggest that Gα subunit genes are involved in multiple signal transduction pathways in M. oryzae that control vegetative growth, sporulation, mating, appressorium formation and pathogenicity. The G-protein β subunit is encoded by MGB1 (Nishimura et al., 2003). Mutants disrupted in MGB1 are defective in vegetative growth, conidiation, appressorium formation and virulence, even on wounded plants. Exogenous cAMP induces the formation of abnormal and non-functional appressoria on hydrophobic or hydrophilic surfaces in
Δmgb1 mutants. Thus, MGB1 may be involved in the cAMP signaling for regulating conidiation, surface recognition and appressorium formation. Although MGB1 is not directly involved in regulating PMK1 for appressorium formation, it may be involved in regulating PMK1 for appressorium penetration and invasive growth.

1.2.3. The PMK1 MAP kinase signaling pathway

Mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK) operate in association with upstream kinases to coordinate diverse cellular events in eukaryotic cells in response to environmental or developmental signals. M. oryzae expresses PMK1, which encodes a MAPK that is a functional homologue of yeast FUS3/ KSS1 kinase genes, which play roles in the pheromone signaling pathway and the regulation of pseudohyphal growth (Xu and Hamer, 1996). PMK1 is not essential for vegetative growth and sporulation in culture, although it can rescue the mating defect in a Δfus3 Δkss1 double mutant in yeast. The Δpmk1 deletion mutants fail to form appressoria and to grow invasively in rice plants, and are still non-pathogenic even when inoculated on wounded rice leaves. Pmk1 is distributed throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus during most stages of growth and may be translocated to the nucleus in developing appressoria as a response to inductive stimuli (Bruno et al., 2004). In Δpmk1 mutants high extracellular concentrations of cAMP do not induce appressoria formation, but increase the level of germ tube hooking and swelling on non- and inductive surfaces (Xu and Hamer, 1996). These results demonstrate that Pmk1 acts downstream of a cAMP signal for appressorium morphogenesis (Fig 1.2). Studies in several other plant pathogenic fungi, including Botrytis cinerea, Claviceps purpurea, Cochliobolus heterostrophus, Fusarium graminearum and Stagonospora nodorum, have shown that the PMK1 pathway is well conserved in many phytopathogenic fungi for regulating appressorium formation and other plant infection processes (Xu, 2000). Overall, the PMK1 pathway regulates appressorium formation and infectious growth.
The MAP kinases (MAPK) are usually activated by MAPK kinases (MAPKK) that are, in turn, activated by MAPKK kinases (MAPKKK) and these MAPK cascades are conserved in eukaryotes (Schaeffer and Webber, 1999). In *M. oryzae*, *MST7* MAPKK and *MST11* MAPKKK genes are homologous to yeast *STE7* MAPKK and *STE11* MAPKKK genes, respectively. Similar to Δ*pmk1* mutant, mutants with *MST7* or *MST11* deleted fail to form appressoria and to colonise rice tissues even through wounds (Zhao et al., 2005). Expression of a dominant active *MST7* allele restores appressorium formation in both Δ*mst7* and Δ*mst11* mutants, consistent with the idea that Mst7 is acting downstream of Mst11 (Fig. 1.2). However, appressoria formed by these mutants fail to penetrate and infect rice leaves. One explanation is that constitutively active *MST7* alleles in Δ*mst7* and Δ*mst11* mutants may have defects in the cAMP signaling pathway that regulates surface recognition and appressorial turgor generation (Zhao et al., 2005; Park et al., 2006). Mst7 is responsible for Pmk1 phosphorylation and these two proteins seem to interact physically during appressorium formation and require the intact MAPK-docking site of Mst7 (Zhao et al., 2005). Activated Pmk1 can move to the nucleus and phosphorylate transcription factors (Zhao and Xu, 2007). The Mst11 MAPKKK contains an N-terminal sterile α-motif (SAM) domain, a Ras-association (RA) domain and a C-terminal protein kinase domain. The SAM domain is essential for Mst11 function and associates with the SAM-containing Mst50 protein (Zhao et al., 2005). In *M. oryzae*, *MST50* gene is homologous to yeast *STE50* and has an N-terminal SAM and a C-terminal RA domain. Similar to Δ*mst11* mutant, the Δ*mst50* mutant was sensitive to osmotic stress and defective in appressorium formation and pathogenicity (Park et al., 2006). Mst50 functions as an upstream component of the *PMK1* pathway and directly interacts with Mst11 via the SAM domain and Mst7. Therefore, it may function as the adaptor protein for the Mst11-Mst7-Pmk1 cascade (Fig. 1.2) (Zhao et al., 2005; Park et al., 2006). Mst50 also interacts with Ras1 and Ras2 via the RA domain, as well as
Cdc42 and Mgb1. These proteins may be responsible for transducing signals to activate the Mst11-Mst7-Pmk1 cascade, which regulates different plant infection processes via the Mst12 and other transcription factors.

There are numerous downstream targets of the Pmk1 MAPK signaling pathway and one likely target is the Mst12 transcription factor (Fig. 1.2) that weakly interacts with Pmk1 in a yeast two-hybrid assay (Park et al., 2002, 2004). MST12 from M. oryzae is homologous to yeast STE12, and MST12 is dispensable for vegetative growth, sporulation and appressorium formation. However, the Δmst12 mutants are defective in appressorial penetration and plant infection and both the homeodomain and zinc finger domains of Mst12 are essential for these functions. Δmst12 mutants also fail to reorganise microtubules associated with penetration peg formation and to elicit localised plant defence responses, including papilla formation and autofluorescence (Park et al., 2002, 2004). Thus, Mst12 seems to be involved on regulating appressorium maturation, penetration and invasive growth.

Another two genes regulated by PMK1 during appressorium formation are GAS1 and GAS2 genes, which encode small proteins that are similar to gEgh16 of the powdery mildew fungus (Xue et al., 2002). Mutants deleted in GAS1 and GAS2 have normal vegetative growth, sporulation and appressorium formation, but are reduced in penetration and virulence on rice seedlings. Both are expressed only in appressoria, but Gas1 is localised preferentially in the vacuole, while Gas2 is throughout the cytoplasm. Interestingly, deletion of both GAS1 and GAS2 does not have an additive effect on appressorium-mediated penetration and lesion development, and GAS1 and GAS2 cannot complement each other (Xue et al., 2002).
1.2.4. Appressorium development and function

Following germination and within 8h each germ tube forms a dome-shaped appressorium (Fig. 1.1). Its cell wall is rich in chitin and contains a homogeneous melanin layer (100nm thick) on the inner side of the wall. The layer also covers the septum that separates the appressorium and germ tube. The region in contact with the substratum will become the appressorium pore. This region will be the future site of penetration and is characterised for being thinner than the other areas of appressorium wall, single layered and lacking chitin and melanin (Bourett and Howard, 1990). Under the rim of the appressorium, a pore ring is clearly seen and it has been suggested a function in sealing the pore to the surface of the substrate (Bourett and Howard, 1990; Howard and Valent, 1996).

The appressorium attaches firmly to the leaf and then generates enormous turgor pressure estimated to be as high as 8MPa, which is used as mechanical force to rupture the plant cuticle (Howard et al., 1991; Bechinger et al., 1999). The turgor inside the appressorium is generated by a rapid increase in intracellular glycerol levels to greater than 3M, which is maintained by a melanin layer that effectively lowers the porosity of appressorial walls to < 1nm (Howard et al., 1991; de Jong et al., 1997). If melanin biosynthesis is blocked either by chemical inhibitors, such as tricyclazole, or by mutation of biosynthetic genes, then the fungus is unable to penetrate the plant surface and cannot cause disease (Chumley and Valent, 1990).

Glycerol biosynthesis in the appressorium of M. oryzae is regulated in a distinct manner from that observed in S. cerevisiae. In yeast, glycerol is synthesised predominantly from carbohydrates and controlled by a conserved MAPK signaling system called the HOG (high osmolarity glycerol) pathway. Stimulation of the HOG pathway leads to accumulation of glycerol in yeast cells and maintenance of cellular turgor in response to hyperosmotic stress (Smith et al., 2010). The M. oryzae OSM1
gene encodes an osmosensory MAPK that is functionally homologous to yeast 
*HOG1*. Although *OSM1* is required for mycelial growth under hyperosmotic stress 
conditions, it is dispensable for glycerol accumulation and turgor generation in 
appressaria and virulence (Dixon *et al.*, 1999). This suggests that *M. oryzae* has 
evolved specific signaling pathways for appressorium-mediated plant infection that 
operate independently of the conserved eukaryotic pathway mechanism for cellular 
turgor regulation. It seems likely that the cAMP and *PMK1* pathways coordinate the 
breakdown and transfer of storage carbohydrate and lipid reserves to the 
appressorium and consequently promote appressorium turgor generation (Thines *et 
al.*, 2000).

Conidial storage compounds such as glycogen, trehalose and lipids are trafficked to 
the developing appressorium and appear to be used in the synthesis of glycerol. 
Trehalose synthesis in *M. oryzae* is mediated by a trehalose-6-phosphate synthase, 
*TPS1*, which is also required for production of appressorial turgor, penetration 
hyphae and plant infection (Foster *et al.*, 2003; Wilson *et al.*, 2007). In the *M. oryzae* 
genome, two trehalases have been characterized, *NTH1* and *TRE1*, and shown to 
be involved in trehalose breakdown. Although *Δnth1* mutants are able to penetrate 
the plant cuticle normally, their ability to proliferate effectively in plant tissue and 
induce disease symptoms is impaired. Conversely, *TRE1* gene is dispensable to 
pathogenicity (Foster *et al.*, 2003). Enzymatic activities of glycerol-3-phosphate 
dehydrogenase and glycerol dehydrogenase are expressed in appressoria but do 
not increase during appressorium development. So, glycerol synthesis from 
glycolytic intermediates is not the principal route for generating the glycerol required 
for turgor pressure (Thines *et al.*, 2000). In contrast, triacylglycerol lipase activity 
increases during appressorium formation and maturation. Lipid breakdown in 
appressoria is a complex process and an efficient way of producing glycerol rapidly 
and leads to production of fatty acids for oxidation and ATP generation (Thines *et
al., 2000; Wang et al., 2007). Deletion of MFP1 gene, encoding the multifunctional β-oxidation enzyme, leads to attenuation of virulence (Wang et al., 2007), while Δpex6 mutants are defective in β-oxidation of long chain fatty acids and functional peroxisomes and as a result are impaired in appressorium function and pathogenicity (Ramos-Pamplona and Naqvi, 2006). The major peroxisomal carnitine acetyl transferase in M. oryzae encoded by the PTH2 gene plays a role in the transfer of acetyl-CoA molecules across intracellular membranes and subsequent generation of acetyl-CoA pools necessary for appressorium function and penetration hyphae during host invasion (Bhambra et al., 2006). In summary, M. oryzae has a versatile capacity to synthesise glycerol in the appressorium for turgor generation.

In M. oryzae, the pigment melanin is synthesised from the polyketide precursor 1,8-dihydroxynaphthalene (DHN) and is essential for appressoria to accumulate turgor pressure (Chumley and Valent, 1990; Henson et al., 1999). ALB1, RSY1 and BUF1 genes encode a polyketide synthase (PKS), a scytalone dehydratase and a polyhydroxynaphthalene reductase, respectively, and are 3 major genes involved in DHN melanin synthesis. These melanin-deficient mutants of M. oryzae fail to infect host plants, but the same mutants successfully infect plants that have wounded or abraded leaves (Chumley and Valent, 1990). Another gene identified to be involved in melanin biosynthesis is PIG1, which encodes a transcription factor that contains two types of DNA-binding motifs, Cys₂Hys₂ zinc finger and Zn(II)₂Cys₆ binuclear cluster motifs (Tsuji et al., 2000). Mutants deleted for PIG1 are defective in pathogenicity and mycelial melanisation but not in appressorial melanisation, indicating that melanin synthesis is regulated by different mechanisms in vegetative hyphae and appressoria of M. oryzae.

The potential role of extracellular enzymes to facilitate perforation of host surface is controversial. The infection process may be accelerated by the action of
extracellular enzymes, but the fact that *M. oryzae* can penetrate inert plastic surfaces shows that mechanical force is the primary means of infection (Howard *et al.*, 1991). Nonetheless, the *M. oryzae* genome is predicted to encode 16 putative cutinase and 20 putative xylanase genes and other hydrolytic enzymes that can erode the plant cuticle and degrade the plant cell wall (Dean *et al.*, 2005). Sweigard *et al.* (1992) identified a cutin-degrading enzyme encoded by *CUT1*, which was dispensable for pathogenicity. But *CUT1* was not among those cutinase genes that are significantly up-regulated during infection process. Recently, a second cutinase *CUT2*, the expression of which is up-regulated during appressorium maturation and penetration, was characterised (Skamnioti and Gurr, 2007). Contrary to the Δ*cut1* mutant, the Δ*cut2* mutant displays reduced conidiation, multiple elongated germ tubes, aberrant appressoria and is severely reduced in virulence. Morphological and pathogenicity defects can be restored by exogenous application of cAMP, IBMX and DAG. Therefore, Cut2 appears to play a pivotal role in surface sensing, leading to correct germling morphogenesis and successful plant penetration (Skamnioti and Gurr, 2007).

### 1.2.5. Appressorium-mediated plant infection regulated by cell cycle

Appressorium development by *M. oryzae* is cell cycle-regulated and requires autophagic programmed cell death in order to recycle the contents of the fungal spore before plant infection (Fig. 1.1) (Veneault-Fourrey *et al.*, 2006a; Kershaw and Talbot, 2009). During germination, one nucleus of the three-celled conidium migrates into the developing germ tube, where it undergoes mitosis (4 – 6h). Following mitosis, one of the daughter nuclei migrates into the nascent appressorium and the other returns to the cell of the conidium from which the mother nucleus originated (8h). Blocking mitosis in the germ tube prevents appressorium development (Veneault-Fourrey *et al.*, 2006a). Recently, Saunders *et al.* (2010) have shown that entry into mitosis is both necessary and sufficient to
initiate appressorium formation and maturation in *M. oryzae*, while exit from mitosis is an essential pre-requisite for plant infection.

Fungal autophagy is necessary for rice blast disease. A mutant lacking *ATG8* gene, which encodes an ubiquitin-like protein essential for autophagy, cannot undergo conidial collapse and is unable to produce functional appressoria, penetration hyphae and disease symptoms on the host leaves (Veneault-Fourrey *et al.*, 2006a). Furthermore, any of the 16 genes (*ATG1*-*10, 12-13, 15-18*) required for non-selective macroautophagy are indispensable for conidial programmed cell death and appressorium maturation. These deletion mutants are unable to cause rice blast disease (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009).

**1.2.6. Penetration peg formation**

Following appressorium melanisation, a narrow penetration peg (3 – 5µm in diameter) emerges from the appressorial pore to penetrate the host surface and conveys the contents of the appressorium into epidermal plant cells (Fig. 1.1). The peg wall is composed of a single cell wall layer which binds the lectins concanavalin A and wheat germ agglutinin (Bourett and Howard, 1990), although the presence of cell wall at the tip of penetration pegs remains controversial (Koga, 1994). The peg cytoplasm and the adjacent region in the appressorium are a zone-of-exclusion lacking organelles with only a few ribosomes present. However, an extensive cytoskeletal network is observed, containing actin and microtubules that might increase peg rigidity and act as an important factor of the mechanical penetration employed (Bourett and Howard, 1990). After piercing the plant cell wall, the narrow peg enlarges to form a primary infection hypha that differentiates into branched, vacuolated and bulbous secondary hyphae (> 5µm in diameter), which spread intracellularly (Heath *et al.*, 1990, 1992; Koga, 1994; Kankanala *et al.*, 2007). Within 3 to 5 days after initial inoculation, necrotic lesions appear developed on the surface of rice leaves.
Regulation of penetration peg formation requires $MPS1$, which encodes a MAPK essential for the maintenance of cell wall integrity and polarisation of the actin cytoskeleton (Fig. 1.2) (Xu et al., 1998). $MPS1$ is a functional homologue of the $S.\ cerervisiae\ SLT2$ MAPK and is responsible for controlling cell wall growth in response to membrane stress. The $\Delta mps1$ mutants show hyper-sensitivity to cell wall digesting enzymes, reduced sporulation and fertility. Although $\Delta mps1$ mutants form melanised and functional appressoria, they fail to penetrate the plant surface and are non-pathogenic. Another surprising finding is that this mutant still activates plant defence responses, changes in the pattern of cytoplasmic streaming and rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton in planta (Xu et al., 1998).

Among the virulence factors that contribute for appressorial penetration is a tetraspanin-like protein encoded by the $PLS1$ gene (Clergeot et al., 2001). This fungal tetraspanin has a similar secondary structure to animal tetraspanin proteins, which are known to regulate cell morphology, motility, invasion, fusion and signaling events in animal systems (Hemler, 2005). In a similar way to the $\Delta mps1$ mutant, mutants lacking $PLS1$ form melanised and functional appressoria but are unable to differentiate penetration pegs and are non-pathogenic. Pls1 protein is expressed only in appressoria and is localised in the appressorial plasma membrane and vacuoles (Clergeot et al., 2001). The exact function of Pls1 is not clear, but it may be involved in re-establishing polarised growth and in the clustering or trafficking of membrane receptors involved in the generation of positional signals. Pls1 is the only tetraspanin in $M.\ oryzae$ and a single copy of an orthologue tetraspanin gene is present in many filamentous ascomycetes (Veneault-Fourrey et al., 2006b).
1.2.7. Biotrophic invasion in planta by M. oryzae

*M. oryzae* has been described as a hemibiotrophic pathogen, because the fungus can behave as either a biotroph or necrotroph, depending on the surrounding conditions or the stage of its life-cycle (Kankanala *et al.*, 2007). After appressorial penetration, thin filamentous primary hyphae grow in the first invaded rice plant cell. These hyphae differentiate into bulbous invasive hyphae (IH) and are sealed by a plant plasma membrane, termed the extra-invasive hyphal membrane (EIH M) (Kankanala *et al.*, 2007). These specialised invasive hyphal membranes contain multiple connections to peripheral rice cell membranes. Subsequently infected rice cells are invaded by filamentous IH. Successive cell invasions are biotrophic, although individual invaded cells are no longer viable when the fungus moves into the adjacent cells. Nothing is yet known regarding how, when and why biotrophic hyphae switch to necrotrophic growth. *M. oryzae* appears to manipulate the structure and function of plasmodesmata to mediate its cell-to-cell movement into live neighbour rice cells and for controlling plant cellular communication, suggesting that the fungus has outstanding means of perceiving plant cell structures and is capable of evading or suppressing plant defences during plant cell invasion (Kankanala *et al.*, 2007). Most likely, *M. oryzae* suppresses plant defence responses by delivering blast effector proteins during infectious hyphal growth in planta.

1.3. Effector biology of phytopathogens

Plant pathogens secrete proteins known as effectors, during colonisation of a plant host. Effector proteins are pathogen molecules that manipulate host cell structure and function, facilitating infection (virulence factors or toxins) and/or triggering defence responses (avirulence factors or elicitors) (for definitions see Kamoun, 2006).
Flor (1971) stated the gene-for gene hypothesis in which for every avirulence (AVR) gene in the pathogen there is a corresponding resistance (R) gene. This interaction can be direct or indirect. Pathogen recognition triggers host resistance responses in order to block further growth of the pathogen. These defence responses include a rapid form of localised cell death termed the hypersensitive response (HR), production of antimicrobial compounds, lignin formation, an oxidative burst and increased expression of pathogenesis-related genes (Bent and Mackey, 2007). A newer “zig-zag” model (Fig. 1.3) has been proposed in which plants contain two lines of defence (Jones and Dangl, 2006). The first line of defence provides basal protection against all potential pathogens and is based on recognition of conserved microbial features (e.g. chitin), that are known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by PAMP-recognition receptors (PRRs). The PRRs activate PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) that prevents further growth of the pathogen in the host. Successful pathogens deploy effectors that contribute to pathogen virulence. Plants respond with the development of a more specialised system based on effector recognition by R proteins (e.g. nucleotide binding site – leucine-rich repeat NBS-LRR receptors) and subsequent activation of effector-triggered immunity (ETI) that leads to fast and acute defence responses at infection sites (e.g. HR). This triggers a second wave of co-evolutionary arms race between pathogens and plants. Pathogens respond by mutating or losing effectors or by acquiring novel effectors that avoid or suppress ETI, whereas plants develop novel R proteins so that ETI can be triggered again (Jones and Dangl, 2006).
Figure 1.3. Zig-zag model of the plant immune system.

In a first phase, plants detect pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs, red diamonds) via PRRs to trigger PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). In a second phase, successful pathogens deliver effectors that interfere with PTI, enabling pathogen nutrition and dispersal and resulting in effector-triggered susceptibility (ETS). In a third phase, one effector (indicated in red) is recognised by an NBS-LRR protein, activating effector-triggered immunity (ETI) and inducing hypersensitive cell death (HR). In a final phase, pathogen isolates that have lost the red effector are selected and perhaps gain novel effectors through horizontal gene flow (in blue). These proteins can help pathogens to suppress ETI. Selection favours new plant NBS-LRR alleles that can recognise one of the newly acquired effectors, resulting again in ETI (Jones and Dangl, 2006).
1.3.1. *Pseudomonas* and *Xanthomonas* type III effectors

The ability of *Pseudomonas*, *Xanthomonas* and most other Gram-negative phytopathogenic bacteria to grow and cause disease in plants is dependent on the injection of effector proteins directly into the cytoplasm of plant cells via the type III secretion system (T3SS) (Cornelis, 2006). Each bacterial strain has a set of 20-30 effectors, which are functionally redundant, interchangeable and apparently are not adapted for host specificity (reviewed by Cunnac *et al.*, 2009). Because effectors are individually dispensable for pathogenicity, they are easily missed in virulence mutant screens. However, the generalisations made above may be less true for *Xanthomonas*, in which effectors of the transcription activator-like (TAL) genes are individually indispensable for pathogenicity and conserved between different strains (Kay and Bonas, 2009; Bogdanove *et al.*, 2010). Their specificity arises from the recognition of a target gene promoter motif named the *UPA* box, by the DNA binding domain of each TAL effector (Boch *et al.*, 2009).

Computational prediction programs and functional screens can be used to identify novel T3S effectors due to general features that these proteins present: (1) active effector genes are associated with *hypersensitive response and pathogenicity (Hrp)* box promoters; (2) the N-terminal region of effectors has characteristic amino-acid patterns; (3) several effectors are encoded in operons containing a chaperone gene; (4) generally effectors are located in regions harbouring signatures of horizontal acquisition (Cunnac *et al.*, 2009).

1.3.2. Oomycete effectors

Although oomycetes share morphological features with some filamentous fungal phytopathogens, they are heterokonts and more closely related to diatoms and brown algae (Birch *et al.*, 2006). During the early stages of infection, oomycetes form specialised feeding structures called haustoria as do biotrophic fungi. Haustoria play a key role in exploitation of water and nutrients and might be involved in the
delivery of effector molecules into the plant cell to suppress plant defences and enable parasitic colonisation (Mendgen and Hahn, 2002). The oomycete Avr proteins possess a conserved RXLR motif within the N-terminal signal peptide. Some of these effector proteins also have an EER motif right downstream of the RXLR motif. The RXLR-EER motifs are required for secretion from haustoria and translocation into the host plant cells (Whisson et al., 2007). Interestingly, this RXLR motif resembles in both sequence and position the RXLX motif required for the translocation of malarial proteins from \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} into the host erythrocytes (Hiller et al., 2004) and is exchangeable with the \textit{Plasmodium} host targeting signal (Bhattacharjee et al., 2006). The occurrence of this conserved RXLR motif has enabled searches for candidate effectors from different oomycete genome sequences (Win et al., 2007). Unfortunately, the RXLR motif is not detected in fungal Avr proteins and may be specific for oomycetes (Catanzariti et al., 2007; Mosquera et al., 2009).

### 1.3.3. Fungal effectors

In contrast to bacterial plant pathogens, little is known about effector function in fungi. Like Avr proteins from oomycetes, fungal Avr proteins are also detected intracellularly, suggesting that these plant pathogens must deliver effectors inside host cells (Catanzariti et al., 2006; Ridout et al., 2006; Mosquera et al., 2009). However, the mechanism of translocation into the apoplast or cytoplasm of infected plant cells is unknown. Fungal effector proteins identified so far are predominantly small and cysteine-rich proteins: e.g. Avr2, Avr4, Avr9, Ecp1, Ecp2, Ecp4, Ecp5, Ecp6 and Ecp7 from fungal pathogen of tomato \textit{Cladosporium fulvum} (van den Ackerveken et al., 1993; Joosten et al., 1994; Dixon et al., 1996; Laugé et al., 2000; Bolton et al., 2008); Six1, Six2 and Six4 from vascular pathogen \textit{Fusarium oxysporum} (Rep et al., 2004; Houterman et al., 2007); AvrLm6 and AvrLm4-7 from the causal agent of stem canker on oilseed rape \textit{Leptosphaeria maculans} (Fudal et
al., 2007; Parlange et al., 2009); AvrP123 and AvrP4 from flax rust fungus *Melampsora lini* (Catanzariti et al., 2006); and Avra10 and Avrk1 from powdery mildew fungus *Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *hordei* (Ridout et al., 2006).

The contribution of each fungal effector to virulence has also proven to be difficult to assess (reviewed by Stergiopoulos and de Wit, 2009). Many effectors seem to work in concert during colonisation *in planta* and their individual contribution to virulence is minor or undetectable, as their deletion has no apparent effect on pathogen fitness. Some effectors might have overlapping functions and therefore are redundant (*e.g.* Avr4 and Ecp6 of *C. fulvum*).

Some of the genes including *AVR2, AVR4, AVR9* and *ECP2* from *C. fulvum*, do not have orthologues in the *M. oryzae* genome. Similarly, *F. graminearum* lacks orthologues of known *M. oryzae* AVR genes *PWL2* and *AVR1-CO39* (Xu et al., 2007). Hence, discovery of novel effector genes in sequenced genomes by comparative secretome analysis or BLAST sequence similarity search has been an unsuccessful process due to lack of common structural features or conserved domains among fungal AVR genes.

**Magnaporthe effectors**

The rice-*Magnaporthe* pathosystem is a classical gene-for-gene system (Flor, 1971) in which AVR genes in the pathogen show a functional correspondence with particular plant R genes. So far, over 80 blast R genes have been identified in the search for durable resistance to rice blast disease (Ballini et al., 2008). However, few AVR genes (*e.g.* *AVR-Pita1, PWL2, AVR1-CO39, ACE1*) have been cloned and characterised in *M. oryzae*, suggesting that many effector genes remain to be identified (Skamnioti and Gurr, 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Valent and Khang, 2010).
The *AVR-Pita1* gene encodes a putative neutral zinc metalloprotease that is likely to be secreted into the plant cytoplasm during penetration and further infection (Jia et al., 2000; Orbach et al., 2000). Interestingly, *AVR-Pita1* is located in the telomeric region and this situation may allow *M. oryzae* to adapt rapidly to its host by undergoing high rates of recombination at chromosome ends. The fungus can also escape recognition by NBS-LRR Pi-ta receptor through diverse mechanisms, including point mutations, insertion mutations and deletions of *AVR-Pita1* gene sequence (Orbach et al., 2000; Takahashi et al., 2010). Amino acid mutations in the Pi-ta LRR motif (Bryan et al., 2000) or in the Avr-Pita1 protease motif (Jia et al., 2000) result in loss of resistance responses in rice plants due to disruption of physical interaction between Pi-ta and Avr-Pita1 proteins. The Avr-Pita1/ Pi-ta interaction provides the first report of a direct intracellular Avr/ R protein interaction for a fungal avirulence protein.

The *PWL2* gene encodes a glycine-rich, hydrophilic protein with a putative secretion signal peptide (Kang et al., 1995; Sweigard et al., 1995). Distribution of several sequences homologous to *PWL2* with different levels of sequence homology and chromosome locations, such as *PWL1*, *PWL3* and *PWL4*, led to the discovery of a *PWL* multigene family in *M. oryzae*. The *PWL* genes are not avirulent toward any known rice cultivar but functional copies of *PWL* are recognised by weeping lovegrass plants. The *PWL* genes therefore act as avirulence factors at the host species level, rather than at the cultivar level.

The *AVR1-CO39* gene is responsible for conferring avirulence on the rice cultivars that contain the resistance gene *Pi-CO39* (e.g., rice cultivar CO39) (Farman and Leong, 1998; Chauhan et al., 2002). The *AVR1-CO39* was cloned from a weeping lovegrass pathogen and is not present in the genome of *M. oryzae* Guy11, 70-15, and most of the 45 rice-infecting isolates (Farman et al., 2002; Tosa et al., 2005). It is proposed that ancestral rearrangements at the *AVR1-CO39* locus may have
resulted in its non-functionality and loss during the early evolution of the Oryza-specific subgroup of *M. oryzae* (Tosa et al., 2005).

The avirulence gene *ACE1* encodes a polyketide synthase/ non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (PKS/ NRPS) hybrid protein expected to produce a secondary metabolite (Bohnert et al., 2004). Ace1 is specifically expressed in the cytoplasm of the appressorium but not in infectious hyphae and its expression is restricted to a specific stage of infection, during appressorium maturation and penetration. Although it behaves as a classical AVR gene, Ace1 is a non-secreted protein, unlike other fungal AVR genes. A single amino acid exchange in the catalytic site of the β-ketoacyl synthase domain of Ace1 abolishes recognition of the fungus by *Pi33*-containing resistant cultivars (Bohnert et al., 2004). This fact suggests that the avirulence signal recognised by the *Pi33* resistance gene is not the Ace1 protein, but the secondary metabolite synthesised by *ACE1*. Such interaction between a fungal metabolite and a resistant gene is unique among known AVR/ R interactions in fungi. With the exception of *ACE1*, the blast effectors described above encode small IH-specific secreted proteins.

Novel AVR blast effectors have been described from *M. oryzae* by analysing the interaction of *Magnaporthe - rice* transcriptome (Mosquera et al., 2009) and by combining a large-scale association genetics study with genome sequence (Yoshida et al., 2009). Transcriptome analysis of biotrophic IH revealed that known blast effectors, such as *AVR-Pita1* and *PWL2* and predicted secreted effectors, are highly expressed during rice blast invasion *in planta* (Mosquera et al., 2009). These fungal effectors are designated biotrophic-associated secreted (BAS) proteins. In general, the Bas proteins are small and cysteine rich and have no paralogues in *M. oryzae* or orthologues in other fungi. Secretion of four Bas proteins was observed into rice cells in a compatible, but not in an incompatible interaction (Mosquera et al., 2009). Avr-Pita1, Pwl2, Bas1 and Bas2 proteins accumulate in a cap-like structure named
the biotrophic interaction complex (BIC) (Fig. 1.4). Bas3 localises in rice cell wall crossing points and Bas4 uniformly outlines growing IH. However, targeted deletion of \textit{BAS1}, \textit{BAS2} and \textit{BAS3} did not impair the fungus pathogenicity, suggesting functional redundancy between effectors during biotrophic invasion (Mosquera \textit{et al.}, 2009).
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Figure 1.4. Two-stage BIC development and preferential effector accumulation in successively invaded rice sheath cells.

After appressorium-mediated penetration (25hpi), the fungus grows a filamentous primary hypha, which invaginates the rice plasma membrane. Effector:EGFP fusion proteins are secreted into the membranous cap (BIC) at the tips of primary hyphae. By 29hpi, primary hyphae have differentiated into bulbous IH, which are sealed in an EIHM compartment. The membranous cap (BIC) moves beside the differentiating IH and accumulates secreted effector:EGFP proteins as long as IH grow inside the cell. After filling first invaded cells, IH undergo extreme constriction to cross plant cell walls via plasmodesmata, immediately grow as filamentous IH, and then differentiate into bulbous IH (40hpi). This cycle is repeated for sequentially-invaded rice cells (Kankanala et al., 2009).
Using the published *M. oryzae* 70-15 isolate genome sequence (Dean et al., 2005), Yoshida and colleagues (2009) examined DNA polymorphisms of predicted secreted proteins that could be associated with AVR function on a panel of rice cultivars harbouring different *R* genes. However, no associations were found. Realising that a significant number of AVR genes might be missing from 70-15 isolate that is derived from a cross of *Magnaporthe* rice isolate with a *Magnaporthe* weeping lovegrass isolate (Chao and Ellingboe, 1991), they sequenced another *M. oryzae* isolate, Ina168. This Japanese *Magnaporthe* isolate carries 9 known AVR genes: AVR-Pia, AVR-Pii, AVR-Pik, AVR-Pikm, AVR-Piz, AVR-Pita2, AVR-Pitz, AVR-Pib and AVR-Pit. The Ina168 genome contains a region of 1.68Mb absent from the 70-15 isolate genome sequence, which comprises 316 putative secreted effectors (Yoshida et al., 2009). Three of the open reading frames of these candidate effector genes, PEX22, PEX31 and PEX33, showed polymorphisms that associated with three AVR phenotypes, AVR-Pia, AVR-Pik/km/kp and AVR-Pii, respectively. Furthermore, transformations of *M. oryzae* isolate lacking AVR function with Pex22, Pex31 and Pex33, conferred avirulence towards rice cultivars expressing Pia, Pik/km/kp and Pii resistance genes, respectively. These 3 novel AVR proteins are small, contain a signal peptide, and are recognised inside of rice cells carrying the cognate *R* genes, suggesting that they must be translocated into the plant cell during infection (Yoshida et al., 2009).

**Translocation of Magnaporthe effectors into rice cells**

Bacterial pathogens secrete effector proteins directly into the plant cells via the T3SS, whereas oomycete effector proteins containing a RXLR sequence motif following the classical signal peptide are translocated inside host cells (Alfano, 2009). However, how the rice blast fungus delivers effector proteins during plant invasion is currently unknown. Bioinformatic identification for membrane translocation motifs and *cis*-elements in promoters mediating IH-specific
transcription of known and putative blast effectors has been unsuccessful (Mosquera et al., 2009).

The plant-fungal interface has been analysed in an attempt to understand the mechanism of blast effector secretion and translocation from IH to the plant host cytoplasm. Khang et al. (2010) have presented a detailed analysis of the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) development (Fig. 1.4) and used sensitive techniques for tracking fluorescently tagged blast effector proteins and their delivery into infected rice cells. Expression of fluorescently tagged blast effector proteins (Avr-Pita1, Pwl2) under control of their native promoters showed that these proteins were secreted into the BIC soon after appressorium-mediated penetration of the first invaded rice cell. The first stage of BIC development corresponds to the EIHM membranous cap at the tip of primary or filamentous IH (Kankanala et al., 2007). Then, the BIC is left behind beside the first infected cell when the fungus switches to bulbous IH growth. Fluorescent BIC development is also observed for IH that had invaded neighbour rice cells. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments revealed that blast effectors are continuously synthesised, delivered and accumulated in BICs, while the IH are growing somewhere else in the invaded cell (Khang et al., 2010). Only BIC-localised proteins (e.g. Pwl2 and Bas1) are translocated into the cytoplasm of infected rice cells. This observation was facilitated by plasmolysing the rice cells or by targeting the blast effectors to the plant nucleus (Khang et al., 2010). This elegant study confirms that the BIC is a novel structure that accumulates effectors and that proteins are delivered into the plant cytoplasm via BICs.

In M. oryzae there is evidence that translocation of effectors into the host plant cells might involve the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) classical secretion pathway of the pathogen from analysis of a P-type ATPase family (Balhadère and Talbot, 2001;
Gilbert et al., 2006) and components of the unfolded protein response (UPR) machinery (Yi et al., 2009).

*M. oryzae* genome carries four putative aminophospholipid translocases (APT)-encoding genes belonging to a P-type ATPase family. In *S. cerevisiae*, these enzymes maintain the asymmetrical distribution of aminophospholipids in cellular membranes and are required for efficient Golgi function and are involved in both endocytosis and exocytosis. One of these APT genes is *PDE1* and is expressed at low level during vegetative growth, conidial germination and appressorium formation (Balhadère and Talbot, 2001). The *Magnaporthe* Δ*pde1* mutant exhibits reduced appressorium-mediated penetration and produces few disease symptoms when inoculated onto a susceptible host. *APT2* gene encodes also an APT and Apt2 is a Golgi-localised protein (Gilbert et al., 2006). Mutants lacking *APT2* have a functional appressorium but form abnormal infectious hyphae that arrest growth before invasion of the epidermal cell. Furthermore, these mutants are defective in secretion of extracellular enzymes and accumulate abnormal Golgi-like cisternae. Interestingly, the *Δapt2* mutants also fail to elicit a hypersensitive response (HR) in the rice resistant cultivar IR-68 even on wounded leaves. This result suggests that the delivery of effector proteins was prevented, including the avirulence gene product necessary for HR induction in the Guy11/ IR-68 interaction (Gilbert et al., 2006). Taken as a whole, Apt2 is required for both foliar and root infection and for rapid induction of host defence responses in an incompatible reaction.

Protein translocation in the secretory pathway is a highly complex and regulated process. Membrane trafficking and protein sorting is intimately connected with a number of cellular processes, such as quality control of ER and cytoskeletal dynamics (Derby and Gleeson, 2007). In *S. cerevisiae*, a member of the heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) family, Lhs1, plays a role in the UPR pathway. This chaperone is involved in protein import into the ER and correct protein folding in the ER lumen (Tyson and Stirling, 2000). *Magnaporthe* Δ*lhs1* mutant is severely impaired in
conidiation, penetration, biotrophic invasion in susceptible rice plants, induction of HR mediated by the Pi-ta resistance gene and accumulation of secreted effector proteins in BICs (Yi et al., 2009). This recent work gives evidence that chaperones via the ER secretory pathway play a key role in the proper processing of secreted proteins such as effectors and in the successful development of rice blast disease in planta.

1.4. Introduction to current study

Rice is one the most important staple food crops, feeding half of the world population. It is estimated that rice yield production will have to increase 30% over the next 20 years in order to sustain the nutritional needs of expanding global population (Khush and Jena, 2009). Although intensive breeding programmes have improved rice yield, rice blast disease causes losses of hundreds of millions of tons of rice grain annually. Such losses have led to rice shortages in many developing countries. Control of this devastating disease is vital for global food security, economic and social welfare. A deep understanding of the molecular events that occur during infection-related process of M. oryzae will illuminate the development of effective control methods for rice blast disease.

Here, the aim of this study was to identify genetic determinants of the successful colonisation of rice tissue by M. oryzae, using two different approaches. The first approach was the identification of pathogenicity-defective mutants of M. oryzae by screening a T-DNA insertional library. This led to the discovery of a mutant severely defective in both foliar and root virulence, M1422, and the functional characterisation of an 1422-associated gene called Transcription factor for Polarity Control TPC1 (Chapters 3 to 6). The second approach was the generation of a catalogue of a set of secreted proteins for M. oryzae using bioinformatic analysis (Chapter 7). Targeted
deletion of three putative nuclear-localised secreted effectors was also carried out. Functional characterisation of Δftf, Δznys and ΔcenpB mutants showed that these genes are dispensable for the pathogenicity of M. oryzae, and are not secreted into the plant host cells.
CHAPTER 2

Materials and Methods
2.1. Growth and maintenance of fungus stocks

2.1.1. Magnaporthe strains

All isolates of *M. oryzae* used in this study are stored in the laboratory of N. J. Talbot (University of Exeter). Wild-type *M. oryzae* strain used was Guy11 and all knock-outs were performed in the same genetic background. For long-term storage, *M. oryzae* was grown through filter paper disks (3 mm, Whatman International), which were desiccated and stored at -20°C. The fungus was routinely incubated in a controlled temperature room at 25°C with a 12h light/ dark cycle. The fungus was grown in sterile complete medium (CM) (Table 2.1 and 2.2), minimal medium (MM), minimal medium without carbon (MM-C) or nitrogen (MM-N) sources (Table 2.3) (Talbot et al., 1993). Diameter of colonies of wild-type and mutant *M. oryzae* strains were measured by performing 7 technical replicates per experiment and 3 biological replications on each media.

2.1.2. Neurospora strains

The wild-type *Neurospora crassa* strain a and isogenic deletion mutant NCU05996 strain a were obtained from the Fungal Genetics Stock Centre (FGSC, Kansas City, Missouri, USA). Vogels minimal medium (Table 2.4 and 2.5) was used for cultivation of *N. crassa* strains at 25°C with a 12h light/ dark cycle and for stock-keeping at 4°C (http://www.fgsc.net/Neurospora/NeurosporaProtocolGuide.htm). Diameter of colonies of wild-type and mutant *N. crassa* strains were measured by performing 7 technical replicates per experiment and 3 biological replications on each media.
## Table 2.1. Composition of CM (1 L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CM</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 g</td>
<td>NaNO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52 g</td>
<td>KCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52 g</td>
<td>MgSO₄·7H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.52 g</td>
<td>KH₂PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>Peptone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>Yeast Extract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>Casamino Acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Trace elements (Table 2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Vitamin solution (Table 2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 g</td>
<td>Agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.5 with NaOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Table 2.2. Composition of trace elements and vitamin solutions (100 ml)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace Elements</th>
<th>Vitamin Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.2 g ZnSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>0.01 g Biotin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 g H₃BO₃</td>
<td>0.01 g Pyridoxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 g MnCl₂·4H₂O</td>
<td>0.01 g Thiamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 g FeSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>0.01 g Riboflavin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17 g CoCl₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>0.01 g p-aminobenzoic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16 g CuSO₄·2H₂O</td>
<td>0.01 g Nicotinic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 g Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 g Na₄EDTA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.5 with KOH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2.3. Composition of MM, MM-C and MM-N (1 L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>MM-C</th>
<th>MM-N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 g</td>
<td>NaNO₃</td>
<td></td>
<td>As MM but omitting glucose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52 g</td>
<td>KCl</td>
<td></td>
<td>As MM but omitting NaNO₃.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.52 g</td>
<td>MgSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.52 g</td>
<td>KH₂PO₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Thiamine 1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mL</td>
<td>Trace Elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µl</td>
<td>Biotin 0.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2.4. Composition of Vogels minimal Medium (1 L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vogels Medium</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 mL</td>
<td>Vogels salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 g</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 g</td>
<td>Agar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2.5. Composition of Vogels salts and trace element solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vogels Salts (1 L)</th>
<th>Trace Element solution for VM (100 mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150 g</td>
<td>5 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₃ citrate</td>
<td>Citric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 g</td>
<td>5 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KH₂PO₄</td>
<td>ZnSO₄·7H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 g</td>
<td>1 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄NO₃</td>
<td>Fe(NH₄)₂(SO₄)₂·6H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g</td>
<td>0.25 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄·7H₂O</td>
<td>CuSO₄·5H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 g</td>
<td>0.05 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl₂·2H₂O</td>
<td>MnSO₄·1H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mL</td>
<td>Trace Element solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mL</td>
<td>0.05 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin 0.05%</td>
<td>H₃BO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mL</td>
<td>0.05 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin 0.05%</td>
<td>Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Pathogenicity and infection-related development assays

2.2.1. Leaf infection assays

Ten-day old cultures of *M. oryzae* grown on sterile CM agar were used for harvesting conidia in 3 mL of sterile deionised water. The resulting suspension was filtered through sterile miracloth (Calbiochem) and subjected to centrifugation at 5000 \( g \) (Beckman, JA-17) for 10 min at room temperature. The pellet was re-suspended in 0.2% gelatine (BDH) to a final concentration of \( 1 \times 10^5 \) or \( 5 \times 10^4 \) conidia mL\(^{-1}\). This suspension was used in plant leaf infections by spray-inoculation using an artist’s airbrush (Badger Airbrush, Franklin Park, Illinois, USA). Rice infections were carried out using a dwarf Indica rice (*Oryza sativa*) cultivar, CO-39, which is susceptible to rice blast (Valent *et al*., 1991). Barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) cultivar Golden Promise infections were also carried out (Balhadère and Talbot, 2001). Rice and barley plants were grown in 9 cm diameter pots (8 - 10 plants per pot), and inoculated at 14- and 8-days old (2-3 leaf stage), respectively. After spray-inoculation, plants were watered well and incubated in polythene bags for 48h and then grown for a further 3 days in a controlled environment room at 25°C with a 12h light/ dark cycle and 90% relative humidity, according to Valent *et al.* (1991). Lesion formation was monitored 5 days post-inoculation.

2.2.2. Root infection assays

Root infection assays were performed using sterile moist thick vermiculite as follows. The vermiculite was prepared by immersing it for 2h in distilled water and then draining it through a sieve. A 50 ml centrifuge tube (Corning) was filled with 30 cm of moist vermiculite, followed by a mycelial plug of the same diameter as the centrifuge tube, a further layer of 5 cm of moist vermiculite, and 5 seeds covered with another 5 cm layer of moist vermiculite. The tubes were sealed with parafilm to prevent loss of humidity. *M. oryzae* lesions on roots were scored after 15 days of
incubation at 22°C with a 16h light/dark cycle. Lesions observed on roots were scored as 0 (non-pathogenic), 1 (strong symptom reduction), 2 (weak symptom reduction), or 3 (wild-type symptoms) based on colour intensity and lesion extension of disease symptoms (Tucker et al., 2010).

2.2.3. Rice leaf sheath assay

Rice cultivar CO-39 plants were grown for 3- to 4- weeks and used for leaf sheath assays (Koga et al., 2004; Kankanala et al., 2007). Leaf sheaths from intermediate-aged leaves were cut into strips ~9 cm long. Fungal spores were harvested at a concentration of $1 \times 10^5$ conidia mL$^{-1}$ in 0.2% gelatine (BDH). Inoculum was introduced into the hollow space enclosed by the sides of the leaf sheaths above the mid vein. Inoculated sheaths were incubated at 25°C with a 12 h light/dark cycle and were supported horizontally in a closed moist chamber containing wet filter paper such that the spores settled on the mid vein regions. After 24h post-inoculation when the samples were ready for confocal microscopy, the sheaths were hand-trimmed to remove the sides and expose the epidermal layer above the mid-vein. Lower mid-vein cells were then removed to produce sections of 3 to 4 epidermal cell layers thick.

2.2.4. Penetration assay

Appressorium-mediated penetration of onion epidermal strips was assessed using a procedure based on Chida and Sisler (1987). A conidial suspension at a concentration of $1 \times 10^5$ conidia mL$^{-1}$ was prepared and dropped onto the adaxial surface of epidermal layers taken from onion. The strips were incubated in a moist chamber at 25°C and penetration events scored 24h later by viewing with an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope.
2.2.5. Assays for germination and appressorium formation rates

Germination and development of appressoria was monitored over time on a borosilicate glass cover slip, using a method adapted from that of Hamer et al. (1988). A conidial suspension of $1 \times 10^5$ conidia mL\(^{-1}\) was prepared in distilled water and placed onto the surface of the cover slips. These were incubated in a moist chamber at 25°C. The percentage of conidia that had undergone germination was recorded over a 24h period.

2.3. Nucleic acid analysis

2.3.1. Fungal DNA extraction

*Large-scale extraction of fungal genomic DNA*

Liquid cultures of *M. oryzae* were generated by blending 5 – 10 cm\(^2\) of mycelium into 200 mL of liquid CM in a commercial blender (Waring, Christison Scientific). The cultures were incubated for 48h at 25°C in an orbital incubator (New Brunswick Scientific) until a mat of white fungal mycelium had formed beneath the surface of the medium. The mycelium was harvested by filtration through sterile miracloth (Calbiochem) and blotted dry with paper towels (Kimberley Clark Corporation) in a class II microbiological cabinet. It was then placed in a chilled mortar and ground to a fine powder with liquid nitrogen. The powder was placed in sterile Oakridge tubes (Nalgene) containing 4 mL of CTAB buffer at 65°C (0.055 M CTAB [Hexacyclo(trimethylammonium) bromide] {H5882, Sigma}, 0.1 M Tris (Tris (hydroxymethyl)aminomethane, Trizma\textsuperscript{®} Sigma), 0.0078 M Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid EDTA, 0.7 M NaCl). Samples were incubated at 65°C for 20 minutes with occasional shaking. An equal volume of chloroform:pentanol (24:1) was added and the tubes shaken for 20 minutes at room temperature. Following centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 minutes using a JA-17
fixed angle rotor in a Beckman J2-MC high speed centrifuge, the supernatants were transferred to new tubes containing an equal volume of chloroform:pentanol (24:1) (v/v). The suspensions were mixed rapidly and subjected to centrifugation at 13,000 g for a further 10 minutes. The supernatant was removed and an equal volume of isopropanol gently added to precipitate the nucleic acids. The tubes were incubated on ice for 5 minutes and the DNA recovered by centrifugation using a JS13.1 swinging bucket rotor (Beckman) at 13,000 g for 10 minutes. The supernatant was discarded and the tube inverted on paper towels for 15 minutes. The nucleic acid pellet was re-suspended in 500 μL of distilled water and then re-precipitated during 10 minutes of incubation at -20°C using 0.1 volumes of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and 2 volumes of 95% (v/v) ethanol. The purified nucleic acid fraction was recovered by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 17,000 g (Micromax) and washed with 500 μL of 70% (v/v) ethanol. The nucleic acid pellet was dried for 20 minutes and re-suspended in 25 - 100 μL TE + 10 μg mL⁻¹ RNase A. Genomic DNA samples were stored at -20°C.

Small-scale extraction of fungal genomic DNA

When screening putative fungal transformants for homologous recombination events, a smaller-scale DNA extraction protocol was followed. Agar cultures of M. oryzae were generated by placing a small plug of mycelium onto complete medium overlaid with a cellophane disc. The cultures were incubated at 25°C until a small mat of fungal mycelium had grown over the surface of the cellophane disc (6-8 days). The cellophane disc was then peeled from the agar plate along with the fungal mycelium, placed into a mortar and ground with a pestle to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen. The powder was placed in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube containing 500 μL of CTAB buffer and incubated at 65 °C for 20 minutes with occasional shaking. An equal volume of chloroform:pentanol (24:1; CIA) (v/v) was added and
the tubes shaken vigorously for 20 minutes at room temperature. Centrifugation was
carried out at 13,000 g for 10 minutes using a microcentrifuge (IEC, Micromax) and
the supernatants were transferred to new tubes. The chloroform:pentanol (24:1)
(v/v) extraction step was repeated twice, with the aqueous phase being removed to
a new tube each time. The supernatant was removed and an equal volume of
isopropanol added to precipitate the nucleic acids. The tubes were incubated at
room temperature for 2 minutes and the DNA was recovered by centrifugation in a
microcentrifuge at 13,000 g for 10 minutes. The nucleic acid pellet was dried and re-
suspended in 200 µL of distilled water and then re-precipitated using 0.1 volumes of
3 M sodium acetate (pH 5.2) and two volumes of 100% (v/v) ethanol. The purified
nucleic acid was recovered by centrifugation for 20 minutes at 17,000 g and washed
with 200 µL of 70% (v/v) ethanol. The pellet was dried for 5 minutes in a vacuum
rotary desiccator and re-suspended in 30 µL of distilled water. Genomic DNA
samples were routinely stored at 4°C or at -20°C.

2.3.2. DNA manipulations

Digestion of genomic or plasmid DNA with restriction enzymes

Restriction endonucleases were routinely obtained from Promega UK Ltd.
(Southampton, UK) or New England Biolabs (Hitchin, UK). DNA digestion was
carried out using buffer solutions provided by the manufacturer in a total volume of
20 - 40 µl with 0.2-1 µg of DNA and 5-10 units of enzyme.

DNA gel electrophoresis

Digested DNA was fractionated by gel electrophoresis in 0.7% (w/v) – 1.0% (w/v)
agarose gel matrices using a 1x Tris-borate EDTA buffer (TBE) (0.09 M Tris-borate,
0.002 M EDTA). These were visualised by the addition of ethidium bromide (final
concentration 0.5 µg mL⁻¹). A 1kb size marker ladder (Promega) was used for
determining the length of DNA fragments after fractionation using gel
electrophoresis. DNA was visualised using a gel documentation system (Image Master® VDS with a Fuji Film Thermal Imaging system FTI-500, Pharmacia Biotech).

*Gel purification of DNA fragments*

DNA fragments were purified from agarose gels using a commercial kit (QIAquick) according to manufacturers’ instructions (Qiagen). Fragments were excised from the gel using a razor blade and placed in a pre-weighed microcentrifuge tube. The mass of agarose removed from the gel was determined and three volumes of Buffer QG (guanidine thiocyanate) added. Samples were incubated at 50°C and mixed by vortexing every 2-3 minutes until the gel slice had dissolved. One gel volume of isopropanol was added and mixed before the solution was placed in a QIAquick spin column held in a 2 mL collection tube. After centrifugation for 1 minute in centrifuge (13,000 g) the DNA is bound to the QIAquick column. The flow-through was discarded and the column placed back in the collection tube. To wash the column 0.75 mL of Buffer PE (ethanol-based) was added and centrifugation carried out for 1 minute (13,000 g). The flow-through was discarded and the column processed by centrifugation for an additional minute (13,000 g). The QIAquick column was placed in a clean microcentrifuge tube, 30 μL of sterile water added and after 1 minute there was a further centrifugation step for 1 minute, at 13,000 g. The DNA solution was removed to a fresh tube and stored at -20°C.

*Southern blotting*

Blotting of agarose DNA gels was performed according to Southern (1975). Each gel was submerged in 0.25 M HCl for 15 minutes to de-purinate the fractionated DNA and then denatured by immersing in 0.4 M NaOH, 0.6 M NaCl for 30 minutes. The gel was then transferred to Neutralisation buffer (1.5 M NaCl, 0.5 M Tris-HCl,
[pH 7.5]) for 30 minutes before capillary blotting onto Hybond-N (Amersham Biosciences). Gel blots were performed by placing the inverted gel onto a sheet of filter paper wick, which was supported on a perspex sheet with each end of the wick submerged in 20 x SSPE solution (3.6 M NaCl, 200 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 22 mM EDTA). Hybond-N membrane was then placed onto the gel and overlaid with five layers of wet Whatman 3 mm paper and five layers of dry Whatman 3 mm paper onto which a 10 cm high pile of paper towels was placed (Kimberley Clark Corporation). Finally, a 500 g weight was placed on the stack and the blot was left to stand at room temperature overnight. The transferred DNA was cross-linked to the membrane using a BLX crosslinker (Bio-link®).

**Radiolabelled DNA probe construction**

DNA hybridisation probes were labelled by the random primer method (Feinberg and Vogelstein, 1983) using a Ready-To-Go kit (Amersham Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A 25-50 ng aliquot of DNA was made to a final volume of 47 μL in water. The sample was boiled for 5 minutes to denature the DNA and then chilled on ice for 2 minutes. The tube was briefly subjected to centrifugation and its contents added to a Ready-To-Go reaction mix bead containing buffer, dATP, dGTP, dTTP, FLPCpure™ Klenow polymerase (7-12 units) and random oligonucleotides, primarily 9-mers. The reagents were mixed by gently pipetting and 3 μL of [α-³²P] dCTP (3,000 Ci/mmol) added. The labelling reaction was then incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes before being stopped by addition of 100 μl of labelling stop dye (0.1% SDS, 0.06 M EDTA, 0.5% bromophenol blue, 1.5% blue dextran). Unincorporated isotope was removed by passing the labelling reaction through a Biogel P60 (Bio-Rad) column, and collecting the dextran blue-labelled fraction. The probe was denatured by heating at 100°C for 5 minutes and quenched on ice for 2 minutes, before adding to the hybridisation mixture.
Hybridisation conditions

DNA gel blot hybridisations were performed using standard procedures (Sambrook et al., 1989). Blots were incubated in hybridisation bottles (Hybaid Ltd.) in a hybridisation oven (Hybaid) for at least 4 hours at 65°C in 25-30 mL of pre-hybridisation solution 6 x SSPE (diluted from a 20x stock prepared by dissolving 175.3 g of NaCl, 27.6 g of NaH₂PO₄ and 7.4 g of EDTA in 800 mL of dH₂O, adjusting the pH to 7.4 with NaOH and making up to 1 litre with dH₂O), 5 x Denhardt’s solution (diluted from a 50x stock prepared with 5 g Ficoll type 400, 5 g polyvinylpyrrolidone in 500 mL dH₂O, 0.5 % SDS), with 100 μL denatured herring sperm DNA (1% [w/v] in 0.1 M NaCl) (Sigma) added. A denatured radio-labelled probe was then added and the mixture incubated overnight at 65°C.

Following hybridisation, the blot was washed at high stringency. The pre-hybridisation solution was removed along with any unbound probe and 25-30 mL of 2 x SSPE wash (0.1% SDS, 0.1% Sodium pyrophosphate [PPI], 2 x SSPE (diluted from the 20 x SSPE stock) [pH 7.4]) added. The mixture was then incubated for 30 minutes at 65°C. The wash solution was removed and replaced with 25-30 mL of 0.2 x SSPE wash (0.1% SDS, 0.1% Sodium pyrophosphate [PPI], 0.2 x SSPE, [pH 7.4]) and the blot again incubated for 30 minutes at 65°C. The 0.2 x SSPE wash was repeated and the membrane dried for 10 minutes.

Autoradiography was carried out by exposure of membranes to X-ray film (Fuji medical X-ray film, Fuji Photo Film (U.K.) Ltd.) at -80°C in the presence of an intensifying screen (Amersham). X-ray films were developed using Kodak chemicals.
2.3.3. DNA cloning procedures

*Bacterial DNA mini preparations using Alkaline Lysis protocol*

Small-scale preparations of plasmid DNA from bacterial colonies were made by modifying a larger scale method based on Sambrook *et al.* (1989). Single colonies were picked and used to inoculate 3 mL Luria-Bertani (LB) (10 g L$^{-1}$ tryptone 5 g L$^{-1}$ yeast extract, 86 mM NaCl, [pH to 7.5]) containing the appropriate antibiotic in a universal bottle. Cultures were grown overnight at 37°C, with vigorous aeration (200 rpm) in an Innova 4000 rotary incubator (New Brunswick Scientific). For long term storage of bacterial cells a fraction of the initial 3 mL culture was retained to make a glycerol stock. For this, an 800 μL aliquot of bacterial solution was added to 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes containing 200 μL sterile glycerol. The suspension was vortexed rapidly and stored at -80°C. The remainder of the culture was transferred to another 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube and pelleted by centrifugation at 1,300 g (Micromax) for 1 minute. The supernatant was removed and the bacterial pellet re-suspended in 200 μL of ice-cold cell re-suspension solution (50 mM glucose, 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 10 mM EDTA [pH 8.0]) by vigorous vortexing using a Whirlimixer (Fisher Scientific). A 400 μL aliquot of freshly prepared lysis solution (0.2 M NaOH [freshly diluted from a 10 M stock], 1% SDS) was added to the cell suspension. The contents of the tube were mixed by inversion, ensuring that the entire surface of the tube came in contact with the solution. The tube was placed on ice for 5 minutes and then 300 μL of ice-cold neutralisation solution (3 M potassium acetate, 11.5 % (v/v) glacial acetic acid) was added and the contents mixed by inverting rapidly 5 times. The tube was stored on ice for 10 minutes, and processed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 5 minutes in a microcentrifuge. The supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube and precipitated using an equal volume of isopropanol at room temperature. Centrifugation at 17,000 g for 15 minutes was performed in a
microcentrifuge and the resulting supernatant was removed and discarded. The pelleted nucleic acids were washed with 1 mL of 70% (v/v) ethanol and centrifugation carried out at 17,000 g for 5 minutes in a microcentrifuge. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet dried for 5 minutes in a vacuum rotary desiccator (microcentrifuge rotary concentrator 5301, Invitrogen). The pellet was re-suspended in 50 µL of sterile water containing DNase-free pancreatic RNase (20 µg mL\(^{-1}\)), vortexed briefly and incubated at 37ºC for 20 minutes. The preparations were stored at -20ºC.

*Midi-plasmid DNA preparations*

Midi-plasmid DNA preparations of high quality were prepared using a commercially available kit (Promega Wizard\textsuperscript{®} Plus SV Mini-Prep DNA purification system Cat.#A1330), according to manufacturers’ instructions. Bacterial cells were recovered by centrifugation at 10,000 g, re-suspended in 250 µL of cell resuspension solution (50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 10 mM EDTA, 100 µg mL\(^{-1}\) RNase A) and transferred to a microcentrifuge tube. A 250 µL aliquot of cell lysis solution (0.2 M NaOH, 1% SDS) was then added and the contents mixed by gently inverting the tube four times. A 10 µL aliquot of alkaline protease solution was added and the tube inverted four times. After a 5 minute incubation at room temperature a 350 µL aliquot of neutralisation solution (4.1 M guanidine hydrochloride, 0.8 M potassium acetate, 2.1 M glacial acetic acid [final pH 4.2]) was added and the tube contents mixed by inversion. The samples were processed by centrifugation at 14,000 g in a microcentrifuge for 10 minutes. Meanwhile a spin column was inserted into its collection tube and the cleared lysate decanted into the column. This was processed by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 1 minute, the flow-through discarded and 750 µL wash solution (60 mM potassium acetate, 8.3 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 0.04 mM EDTA, 60% ethanol) added. The centrifugation step was repeated and the column washed again with a further 250 µL of column wash before centrifugation of
the empty column at 14,000 g for 2 minutes. The spin column was transferred to a sterile microcentrifuge tube and 100 µL of nuclease-free water added to the column followed by centrifugation at 14,000 g for 1 minute to elute the DNA which was then stored at -20°C.

**DNA ligation and selection of recombinant clones**

For routine cloning into standard vectors (pSC-A® [StrataClone] and the pGEM® series [Promega]) recombinant clones were selected using α-complementation of lacZ (Sambrook et al., 1989). To prevent re-circularisation of plasmid DNA vector when cut with a single restriction enzyme, treatment with Antarctic Phosphatase (NEB) was carried out. Vector (1 µg) is dephosphorylated in a reaction with 1 µl of Antarctic Phosphatase enzyme, 1 µl 10x Antarctic Phosphatase reaction buffer (50 mM Bis-Tris-propane-HCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM ZnCl₂, pH 6.0) and nuclease-free water to give a total volume of 10 µl. This mix was incubated at 37 °C for 1h. Heat inactivation of the phosphatase enzyme was carried out at 65ºC for 30 minutes. The digested DNA was then gel purified and ligation reactions prepared. Routinely, vector and insert DNA were added to the ligation mixture at a 1:3 molar ratio and the reactions carried out in a total volume of 10 µL using the manufacturer’s ligase buffer and 1 unit of T₄ DNA ligase (Promega). Ligation reactions were incubated overnight at 4°C for blunt ended ligations and for cohesive-ended ligation reactions. Directional cloning was carried out as detailed above.

DNA fragments amplified by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were routinely cloned by gel purification of the amplicons and ligated into pSC-A® or pGEM® series vectors, which allow T:A cloning of PCR fragments generated by certain thermostable DNA polymerases such as Taq polymerase (Mead et al., 1991). Ligation reactions were performed at 4°C (Sambrook et al., 1989). DNA fragments amplified by polymerases not compatible with T:A cloning were heated to 70°C for
30 minutes in the presence of 0.2 mM dATPs, 5 U Taq polymerase, 10 x Taq polymerase buffer and 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$ in a total volume of 10 μL before cloning in order to create a poly-A tail to facilitate cloning into the desired vector.

**Preparation of competent cells**

Stocks of laboratory-prepared transformation-competent cells were generated using a protocol adapted from Sambrook *et al.* (1989). Single bacterial colonies were obtained by streaking bacterial cells across a plate of LB containing the appropriate antibiotic and incubating at 37°C for 16 hours. A single colony was used to generate an overnight culture in 10 mL LB broth (37°C, 200 rpm). A 2.5 mL aliquot of this culture was inoculated into 250 mL of SOC (20 g L$^{-1}$ tryptone, 5 g L$^{-1}$ yeast extract, 8.6 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgSO$_4$, 10 mM MgCl$_2$) and this was allowed to grow until an OD$_{600}$ = 0.6 had been reached (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). The culture was then transferred to a 50 mL Oakridge tube and incubated on ice for 10 minutes. Cells were recovered by centrifugation at 2,500 g (Beckman J2-MC, JS13.1 rotor) for 10 minutes (4°C). To each tube, 15 mL filter-sterilised FSB (10 mM potassium acetate [pH 7.5], 45 mM MnCl$_2$$\cdot$4H$_2$O, 10 mM CaCl$_2$$\cdot$2H$_2$O, 100 mM KCl, 3 mM hexamine-cobalt chloride, 10% glycerol [pH 6.4]) was added and the cells re-suspended by gentle pipetting. Samples were incubated on ice for 10 minutes and the centrifugation step repeated once. The cells were then re-suspended in 4 mL FSB and DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide, Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 3.4% (v/v). The mixture was incubated on ice for 15 minutes. A further volume of DMSO was added such that the final concentration was 6.5% DMSO (v/v). The cells were then dispensed into 100 μl aliquots in pre-chilled microfuge tubes. Samples were immediately frozen by immersion in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.
Transformation of competent bacterial hosts

Transformation was routinely carried out using *Escherichia coli* strain XL1 Blue (Stratagene). XL1 – Blue has a genotype *supE44 hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA46 thi relA1 lac* [F’ pro AB’ lacI*ΔM15 Tn10 (tet’)]. A tube with 100 μl aliquot of competent cells was then incubated on ice for 10 minutes before 0.1-50 ng DNA was added and the mixture incubated on ice for a further 30 minutes. Cells were heat-shocked at 42°C for 45 seconds and then transferred to ice for 2 minutes. At this point, 500 μL of SOC was added to each tube and the recovering cells were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour with gentle shaking (150 rpm). Aliquots were plated on LB agar with the appropriate antibiotic. Where α-complementation selection was available (Sambrook et al., 1989) the agar contained isopropyl-thiogalactoside (IPTG, 0.8 mg mL⁻¹ per plate) (Calbiochem) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (X-gal, 0.8 mg mL⁻¹ per plate) (Calbiochem). Plates were inverted and incubated at 37°C overnight.

2.3.4. DNA sequencing

Single colonies were pick up and plasmid DNA analysed by digestion with restriction enzymes. The constructs with the correct restriction profile were sequenced (Eurofins MWG Operon).

2.4. Fungal transformation

2.4.1. Generation of protoplasts

Half of a plate of *M. oryzae* mycelium was removed from a sterile culture on CM agar, blended in 200 mL sterile liquid CM and incubated at 26°C with shaking at 125 rpm in an orbital incubator for 48h in the dark. The mycelium was harvested by filtration through sterile miracloth (Calbiochem) and washed in sterile distilled water.
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The mycelium was transferred to a Falcon tube (Corning) with 40 mL OM buffer (1.2M MgSO$_4$, 10mM Na-PO$_4$ pH 5.8) and 5% glucanex (Novo Industries, Copenhagen), and shaken gently at 75 rpm for 2-3 hours at 30°C in an orbital incubator. The resulting protoplasts were transferred to sterile polycarbonate Oakridge tubes (Nalgene) and overlaid with an equal volume of cold ST buffer (0.6M sucrose, 0.1M Tris-HCl pH 7). Protoplasts were recovered by centrifugation at 1,400 g and 4°C in a swinging bucket rotor (Beckman JS-13.1) in a Beckman J2.MC centrifuge. The protoplasts were recovered at the OM/ST interface and transferred to new sterile Oakridge tube which was then filled with cold STC buffer (1.2M sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM CaCl$_2$). Protoplasts were pelleted at 1,400 g for 10 min at 4°C (Beckman JS-13.1 rotor), washed three or four times more with 10 mL STC, with complete re-suspension each time. After re-suspending in 1 mL of STC, the concentration of protoplasts was then determined by counting using a haemocytometer.

2.4.2. Transformation using hygromycin B as a selectable marker

DNA-mediated transformation was undertaken in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes by combining an aliquot of purified protoplasts ($10^7$ mL$^{-1}$) with DNA (4-7 μg) in a total volume of 150 μl. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15-25 minutes and 1 ml of PTC (60% PEG 400, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM CaCl$_2$) added. Gentle mixing was performed after each addition of PTC. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15-20 minutes then added to 150 mL molten (45°C) OCM 1.5% agar (osmotically stabilised CM with 0.8M sucrose), mixed gently and poured into 5-6 sterile Petri dishes. For selection of transformants on hygromycin B (Calbiochem), plate cultures were incubated in the dark for at least 16 hours at 26°C and then overlaid with approximately 15 mL CM 1% agar containing...
200 μg mL⁻¹ hygromycin B freshly added to the medium from a stock solution of 50 mg mL⁻¹.

2.4.3. Transformation using sulfonylurea as a selectable marker

DNA-mediated transformation was undertaken in 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes by combining an aliquot of purified protoplasts (10⁷ mL⁻¹) with DNA (4-7 μg) in a total volume of 150 μl. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15-25 minutes and 1 ml of PTC (60% PEG 400, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 10mM CaCl₂) added. Gentle mixing was performed after each addition of PTC. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 15-20 minutes then added to 150 mL molten (45°C) 1.5% agar/BDCM Bottom (Table 2.6), mixed gently and poured into 5-6 sterile Petri dishes. For selection of transformants on sulfonylurea (Chlorimuron ethyl, Applichem Cat.#A7399), plate cultures were incubated in the dark for at least 16 hours at 26°C and then overlaid with approximately 15 mL BDCM Top 1% agar (Table 2.7) containing 300 μg mL⁻¹ sulfonylurea freshly added to the medium from a stock solution of 100 mg mL⁻¹.

2.4.4. Generation of T-DNA insertional library by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation

Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation was performed as previously described (Rho et al., 2001), using the binary vector pKHt (Mullins et al., 2001). The pKHt plasmid contains an autonomous origin of replication from E. coli and the chloramphenicol resistance gene within the T-DNA cassette to facilitate recovery of flanking DNA. T-DNA transformants were evaluated for leaf and root infection (Tucker et al., 2010). Plant infection experiments with M. oryzae T-DNA transformants showing reduced virulence were performed 3 times.
### Table 2.6. Composition of BDCM Bottom medium (1 L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BDCM Bottom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.7 g</td>
<td>Yeast N base without amino acids and ammonium sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>Ammonium nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>Asparagine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273.84 g</td>
<td>Sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 g</td>
<td>Agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.0 with Na$_2$HPO$_4$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2.7. Composition of BDCM Top medium (1 L)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BDCM Top</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.7 g</td>
<td>Yeast N base without amino acids and ammonium sulphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 g</td>
<td>Ammonium nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>Asparagine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g</td>
<td>Glucose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 g</td>
<td>Agar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 6.0 with Na$_2$HPO$_4$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5. Microscopy

2.5.1. Confocal microscopy

Images of conidial germination, appressorium development and rice leaf sheath assay were recorded using a Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal laser scanning microscope system. Slides were prepared for processing by sealing cover slip preparations to a slide with petroleum jelly (Vaseline, Unilever). Argon (488nm) and helium-neon (543 nm) lasers were used to excite fluorochromes and all images were recorded under the x 63 oil objective. Offline image analysis was performed using the LSM image browser (Zeiss). At least three independent transformants were investigated for all experiments.

2.5.2. Olympus IX81-performed microscopy

Images of conidial germination, appressorium development and penetration assay on epidermal onion cells were recorded using an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope system. Slides were prepared for processing by sealing cover slip preparations to a slide with petroleum jelly (Vaseline, Unilever). Argon (488 nm) laser was used to excite GFP. All images were recorded under the x 60 or x 100 oil objectives. Offline image analysis was performed using the MetaMorph 7.5 (Molecular Devices). At least three independent transformants were investigated for all experiments.

2.5.3. Zeiss Axioskop 2-performed microscopy

Counting of conidial germination and appressorium formation were carried out using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 microscope. Images of conidial germination and appressorium development requiring light microscopy were recorded on this microscope. At least three independent biological repeats were made for all experiments.
CHAPTER 3

Identification of pathogenicity-defective mutants of *M. oryzae* by screening a T-DNA insertional mutant library
3.1. Introduction

The genomic sequences of *M. oryzae* (Dean *et al.*, 2005) and rice (Goff *et al.*, 2002; Yu *et al.*, 2002) provide a unique opportunity to study the function of individual genes during this host-pathogen interaction. Targeted gene disruption occurs at a low frequency in *M. oryzae* (Villalba *et al.*, 2008) and may lead to non-phenotypic alterations. An alternative approach to discover key genes for rice blast disease development is to perform a high throughput gene functional analysis in *M. oryzae* by screening random insertional mutagenesis libraries (Betts *et al.*, 2007; Jeon *et al.*, 2007). Several pathogenicity genes, such as *PDE1, RGS1, DES1, COS1* and *RIC8*, have been identified by using this method (Balhadère *et al.*, 1999; Liu *et al.*, 2007; Chi *et al.*, 2009; Zhou *et al.*, 2009; Li *et al.*, 2010). Recently, Jeon and colleagues (2007) generated a set of 20,000 mutants of *M. oryzae* by T-DNA tagging via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation (ATMT). The T-DNA insertions covered 61% of the genome and led to the identification of ~200 novel genes required for rice blast disease. Genome wide analyses of fungal pathogenicity are important to unravel the functions of the ~112,841 genes that constitute the *M. oryzae* genome (Dean *et al.*, 2005).

In order to identify additional genes important for the ability of the fungus to cause disease, a total of 300 *M. oryzae* T-DNA transformants were selected from ATMT library based on defects of pathogenicity. Through this approach, any gene of interest can be recovered because they are each tagged by a T-DNA insertion. In this Chapter, I describe the identification and characterisation of one mutant, M1422, defective for root and leaf pathogenicity and vegetative growth. Within the M1422 mutant genome, the T-DNA fragment was found to disrupt the MGG_01285 gene. This gene encodes a putative transcription factor that belongs to a Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$
binuclear cluster family and it is termed Transcription factor for Polarity Control1 (TPC1) throughout this study.

This Chapter also describes the construction of a gene replacement vector tagged with GFP, Tpc1:GFP, in order to complement the M1422 mutant and confirm that TPC1 is the disrupted gene. The green fluorescent protein (GFP) is found naturally in the jellyfish *Aequorea victoria* (Prasher *et al.*, 1992). Its main function is to act as an energy transfer acceptor in bioluminescence, fluorescing after receiving energy from either a luciferase-oxyluciferin-excited complex or by a Ca$^{2+}$ activated phosphoprotein (Ward and Bokman, 1982; Prasher *et al.*, 1992). The GFP gene has been cloned (Prasher *et al.*, 1992) and subsequently expressed in many different cell types (Chalfie, 1995). The GFP protein is 238 amino acids in length with its biggest absorbance peak at 395 nm and a smaller peak at 475 nm. Excitation of the protein at 395 nm yields an emission maximum at 508 nm (Cubitt *et al.*, 1995). To visualise GFP fluorescence, all that is needed is ultra-violet (UV) or blue light. GFP is extremely stable in vivo and has been fused to the C- or N- terminus of many cellular and extracellular proteins without a loss of activity, thereby permitting the tagging of proteins for gene regulation analysis, protein localisation, or specific organelle labelling (Reiser *et al.*, 1999; Bruno *et al.*, 2004). Various mutations have been used to make GFP a more sensitive and versatile tool for molecular and cell biology (Heim *et al.*, 1995; Shaner *et al.*, 2005). Modifications have, for instance, made the protein easier to detect and have reduced the gradual photobleaching effect of exposure to UV light (reviewed in Shaner *et al.*, 2005). One such mutation, S65T, made the GFP lose the 395 nm absorption peak, shifting the remaining absorption peak to 490 nm and resulting in a six-fold increase in brightness and a reduced rate of photobleaching (Heim *et al.*, 1995). It is this mutant allele, known as sGFP, which has been most successfully expressed in fungal backgrounds (Spellig *et al.*, 1996; Rohel *et al.*, 2001; Bruno *et al.*, 2004) and is used in this study.
3.2. Materials and Methods

3.2.1. T-DNA localisation within the mutant fungal genome

Fungal genomic DNA was extracted, as previously described in Chapter 2, and digested with EcoRI and PstI restriction enzymes in two independent reactions (Fig. 3.1). A ligation reaction using T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs) was performed, following purification using desalting agarose. The purified reaction mixture was used to transform *E. coli* DH10β cells (Invitrogen). For sequencing reactions, AT-RB and AT-LB2 primers were used to amplify the DNA sequence flanking the T-DNA on the right and left borders, respectively.

3.2.2. Construction of Tpc1:GFP complementation vector

Primers were designed in order to amplify the *TPC1* (MGG_01285) promoter region and ORF from genomic DNA of *M. oryzae* Guy11 (Fig. 3.2A). The TPC1_GFP_F forward primer was designed approximately 1.3 kb upstream from the *MoTPC1* start codon to include a substantial component of the promoter sequence. The TPC1_GFP_R reverse primer spanned the stop codon and contained a complementary region to the GFP sequence. GFP primers were designed to amplify the 1.4 kb sGFP:*TrpC* construct cloned in pGEMT. Both fragments were joined together by fusion nested PCR (Fig. 3.2B). The amplicons were cloned into pGEMT-easy digested with EcoRI. The 4.3 kb Tpc1:GFP fragment was gel purified and cloned into pCB1532 that had previously been digested with EcoRI. The pCB1532 vector contains the 2.8 kb *ILV1* gene, which encodes the acetalactate synthase-encoding allele bestowing resistance to sulfonylurea (Sweigard *et al.*, 1997). The resulting plasmid pCB1532-Tpc1:GFP was used to transform protoplasts of M1422 mutant.
Figure 3.1. Schematic diagram showing the T-DNA fragment contained in the pKHT vector, which was used to generate the *M. oryzae* insertional library.

T-DNA *M. oryzae* transformants are hygromycin resistant. To identify the localisation of the T-DNA within the fungal genome, genomic DNA was digested with *Eco*RI and *Pst*I restriction enzymes in two independent reactions. Ligated products are transformed into *E. coli*. The T-DNA fragment has an autonomous origin of replication from *E. coli* (oriC) and the chloramphenicol (cam) resistance gene to facilitate recovery of flanking DNA. For sequencing reactions, AT-LB2 and AT-RB primers were used to identify the site of T-DNA insertion within *M. oryzae* genome.
Figure 3.2. Strategy for construction of the Tpc1:GFP translational protein fusion.  
(A) Primers were designed to amplify TPC1 promoter region and ORF and sGFP:TrpC fragments. Note that the 5’ end of TPC1 reverse primer spans the TPC1 stop codon and is complementary to the beginning of GFP sequence.  
(B) In a second round of PCR, the two fragments were fused together by overlapping the GFP region. The 4.3 kb final PCR product was gel purified and cloned into pGEMT-easy and then into the pCB1532 fungal transformation vector (Sweigard et al., 1997).
3.3. Results

3.3.1. Identification of M1422, a T-DNA M. oryzae mutant with defects in pathogenicity and vegetative growth

A T-DNA insertion mutant, M1422, showing reduced virulence on roots and leaves was identified from the previously described M. oryzae ATMT mutant library (Tucker et al., 2010). This mutant developed very restricted or no disease lesions on roots of rice cultivar CO-39 (Fig. 3.3). Most of the symptoms were scored as 0 (no lesions; 54%), and as 1 or 2 (very light-coloured lesions and constrained to the infection site; 23% for each score group). On the other hand, the wild-type strain Guy11 produced extensive dark lesions on roots of rice (score 3; 93%), not observed on roots infected with M1422 mutant (Fig. 3.3). Rice CO-39 leaves were also inoculated with a conidial suspension of Guy11 and M1422 (Fig. 3.4). After 5 days post-inoculation, leaves infected with Guy11 possessed a numerous number of rice blast lesions, characterised by a grey necrotic circle and pale yellowish chlorotic margins, indicating the advancing fungal infection (Fig. 3.4). However, M1422 mutant produced restricted resistant-type lesions (Talbot, 1995) and in a small number (Fig.3.4). The mean density of disease lesions on leaves inoculated with the wild-type M. oryzae strain Guy11 was 30.1 ± 4.1 lesions per 5 cm leaf tip compared with 5.3 ± 1.8 in seedlings inoculated with the M1422 mutant (p < 0.01). Similar results were observed on leaves of barley cultivar Golden Promise infected with M1422 mutant (Fig. 3.4).

The M1422 T-DNA mutant was also significantly defective in colonial morphology and vegetative growth (Fig 3.5A). Colonies of this mutant were compact and reduced in size (at 10 dpi, 2.80 ± 0.14 cm), compared with wild-type Guy11 (6.76 ± 0.13 cm) (p < 0.01). Strikingly, the M1422 colony grew in a convoluted mycelial form without invasion of the agar, compared with the normal flat more or less two-
dimensional growth of Guy11 (Fig. 3.5A). These phenotypes suggest that the T-DNA insertion in the M1422 mutant affects pathogenicity and mycelial growth of the fungus.

3.3.2. Localisation of T-DNA insertion within M1422 genome

The presence of a single hybridising restriction fragment of ~9 kb from MfeI-digested M1422 genomic DNA and Southern blot hybridisation with hygromycin phosphotransferase gene cassette (Fig. 3.1) suggested that the M1422 mutant had a single insertion of T-DNA in its genome as shown in Fig. 3.6. The insertion locus was identified by sequencing the ligation products derived from M1422 EcoRI- and PstI-digested DNA with T-DNA border primers, as described in a previous study (Tucker et al., 2010). Sequences from the AT-LB2 and AT-RB primers revealed that the T-DNA was inserted 0.9 kb after the start codon of the MGG_01285 gene locus (Fig. 3.6). We named this gene TPC1 for Transcription factor for Polarity Control1.

The predicted coding region for TPC1 is ~2.6 kb long, encoding 840 amino acids, and one intron on the C-terminus of the ORF. The TPC1 amino acid sequence has also a putative nuclear localisation signal (NLS), Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster DNA binding domain, MAPK docking site, two putative phosphorylation sites (Thr residues at position 168 and 349) and a putative sumoylation site within the NLS. Neighbouring genes in the M. oryzae genome are located ~2.5 kb and 2.6 kb upstream and downstream of TPC1, respectively.
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Figure 3.3. The M1422 mutant is pathogenicity-defective on rice CO39 roots. (A) Root infection assays were performed as described by Tucker *et al.*, 2010. Photographs were taken 15 days after inoculation. (B) *M. oryzae* lesions on roots were scored on a scale 0 – 3, based on colour intensity and extension of the disease lesion (Tucker *et al.*, 2010) and compared with the wild-type strain Guy11 after 15 days of inoculation at 25ºC and 16h light/8h dark photoperiod.
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Figure 3.4. The M1422 mutant is a T-DNA *M. oryzae* transformant with defects in leaf pathogenicity.

Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 and barley cultivar Golden Promise were inoculated 0.20% gelatine (mock) and with *M. oryzae* conidial suspensions of identical concentration (10^5 conidia ml\(^{-1}\)) of Guy11 and M1422 mutant. Seedlings were incubated for 5 days for development of blast disease at 25ºC and 90% humidity.
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Figure 3.5. Restoration of wild-type growth and virulence phenotypes by re-introduction of Tpc1:GFP into M1422.

(A) Colonies of the wild-type strain Guy11, T-DNA insertional mutant M1422 and M1422 complemented with Tpc1:GFP (M1422 compl). Photographs were taken after incubating on CM at 25°C for 10 days. (B) Rice cultivar CO-39 leaves infected with a conidial suspension (10⁵ conidia ml⁻¹) of Guy11, M1422 and M1422 complemented with Tpc1:GFP. Plants were incubated at 25°C and 90% humidity. Photographs were taken 5 days after inoculation.
Figure 3.6. T-DNA was integrated in the coding region of TPC1 gene within the M1422 mutant genome.

(A) The T-DNA was positioned 0.9 kb after the start codon of MGG_01285 gene. This gene was termed TPC1 (Transcription factor for Polarity Control1). TPC1 has a putative nuclear localisation signal (NLS) (brown box), Zn(II)₂Cys₆ binuclear cluster DNA binding domain (green box), MAPK docking site (blue box) and two phosphorylation sites (Thr residues at position 168 and 349 are indicated by one and two asterisks, respectively).

(B) Southern hybridization to show single copy of T-DNA inserted in the M1422 genome. Total genomic DNA was digested with MfeI and probed with hygromycin phosphotransferase gene cassette.
3.3.3. Complementation of M1422 mutant with MoTpc1:GFP

To confirm the single copy insertion and a correlation between the T-DNA insertion and M1422 mutant phenotypes, complementation of the mutant with the TPC1 promoter region and coding region tagged with GFP (Tpc1:GFP) was made (Fig. 3.2). The complementation mutant was able to recover the wild-type rate of mycelial growth (at 10 dpi, 6.64 ± 0.55 cm), colonial morphology and full virulence on rice CO-39 leaves as shown in Fig. 3.5. The mean density of disease lesions on leaves inoculated with the wild-type M. oryzae strain Guy11 and the complementation mutant was 31.2 ± 4.6 and 28.8 ± 5.0 lesions per 5 cm leaf, respectively. This result is consistent with the T-DNA disrupting the TPC1 gene within the M1422 genome and producing the associated defects in pathogenicity and vegetative growth.
3.4. Discussion

In this chapter, I have described a novel pathogenicity gene of *M. oryzae* that was named \textit{TPC1} (\textit{Transcription factor for Polarity Control}1), which plays an important role in vegetative fungal growth and in fungal colonisation \textit{in planta}. \textit{TPC1} was identified as a pathogenicity-defective mutant (M1422) generated by random insertional T-DNA mutagenesis of *M. oryzae* (Tucker \textit{et al.}, 2010). Colonies of the M1422 T-DNA mutant were very compact, convoluted and reduced in size. This phenotype appeared to be due to a different hyphal branching pattern occurring in the mycelium of the mutant, rather than a slower vegetative growth rate. The mutant was also severely reduced in virulence on different plant tissues (root and leaf) and different host species (barley and rice). The mutant was still able to produce a small number of restricted disease lesions, characteristic of a resistant interaction between *M. oryzae* and rice (Bent and Mackey, 2007). The M1422 *M. oryzae* mutant was, however, able to penetrate the host plant. The most direct evidence of a role for \textit{TPC1} in colony morphology and virulence was obtained by restoration of the wild-type phenotype upon re-transformation of the M1422 mutant with a functional copy of \textit{TPC1}.

This putative transcription factor belongs to the strictly fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster family (MacPherson \textit{et al.}, 2006). Other features are the presence of a putative NLS, MAPK docking site, phosphorylation and sumoylation sites. Therefore, Tpc1 may be involved in many roles, including mediating protein-protein interactions, chromatin-remodelling, and regulating gene expression (Laity \textit{et al.}, 2001). Tpc1 transcriptional activity may be controlled by a multitude of strategies such as nuclear-cytoplasmic shuffling, DNA binding and phosphorylation (Struhl, 1995; Sellick and Reece, 2005).
Other studies in fungi showing similar phenotypes on vegetative growth and pathogenesis have shown a misregulation of the polarisation process in apical-growing structures. Deletion of small GTPase \textit{RAC} or the p21-activated kinase \textit{CLA4} in \textit{Claviceps purpurea}, for example, led to the formation of compact colonies, loss of polarity, sporulation and ability to colonise the plant host (Rolke and Tudzynski, 2008). Deletion mutants of the \textit{CLA4} homologue, \textit{CHM1} in \textit{M. oryzae} displayed similar phenotypes such as reduced growth and conidiation, appressorium formation- and pathogenicity- defective (Li \textit{et al.}, 2004). In \textit{Aspergillus niger}, mutants in which the small GTPase \textit{SRGA} was deleted, a homologue \textit{S. cerevisiae SEC4}, were characterised by compact colonial morphology, reduced sporulation and defects in polarity (Punt \textit{et al.}, 2001). Loss of Rrm4, a RNA-binding protein, in \textit{Ustilago maydis} similarly resulted in reduced disease symptoms on maize (Becht \textit{et al.}, 2005), polarity defects in filaments and colonies of reduced size (Becht \textit{et al.}, 2006). When considered together, cell polarisation is a crucial process during a host-pathogen interaction and pivotal in the establishment of disease.

In order to dissect the function of this transcription factor, other defects such as conidiogenesis, conidial morphology, appressorium formation and a potential connexion with polarity were addressed and the results are described in the following chapters.
CHAPTER 4

Functional characterisation of *MoTPC1* gene
4.1. Introduction

The pathogenicity-defective M1422 mutant identified from screening the ATMT library has a single T-DNA insertion, disrupting the TPC1 (MGG_01285) gene (see Chapter 3). TPC1 encodes a putative transcription factor that belongs to the fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family. This mutant presents other phenotypes such as compact colonial growth that correlate with a loss of polarity (Punt et al., 2001; Li et al., 2004; Becht et al., 2006; Rolke and Tudzynski, 2008). Polarity is a universal attribute of life and organisms can exhibit functional polarisation at a molecular, cell and structure level (Macara and Mili, 2008). The molecular and genetic control of cell polarity is understood best in the unicellular S. cerevisiae during budding (Drubin, 1991). In multicellular fungi, relatively little is known about the establishment of polarity and its effect on morphogenesis and developmental processes.

Here, I describe the characterisation of the M1422 mutant in order to determine the likely biological function of the TPC1 gene. My aim was to investigate the effect of loss of TPC1 function on conidiogenesis, conidiation, conidial germination, appressorial formation and conidial collapse and, in particular, to study the role of TPC1 in polar-growing structures such as vegetative hyphae, germ tubes, penetration pegs and infectious hyphae. Actin and other cytoskeletal elements, as well as their motor proteins, are involved in the establishment and maintenance of polarity in apical-growing structures (Fischer et al., 2008). Localisation of the actin-binding protein fimbrin tagged with GFP (Fim:GFP) was examined in both the wild-type strain Guy11 and M1422 mutant. I also analysed if TPC1 is involved in other biological processes such as infection-associated autophagy (Veneault-Fourrey et al., 2006a; Kershaw and Talbot, 2009) and glycogen metabolism (Thines et al., 2000; Bhambra et al., 2006; Ramos-Pamplona and Naqvi, 2006; Wang et al., 2007), which have vital roles in virulence of M. oryzae.
4.2. Materials and Methods

4.2.1. Cellular localisation of GFP:Atg8 and Fim:GFP in M1422 mutant background

The GFP:Atg8 (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009) and the Fim:GFP (A.L. Martinez-Rocha and N.J. Talbot, unpublished data) protein fusion vectors were used to transform protoplasts of M1422 mutant. The GFP:Atg8 and the Fim:GFP protein fusion vectors were generated using the native \textit{M. oryzae} Atg8-encoding gene (MGG_01062) and the native \textit{M. oryzae} fimbrin-encoding gene (MGG_04478), respectively. Both fragments were cloned into pCB1532 vector that contains the 2.8 kb \textit{ILV1} gene, which encodes the acetolactate synthase allele conferring sulfonylurea resistance (Sweigard \textit{et al.}, 1997). Transformants showing identical growth and colony morphology to the background strain were selected for further examination using epifluorescence or confocal microscopy. At least three different transformants of each were analysed independently.
4.3. Results

4.3.1. The M1422 mutant is strongly affected on vegetative growth

One striking characteristic of the M1422 mutant is its impaired hyphal growth, which is associated with the formation of a compact colony of reduced diameter size (Chapter 3). In this study, I compared vegetative growth of Guy11 and M1422 mutant on complete (CM) and minimal (MM) medium without carbon (MM-C) and nitrogen (MM-N) sources (Fig. 4.1). In all these growth media, the wild-type Guy11 colony was ~2.5 times larger than the mutant M1422 colony. The vegetative growth of M1422 mutant was severely compromised in both growth media ($p < 0.01$) with the mutant showing a compact colony of reduced size (Fig. 4.1). Examining in detail the edge of each colony, it was evident that the branching pattern of vegetative hyphae of the mutant was different from the wild-type Guy11 (Fig. 4.1A).

I also tested mycelial growth on medium with Congo Red (CR) and Calcofluor White (CFW), which inhibit \textit{in vivo} fungal cell wall assembly by binding $\beta$-1,4-glucans and chitin, respectively (Wood and Fulcher, 1983; Ram \textit{et al.}, 1994). Compared with Guy11 wild-type \textit{M. oryzae} colonies, the mycelial growth of M1422 was severely impaired ($p < 0.01$) (Fig. 4.2). It was not possible to conclude if these pharmacological agents had any additive effect on vegetative growth of the M1422 T-DNA mutant.

The colonial growth of wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant was studied under conditions of hyperosmotic stress. M1422 was grown on medium containing high concentrations of osmolytes such as 1M sorbitol (Fig. 4.2) and increasing concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl) (Fig. 4.3). Under all conditions, M1422 colonies were smaller than the wild-type ($p < 0.01$). However, the 2.5:1 colonial growth ratio of Guy11 and M1422 did not change under conditions of sorbitol stress.
Interestingly, high concentrations of NaCl (0.4M – 1.0M) changed this ratio, eliminating the difference in colonial size between Guy11 and M1422 mutant. The M1422 colonies grew better with increasing concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 4.3). At 10 days post-inoculation (dpi), the colony size of wild-type Guy11 decreased sharply with increasing NaCl osmotic stress, whereas the growth of M1422 colonies was not affected as shown in Fig. 4.3B.

**4.3.2. TPC1 is important in conidiogenesis**

In the previous Chapter, the M1422 T-DNA mutant was shown to be defective in pathogenicity in comparison with Guy11, even though rice plants were inoculated with the same concentration of conidia. The 1422-encoding gene is a virulence determinant. Conidiation was, however, severely impaired in the M1422 mutant (1.9 ± 0.6 x 10^4 conidia ml^-1 cm^-2) compared with wild-type strain Guy11 (11.2 ± 3.3 x 10^4 conidia ml^-1 cm^-2) (p < 0.01) as shown in Fig. 4.4.

Conidia from the M1422 mutant showed defects such as number of cell per conidia and in conidial morphology as shown in Fig. 4.5. Wild-type Guy11 conidia were pyriform cells and were uniformly 3-celled (99%) and only a very small percentage were 2-celled. In the M1422 mutant, the majority of the conidia were 3-celled (80%). However, a higher percentage of 2-celled conidia (17%) were generated by the mutant and single-celled (2%) and 4-celled conidia (1%) were also observed, that were not present in the wild-type. Some of the 3-celled M1422 conidia (26%) were misshapen showing abnormal morphology. By contrast, only 4% of the 3-celled Guy11 conidia were misshapen. The length and width of Guy11 conidia was 23.1 ± 1.8 µm and 8.9 ± 1.0 µm, respectively (Fig. 4.6). The M1422 conidia were slightly shorter (22.2 ± 2.6 µm) and thinner (7.4 ± 1.0 µm), but these values were not statistically significant different from the wild-type conidial length and width (Fig. 4.6).
Figure 4.1. Vegetative growth and colony morphology are severely affected on the M1422 T-DNA mutant.

(A) CM, MM, MM-C and MM-N plates were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the M1422 mutant. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and the colony images captured 10 days after inoculation. (B) The diameter of subsequent colonies was measured at 3, 5, 7 and 10 days post-inoculation (dpi). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 4.2. Vegetative growth and colony morphology are severely affected on the M1422 mutant.

(A) CM plates with 10 µg ml\(^{-1}\) Congo Red (CR), 10 µg ml\(^{-1}\) Calcofluor white (CFW) and 1M Sorbitol added to the media were inoculated with 5 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the M1422 mutant. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and the colony images captured at 5 dpi. (B) The diameter of subsequent colonies was measured at 3 and 5 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 4.3. The M1422 mutant shows increased tolerance to hyperosmotic concentrations of sodium chloride (NaCl).

(A) CM plates with 0M, 0.2M, 0.4M, 0.6M, 0.8M and 1.0M NaCl added to the media were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the M1422 mutant. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and the colony images captured at 10 dpi. (B) The diameter of subsequent colonies was measured at 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 4.4. Conidiogenesis is severely impaired in the M1422 T-DNA mutant.
CM plates were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the M1422 mutant. Plates were incubated at 25°C for a period of 10 days, after which plates were flooded with 4 mL dH₂O, and conidia were harvested, pelleted by centrifugation, re-suspended in 1 mL dH₂O and counted. Calculations were then carried out to determine the number of conidia generated per cm² of mycelium. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 4.5. Conidia of the M1422 mutant show defects in the number of cells per conidium and morphology. CFW was used to visualise septa in order to determine cell number per conidium. Conidia were stained with 5µl CFW solution (Fluka) and incubated at 25°C for 30 minutes. Guy11 conidia are 3-celled. The M1422 mutant produces 1-, 2-, 3- (normal and abnormal morphology) and 4-celled conidia (same order in the panel). Photographs were taken using the Zeiss Axioskop 2 microscope camera. Scale = 20 µm.
Figure 4.6. Conidial size of the wild-type Guy11 and M1422 T-DNA mutant. Conidia were harvested and photographs were taken using the Zeiss Axioskop 2 microscope camera. Values are the mean ± SD from >300 conidia of each strain, which were measured using the ImageJ software (Collins, 2007). Conidial length is the distance from the base to apex of conidia. Conidial width is the size of the longest septum.
4.3.3. Appressorium development is impaired in the M1422 mutant

Since conidiogenesis is affected in the M1422 mutant, I investigated whether the appressorium-related development was also impaired in this mutant (Fig. 4.7). The majority of the three-celled wild-type conidia germinated (>90%) as shown in Fig. 4.8. Conidia germinated by forming a germ tube that emerged from the basal or apical cell. The germ tube only extended for a short distance at its tip and an appressorium formed within 4h – 8h (Fig. 4.7). A long period (6h – 8h) after the inoculation of conidia on the coverslip, some conidia started to germinate germ tubes from both apical and basal cells (<3%) (Fig. 4.8). One of the germ tubes then differentiated an appressorium (Fig. 4.9). The emergence of a second germ tube may have occurred due to a lack of surface recognition of the germ tube to trigger appressorium morphogenesis in one of cells of the conidium.

Even though there were a higher percentage of abnormal conidia, most of the three-celled conidia of the M1422 mutant germinated (89%) (Fig. 4.8). Surprisingly, only 2h after inoculation, 40% of the M1422 conidia had germinated from two cells (Fig. 4.9). This percentage increased to 50% - 60% with incubation time (4h – 8h) on an inductive surface. During appressorium formation, the M1422 mutant conidia showed multiple phenotypes, including formation of two germ tubes, two appressoria or one germ tube germinated from one cell and an appressorium forming from another cell (Fig. 4.7 and Fig. 4.9). The formation of two appressoria observed in M1422 was not observed in conidia of Guy11. Although, the pattern of appressorium-related development was affected, the M1422 conidia did not lose the ability to form at least one appressorium (97%) (Fig. 4.9). Very rarely the middle cell of the M1422 conidia also germinated a germ tube (0.01%) (Fig. 4.7). This situation was not observed during appressorium-mediated process in wild-type Guy11.
Figure 4.7. Infection-related development is impaired in the M1422 mutant.
Conidia were harvested from wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant, inoculated onto glass coverslips, and observed by differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy at the times indicated 0h, 2h, 4h, 6h and 8h. Scale bar = 10µm.
Figure 4.8. The M1422 mutant showed an increased frequency of conidia germination from two cells. Conidia were harvested from wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant, inoculated onto glass coverslips, and observed and counted at 2h, 4h, 6h and 8h after inoculation. Bar charts showing the relative percentage of non-germinated conidia and conidia that germinate from 1-cell and 2-cells at each time point indicated. Data from three biological repeats.
Figure 4.9. The M1422 conidia formed at least one appressorium during infection-related development.
Conidia were harvested from wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant, inoculated onto glass coverslips, and observed and counted at 2h, 4h, 6h and 8h after inoculation. Bar charts showing the relative percentage of the different infection structures formed at each time point are shown. Data from three biological repeats.
4.3.4. Polarity is coupled with autophagy and glycogen metabolism in *M. oryzae*

The impairment in appressorium-mediated development in the M1422 mutant suggested that the morphogenetic pathway might be affected (Saunders et al., 2010). I therefore investigated whether infection-associated autophagy proceeds normally and if conidia undergo autophagic cell death. After 24h, the conidia of both Guy11 (97%) and M1422 (93%) strains were no longer viable and conidial cells and germ tube collapsed (Fig. 4.10). A GFP:Atg8 construct was introduced into the M1422 mutant in order to determine the spatial and temporal dynamics of autophagy in the mutant (Fig. 4.11). Atg8 encodes an autophagic ubiquitin-like protein and has been shown to be a reliable marker for autophagy (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009). The first clear observation was that the GFP:Atg8-labeled autophagosomes accumulated in conidia in significantly smaller numbers in the M1422 mutant (21.6 ± 5.5) when compared with the wild-type Guy11 (33.5 ± 4.4) (p < 0.01; Fig. 4.12). The number of autophagosomes decreased during germination, appressorium maturation and the onset of conidial cell death (Fig. 4.12) in both WT and M1422 mutant. However, the occurrence of this decrease was more pronounced in Guy11 conidia. Even at 24h, the M1422 conidia (3.8 ± 2.9) contained slightly more autophagic vesicles than the wild-type (0.8 ± 1.8). Germ tubes germinated from Guy11 conidia tend to not have autophagosomes during appressorium-mediated development (Fig. 4.12). Conversely, germ tubes from M1422 conidia contained a few autophagosomes throughout the developmental process (Fig. 4.12). Within developing appressoria of Guy11, autophagosome numbers increased during appressorium maturation and intense autophagic activity was associated with mature appressoria (8h; 16.1 ± 4.9). The number of autophagic vesicles within the appressoria dropped considerably after conidial death (24h; 5.0 ± 1.8). By contrast, the number of autophagosomes within appressoria of M1422 was
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steady during appressorium maturation (8.4 ± 4.1), even after conidial cell death (7.5 ± 3.3) (Fig. 4.12).

Recently, a link between autophagy and glycogen metabolism has been studied in *M. oryzae* (Deng and Naqvi, 2010). Glycogen levels during appressorium-mediated development were therefore determined using potassium iodide (KI) staining (Fig. 4.13). During the initial stages of conidial germination, glycogen metabolism was similar in both Guy11 and M1422. The two ungerminated conidial cells showed increased levels of glycogen (confirmed by more intense staining), whereas the germinated cell and germ tube remained unstained (Fig. 4.13). During appressorium formation and maturation, glycogen levels increased within the appressorium while its levels decreased within the two cells of the conidium (Fig. 4.13). However, comparative analysis of KI staining between wild-type Guy11 and the M1422 mutant showed differences during the onset and later stages of conidial cell death (8h and 24h) (Fig. 4.13). Wild-type conidia were depleted of glycogen (no staining) within the conidial cells and appressorium (Fig. 4.13 and Fig. 4.14). Interestingly, although conidial cells of M1422 mutant were also depleted of glycogen, the appressorium remained with high levels of glycogen (concomitant with strong staining with iodide solution). At 24h, 95% of the mutant appressoria were still stained with iodide (Fig. 4.13 and Fig. 4.14).

When considered together, these observations suggest that autophagy and glycogen metabolism appear to be delayed during appressorium-mediated development in the M1422 mutant.
Figure 4.10. The M1422 mutant underwent conidial collapse during appressorium development. 

(A) Conidia were germinated on hydrophobic glass coverslips and incubated for 24 h to form appressoria. Micrograph shows conidial cell death in Guy11 and in the M1422 mutant. Scale bar = 10 µm. (B) Guy11 and M1422 both showed equivalent frequencies of spore collapse during appressorium development. Bar charts showing the relative percentage of conidia that collapsed and did not collapse for each strain at 24h after inoculation. Data from three biological replications.
Figure 4.11. Cellular localisation of autophagosomes during infection-related development of wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant.
Conidia were harvested from Guy11 and M1422 transformants expressing GFP:Atg8, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and observed by epifluorescence microscopy at the times indicated. Scale bar = 10 µm.
Figure 4.12. Infection-associated autophagy was impaired in the M1422 mutant.
Bar charts showing mean autophagosome numbers present in conidium, germ tube, and appressorium at the time points indicated with Guy11 and M1422 transformants expressing GFP:Atg8. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 4.13. Glycogen metabolism was delayed in the M1422 mutant during infection-related development.

Conidia were harvested from Guy11 and the M1422 mutant, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and exposed to potassium iodide (KI) solution, and observed at 0h, 2h, 4h, 6h, 8h and 24h after inoculation. The KI solution stains glycogen within the conidia, but not simple sugars such as glucose and fructose. Scale bar = 10 µm.
Figure 4.14. Glycogen metabolism was delayed in the M1422 mutant. Conidia were harvested from wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips with potassium iodide (KI) solution. Bar charts showing the relative percentage of stained appressoria with KI solution for each strain at 24h after inoculation. Data from three biological replicas.
4.3.4. *TPC1* is required for re-establishing polarity in appressoria

Following appressorium maturation, a penetration peg emerges from the appressorial pore to penetrate the plant cuticle and successfully colonise the plant host. To assess if this re-polarisation within the appressorium was impaired in the M1422 mutant, a penetration assay was performed on onion epidermis (Fig. 4.15). After 24h of incubation, 91% of the wild-type Guy11 conidia that formed an appressorium had effectively penetrated and invaded the epidermal cells (Fig. 4.15). By contrast, only 40% of the M1422 appressoria had formed a penetration peg (Fig. 4.15). Even those that were able to invade the onion epidermal cells did not grow further from the point of penetration. The majority of the M1422 conidia (60%) germinated and produced an appressorium, but failed to penetrate and invade onion cells (Fig. 4.15).

High concentrations of actin filaments are necessary to stabilise the tip of penetration peg (Howard and Valent, 1996). To examine how the formation of the germ tube and penetration peg was compromised, I investigated the dynamics of actin-binding protein fimbrin (Fim:GFP) during infection-related development (Fig. 4.16). Once wild-type conidia attached to borosilicate glass coverslip, a concentration of Fim:GFP was observed at the periphery of conidial cell that germinated. Conidia harvested from the M1422 mutant, however did not show this characteristic. Fimbrin instead localised randomly at the periphery of the three cells of the conidia, and not preferentially in the germinating cell. During germ tube formation (2h), differences in fimbrin organisation between Guy11 and M1422 mutant were obvious. The wild-type conidia showed strong expression of fimbrin at the periphery of the germ tube tip. Although the mutant also showed strong fimbrin expression at the tip of the germ tubes, this localisation was not defined only at the periphery. Fimbrin was dispersed and localised within the cytoplasm of the germ tube of the M1422 conidia. During appressorium formation (4h-6h), fimbrin was
localised in discrete puncta at the periphery of the Guy11 appressorium. However, fimbrin mislocalisation was observed in the M1422 mutant. Fim:GFP was dispersed within the appressorium. At the onset of conidial cell death (8h – 24h), formation of one central appressorial pore within the appressoria was seen in Guy11. The appressorium pore determines the site of emergence of the penetration peg (Bourett and Howard, 1992). At 8h, an appressorial pore could not be observed on the M1422 mutant. Appressorium pore development was delayed in the mutant (Fig. 4.16). However, after conidial cell death, several non-central appressorial pores were formed within the mutant appressorium. This indicates that the re-polarisation process is adversely affected in the M1422 mutant and that TPC1 is required for the correct polarised penetration peg emergence in *M. oryzae*. 
Figure 4.15. The M1422 mutant was impaired in appressorium-mediated penetration.
(A) Onion penetration assay by wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant after 24hpi. Appressorium formed on surface of the onion strip has penetrated the underlying epidermal cell and formed invasive hyphae (Guy11 and M1422 - left panel). Left panel of M1422 mutant shows a defective penetration of onion epidermis by this mutant. Right panel of M1422 mutant shows a germinated conidia which did not form penetration peg after 24 hpi. Scale bar = 10 µm. (B) Bar charts showing the relative percentage of appressorial-penetration of onion epidermis for each strain at 24hpi. Data from three biological replicas.
Figure 4.16. Cellular localisation of fimbrin, an actin-binding protein, during infection-related development of wild-type Guy11 and M1422 mutant.
Conidia were harvested from Guy11 and M1422 transformants expressing Fim:GFP, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and observed by epifluorescence microscopy at the times indicated. Scale bar = 10 μm.
4.4. Discussion

The establishment of polarity is a crucial process in every living organism and functional polarity can be observed at a molecular, cell and structure level. Polarisation implies several steps: 1) a spatial clue that must demarcate a unique zone of the cell to undergo polarised growth or modification; 2) signals which must transmit spatial information to drive asymmetric organisation of the cytoskeleton (e.g. actin); 3) polarised transport of RNAs or proteins to the marked region, which must occur along the cytoskeleton to the polarising zone (Macara and Mili, 2008). Polarised growth enables the fungus to grow (vegetative growth and branching) and to progress along the plant surface (by formation of germ tube) and inside infected rice cells (by formation of penetration pegs and invasive growth) (Xu et al., 2007; Harris, 2008; Brand and Gow, 2009). Sensing the correct surface stimulus is therefore critical for plant infection in *M. oryzae*. The M1422 T-DNA mutant, defective in *TPC1 (Transcription factor for Polarity Control1)*, demonstrates the importance of polarity establishment in both general developmental processes in the fungus and in the virulence of *M. oryzae*.

Vegetative growth and branching of vegetative hyphae were severely impaired in the M1422 mutant when grown on complete (CM), minimal medium (MM) or minimal medium without carbon (MM-C) and nitrogen source (MM-N) (Fig. 4.1). The mutant colonies were very reduced in size and showed a compact phenotype. This phenotype suggests defects in polarity establishment in apical-growing hyphae and branch formation. These two processes are intimately interlinked (Harris, 2008; Brand and Gow, 2009). The ability of rapidly apical-growing hyphae to generate new polarity axes results in the formation of a branch and hyphal branching is vital to the development of mycelial colonies (Harris, 2008). Hyphal branching permits an increase of the surface area of a colony, enhancing space occupancy and substrate
acquisition by the fungus, and the exchange of nutrients and signals between different cells in the same colony (Harris, 2008; Brand and Gow, 2009). It also appears to play a pivotal role in fungal interactions with other organisms (Harris, 2008). The M1422 mutant was clearly affected in normal hyphal growth rate and in the establishment of normal patterns of hyphal branching and mycelial organisation perhaps due to the lack of polarisation of temporal and spatial regulatory mechanisms that ensure these processes.

Vegetative growth was not affected by the presence of CR and CFW (Fig. 4.2), which implies that chitin deposition was not affected by the absence of TPC1. By contrast, hyperosmotic stress conditions (Fig. 4.3) led to an increase in the relative growth rate of M1422 compared to the wild-type, consistent with an effect of cell wall integrity or hyperosmotic stress adaptation processes such as compatible solute generation or membrane function (Quinn, 2008; Fuchs and Mylonakis, 2009; Smith et al., 2010). In M. oryzae, the MPS1 MAPK regulates nutrient sensing and the cell wall integrity pathway (Xu et al., 1998). MPS1 gene is also required to re-establish polarised growth and the elaboration of a penetration peg by the mature appressorium (Xu et al., 1998). A similar phenotype was observed in the M1422 mutant throughout this study (Fig. 4.15 and Fig. 4.16), consistent with such a relationship.

In M. oryzae, conidia are produced in sympodially arrays at the tips of aerial hyphae that emerge from the necrotic lesions of rice blast infected plants. Mitotic divisions of a single progenitor nucleus occur in the conidiophore, leading to the production of the first three-celled conidium. Then, the hyphal tip moves to the side of the conidium and produces a second spore until three to five conidia are produced in a whorl at the conidiophore tip (Lau and Hamer, 1998). However, the developmental process of conidiogenesis was affected in the M1422 mutant. Conidiation was severely reduced in the mutant compared with wild-type (p < 0.01) as shown in Fig.
4.4. Despite the changes in conidial morphology, conidial cell number and germination of conidial cells (Fig. 4.5 and Fig. 4.8), M1422 conidia were able to germinate normally to form appressoria (Fig. 4.7 and 4.9). The germinated M1422 conidia showed multiple phenotypes such as formation of two germ tubes, two appressoria or one germ tube from one cell and an appressorium forming from another cell (Fig. 4.7 and 4.9). The formation of two appressoria and germination from the middle cell (Fig. 4.9) in the M1422 conidia, were phenotypes not observed in Guy11 conidia. Other mutants affected in conidiation in *M. oryzae* have pleiotropic effects on appressorium formation and pathogenicity (*e.g.* Δsmo, Δcon and Δacr1 mutants (Hamer *et al.*, 1989; Shi and Leung, 1995; Lau and Hamer, 1998), which highlight the developmental parallels between conidiation and appressorium morphogenesis.

When appressoria mature, the conidial and germ tube cells usually collapse and are no longer viable after 24 h (Veneault-Fourrey *et al.*, 2006a). Although M1422 conidia appeared able to undergo cell death (Fig. 4.10), cellular localisation of autophagosomes (Fig. 4.11 and Fig. 4.12) and glycogen metabolism (Fig. 4.13 and Fig. 4.14) suggested that this process is impaired. Autophagy targets portions of cytoplasm, damaged organelles and proteins for lysosomal degradation and has crucial roles in development and disease (He and Klionsky, 2009; Talbot and Kershaw, 2009; Wang and Levine, 2010). The association of Atg8 with mature autophagosomes has made this a marker for autophagy (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009). Generation and expression of a GFP:Atg8 fusion protein provides the means to determine spatial regulation of autophagy by measuring the distribution of punctate GFP:Atg8 autophagosome-associated signal within cells (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009). Interestingly, autophagy was affected in the M1422 mutant (Fig. 4.11 and Fig. 4.12). The most striking observations made during infection-related development were: 1) M1422 conidia had a smaller number of autophagic vesicles;
2) the number of autophagosomes within M1422 appressorium did not significantly alter throughout this process; 3) at 24h, the M1422 conidia still maintained a considerable number of autophagosomes. Absence of TPC1 transcription factor therefore affects and delays the autophagic pathway within the M1422 conidia. Recently, a close relationship between glycogen homeostasis and autophagy has been reported (Deng et al., 2009). Loss of autophagy leads to an increase of glycogen levels within fungal cells (Deng et al., 2009). Glycogen metabolism was followed during appressorium formation and maturation in Guy11 and M1422 mutant (Fig. 4.13). At 24h, wild-type conidial cells and appressorium were depleted of glycogen, whereas M1422 appressoria still had a considerable amount of glycogen levels concomitant with staining within the appressoria (Fig. 4.13 and Fig. 4.14). Glycogen metabolism and autophagy are delayed in the M1422 mutant. These results link control of polarised growth with autophagy and other developmental processes and demonstrate that TPC1 is a core developmental regulator, capable of coupling different signalling pathways in a context-dependent manner.

Autophagic cell death has been also linked with appressorium formation and penetration in M. oryzae (Veneault-Fourrey et al., 2006a). Mature appressoria develop a thin-walled appressorium pore area at the contact site to the plant surface and a penetration peg will emerge from the appressorial pore (Bourett and Howard, 1992). Although the majority of wild-type appressoria penetrated onion epidermal cells, the M1422 mutant was shown to be penetration defective (Fig. 4.15). The penetration peg emerging from the appressorial pore contains high concentrations of actin filaments that may be necessary to stabilise the tip of penetration peg (Howard and Valent, 1996). To investigate cytoskeletal dynamics GFP was fused with fimbrin (Matsudaira, 1994; A.L. Martinez-Rocha and N.J. Talbot, unpublished data), an actin cross-linking protein, and used in live cell imaging in M. oryzae (Fig. 4.16). The introduction of Fim:GFP has also been used successfully to study cell
polarity in *A. nidulans* (Upadhyay and Shaw, 2008). The network of fimbrin observed in mature appressoria (8h – 24h) of the wild-type strain to delineate the appressorial pore was strikingly absent in the M1422 mutant (Fig. 4.16). This result indicates that actin involved in the selection of the penetration emergence site and re-establishment of polarised growth is disturbed in the M1422 mutant. In pollen tubes and root hairs, it has been also shown that actin microfilaments are involved in organellar movements, cellular morphogenesis and the regulation of apical growth (Hepler *et al.*, 2001).

Taken together, these results provide evidence that Tpc1 has a broad involvement in polarised growth potential and differential inheritance of cellular components occurring in different developmental processes. The differential inheritance of cell fate determinants is used to generate diverse cell types during development of multicellular organisms. These determinants can be RNAs or proteins and have diverse functions that ultimately control programs of gene expression to either suppress or drive cell fate differentiation and control axis formation (Macara and Mili, 2008). *MoTPC1*-deleted mutant may therefore provide a means to dissect how polarity is established and regulated in *M. oryzae*, and also allow the organisation of cytoskeletal elements, and how assimetrically localised RNAs/ proteins determine morphogenesis and cell fate in *M. oryzae*.

Based on the initial characterization of Tpc1, I decided to investigate the expression of Tpc1 during infection-related development and also to characterise structure-function relationships in the Tpc1 protein using directed mutagenesis.
CHAPTER 5

Cellular localisation and site-directed mutagenesis of $\text{MoTpc1}$
5.1. Introduction

Members of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster protein family are exclusively fungal. The six cysteine residues bind to two zinc atoms, which coordinate folding of the domain involved in DNA-binding (Pan and Coleman, 1990, 1991). The first and fourth cysteine residues act as bridging ligands by ligating both zinc metal ions, whereas the other cysteine residues act as terminal ligands (Gardner et al., 1991; Pan and Coleman, 1991). Zinc cluster proteins are found predominantly in ascomycetes such as the saprotrophs S. pombe, Kluyveromyces lactis, Neurospora crassa, Trichoderma reesei, in the plant pathogens M. oryzae and Ustilago maydis, and the human pathogen Candida albicans; and only one zinc cluster protein is known in the basidiomycete Lentinus edodes (reviewed in Todd and Andrionopoulos, 1997). However, this family is best characterised in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae genome, with over 50 putative zinc cluster proteins (Cornell et al., 2007). The first discovered zinc cluster protein was the S. cerevisiae Gal4 protein, a transcriptional activator of genes involved in the catabolism of galactose (Klar and Halvorson, 1974; Laughon and Gesteland, 1982), which became the driving force behind further studies in other members within this fungal family of transcription factors.

The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster proteins contain several functional domains: the cysteine-rich DNA-binding domain (DBD), the regulatory domain, and the acidic region. The DBD domain is commonly located at the N-terminus of the other domains and is divided into three sub-regions: the zinc finger, the linker, and the dimerization domain (MacPherson et al., 2006). Several site-mutagenesis studies have shown the importance of cysteine residues contained within the DBD in DNA binding and protein function (Johnston and Dover, 1987; Pfeifer et al., 1989; Bai and Kohlhaw, 1991; Yuan et al., 1991; Parsons et al., 1992). However, two zinc cluster
proteins are known for not requiring the cysteine-rich DBD to be functional. The *S. cerevisiae* Dal81 and the *A. nidulans* TamA proteins appear to be fully functional when their zinc cluster domain is either deleted or disrupted (Bricmont *et al.*, 1991; Davis *et al.*, 1996). In general, zinc ions are required for stabilising protein folding and function of Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster proteins. However, zinc cluster proteins can still be functional and bind to DNA in a metal ion-dependent manner when zinc is replaced by other metal ions like Cd(II) (Marmorstein *et al.*, 1992). The linker sub-region is located right after the zinc cluster motif within the DBD region. It provides a structure for mediating DNA-binding to a specific sequence and prevents binding to non-specific sites (Mamane *et al.*, 1998). Moreover, replacing the zinc cluster motif of one protein with another does not affect DNA targeting, whereas switching the linker sub-region does (Reece and Patshne, 1993; Mamane *et al.*, 1998). Within the DBD the dimerization sub-region is the last component to be described. Although not present in all Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster proteins, the dimerization sub-region consists of heptad repeats that form a highly conserved coiled-coiled structure (Schjerling and Holmberg, 1996). It is proposed that this sub-region is responsible for dimerization and protein-protein interactions. The other two functional domains in zinc cluster proteins (regulatory and acidic domains) are not conserved or present in all proteins of this family (Schjerling and Holmberg, 1996). Most likely, the regulatory domain plays a role in regulating the transcriptional activity of the zinc cluster proteins. The function of the acidic domain is diverse and it is thought to play an important function in each zinc cluster protein.

The transcriptional activity of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster proteins can be modulated by numerous strategies such as nuclear-cytoplasmic shuffling, DNA binding, phosphorylation, and unmasking of the activation domain (Struhl, 1995; Sellick and Reece, 2005). These transcription factors are found to regulate target genes as monomers, homodimers or heterodimers (Cahuzac *et al.*, 2001; Mamnun *et al.*, 2001).
They can also regulate transcription of target genes alone or in coordinated networks with other members of this class by binding to one or more DNA recognition sites (Kim et al., 2003). Several members of this family can regulate the expression of other zinc cluster proteins or these proteins can have a self-regulation mechanism, forming a positive feedback loop (Lucau-Danila et al., 2003). In order to act as transcriptional regulators, members within the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ family must be localised to the nucleus. They can be constitutively present in the nucleus or be initially localised in the cytoplasm and then translocated into the nucleus (MacPherson et al., 2006). In general, the translocation of proteins across the nuclear membrane is mediated through binding of transport receptors to the nuclear localisation signal (NLS) present in the zinc cluster proteins (Nakielny and Dreyfuss, 1999). Usually the NLS is located within or very close to the DBD region (Nikolaev et al., 2003). However, non-classical nuclear import pathways have also been described for some of these transcription factors (Nikolaev et al., 2003). Activation of several zinc cluster proteins can, for instance, occur by phosphorylation or dephosphorylation event. For example, Gal4 is activated upon phosphorylation at a single serine residue (S699) (Sadwoski et al., 1996). Although this phosphorylation event is required for activation, it is dispensable for Gal4 activity (Rohde et al., 2000).

Most of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster protein studies have been carried out in S. cerevisiae and have provided a better understanding of these fungal transcription factors and their functions within the cell. These transcriptional regulators are known to act as repressors, activators, or as both repressors and activators for certain genes (reviewed in Turcotte et al., 2004). In order to make DNA accessible and facilitate gene transcription, several zinc cluster proteins have been described that require the support of chromatin-remodelling complexes, histone-modifying enzymes, and transcriptional co-factors (MacPherson et al., 2006). The zinc cluster proteins are
involved in a plethora of cellular processes: glucose/ galactose metabolism, gluconeogenesis and respiration, nitrogen metabolism, secondary metabolism, mitosis, meiosis, chromatin remodelling, stress responses, pleiotropic drug resistance (PDR), and morphogenesis (for review see MacPherson et al., 2006).

The majority of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ transcription factors have more than one distinct role and can have overlapping functions regarding gene regulation of different subset of genes being coordinated together or at different times (Strich et al., 1994; Jackson and Lopes, 1996; Cohen et al., 2001; Hallstrom et al., 2001).

In this Chapter, I report the temporal and spatial localisation of Tpc1 during vegetative growth and during the infection-related development of *M. oryzae*, using the Tpc1:GFP fusion vector described in Chapter 3. In *M. oryzae*, infection-related development is characterised by a series of morphogenetic stages (Dean et al., 2005): germination of a polarised-growing germ tube from the conidium, appressorium formation, appressorium maturation and conidial collapse, followed by polarised growth from the base of appressorium to form a penetration peg and enter the plant. Together with hyphal extension, during these different developmental stages the expression of Tpc1 was observed in order to determine whether there is a correlation between the expression of the transcription factor and the developmental changes involving the establishment of polarity. To gain insight into whether Tpc1 transcription factor is involved in a specific or several regulatory networks such as the Pmk1 (Xu and Hamer, 1996; Park et al., 2002), Mps1 (Xu et al., 1998) and Osm1 MAPK pathways (Dixon et al., 1999), or the Cpka response pathway (Lee and Dean, 1993) and autophagy–related pathways (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009), Tpc1:GFP expression was analysed in these different mutant backgrounds.
PCR site-directed mutagenesis was also used to create a mutation at a defined site in a DNA molecule (Hutchison et al., 1978; Storici and Resnick, 2003). A functional analysis of Tpc1 was also reported using directed mutagenesis to investigate the importance of the NLS (plus two other types of constructs with the NLS disrupted: ΔRR and ΔKK), the putative sumoylation site within the NLS, the putative phosphorylation sites (T168 and T349) and the cysteine residues within the DBD, in the function and spatial localisation of MoTpc1 in vegetative hyphae and in conidia of M. oryzae.
5.2. Materials and Methods

5.2.1. Cellular localisation of MoTpc1:GFP construct in wild-type Guy11 strain and different mutant backgrounds

The MoTpc1:GFP construct was cloned into pCB1532 vector (SUR^R), as described previously in Chapter 3, and then used to transform protoplasts of the wild-type strain Guy11 expressing histone1 fused with red fluorescent protein (H1:RFP) (Saunders et al., 2010), Δpmk1, Δatg1, Δatg8, Δcpka, Δmps1, Δmst12 and Δosm1 mutants. Transformants showing identical growth and colony morphology to the background strain were selected for further examination using confocal microscopy. At least three different transformants of each were used.

5.2.2. PCR site-directed mutagenesis of MoTpc1:GFP construct

To create a mutation or deletion of a specific region of MoTpc1:GFP construct, primers were designed to contain a desired mutation or deletion (Hutchison et al., 1978; Storici and Resnick, 2003). A first PCR round was used to amplify two parts of MoTpc1:GFP. To amplify MoTpc1:GFP part 1, a reverse primer was used containing the mutation or deletion desired, whereas to amplify MoTpc1:GFP part 2 the forward primer containing the specific mutation or deletion was used. A second PCR round was then used to join both fragments together using flanking primers. To obtain MoTpc1ΔNLS:GFP, MoTpc1ΔRR:GFP and MoTpc1ΔKK:GFP, primers were engineered to delete the NLS, and the two basic arginine (RR) and lysine (KK) amino acids present within the NLS. The other constructs were made in order to mutate a specific amino acid without changing the reading frame. MoTpc1_T168G:GFP, MoTpc1_T349G:GFP, MoTpc1noSUMOylation:GFP and MoTpc1noCys1/2:GFP in which the putative phosphorylation sites (Thr at position 168 and 349) changed to a Gly residue, putative sumoylation site (Lys) changed to an Ala residue and two first Cys residues of Zn(II)_2Cys_6 cluster domain changed to
Gly residues, respectively. Fragments were gel purified and cloned into pGEMT-easy vector and mutations confirmed by DNA sequencing. The constructs with the mutation or deletion introduced correctly were then cloned into pCB1532 (SUR\textsuperscript{R}) (Sweigard et al., 1997). The resulting plasmids were used to transform protoplasts of the wild-type strain Guy11 and the M1422 mutant background. At least three transformants were selected for further examination using confocal microscopy.
5.3. Results

5.3.1. Expression and localisation of MoTpc1:GFP fusion proteins in wild-type Guy11

To determine whether Tpc1:GFP expression was restricted to specific developmental stages and specific organelles within the cell, I performed live-cell imaging of *M. oryzae* Guy11 expressing a histone H1-enhanced red fluorescent protein (H1:RFP) fusion in order to identify nuclei (Saunders *et al.*, 2010) (Fig. 5.1). The nuclei of vegetative hyphae, attached (30 min), germinated (2h) and collapsed (24h) conidia expressed MoTpc1:GFP fusion protein, co-localised with H1:RFP expression. The only developmental stage where MoTpc1:GFP was not detected in the nuclei occurred during appressorium formation and maturation (4h – 6h). Whenever Tpc1 was expressed in the nuclei, it was at a relatively low level. GFP fluorescence was never observed in the cytoplasm or other organelles within conidia.

5.3.2. Expression and localisation of MoTpc1:GFP fusion proteins in different mutant backgrounds

To investigate whether the Tpc1 transcription factor is involved in specific or multiple regulatory networks, MoTpc1:GFP expression was observed in conidia of different mutants (Fig. 5.2). In the Δpmk1 MAPK mutant background, MoTpc1:GFP fusion proteins were mislocalised within the conidia. Expression was detected in the cytoplasm, but not in nuclei. Interestingly, GFP fluorescence was still visible but was enhanced in the nuclei of conidia and in the cytoplasm of Δatg1 and Δatg8 autophagy-defective mutants, compared with the fluorescence observed in the wild-type Guy11. In the other mutants analysed (Δcpka, Δmst12, Δmps1 and Δosm1), MoTpc1:GFP expression was not detected within conidia.
Figure 5.1. Cellular localisation of MoTpc1:GFP during infection-related development (A) and in vegetative hyphae (B) of *M. oryzae*.

(A) Conidia were harvested from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoTpc1:GFP protein fusion, inoculated onto glass coverslips at 25°C, and observed by confocal microscopy at the times indicated (Scale bar = 10 µm). (B) A plug of mycelium from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoTpc1:GFP was inoculated onto slide covered with CM and incubated at 25°C. Observations were made 24hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm).
Figure 5.2. Cellular localisation MoTpc1:GFP in Δpmk1, Δatg1, Δatg8, Δcpka, Δmps1, Δosm1 and Δmst12 mutant backgrounds.

Conidia were harvested from the different strains expressing MoTpc1:GFP, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and observed by confocal microscopy (30 minutes). Scale bar = 10 µm.
5.3.3. Expression and localisation of mutated versions of MoTpc1:GFP fusion proteins

To explore the importance of certain regions in the expression and function of MoTpc1 protein, PCR site-directed mutagenesis technique (Hutchison et al., 1978; Storici and Resnick, 2003) was applied to obtain mutated versions of the MoTpc1:GFP fusion proteins. Deletion of the entire NLS (MoTpc1ΔNLS:GFP) and two basic amino acids within the NLS (MoTpc1ΔRR:GFP and MoTpc1ΔKK:GFP) caused mislocation of the MoTpc1 protein into the cytoplasm of conidia (Fig. 5.3C). Furthermore, the MoTpc1ΔNLS:GFP and MoTpc1ΔRR:GFP protein fusion constructs did not complement the M1422 mutant (Fig. 5.3B). The ΔKK mutated version only partially complemented M1422 background to wild-type in terms of vegetative growth on CM (Fig. 5.3B). The MoTpc1noCys1/2:GFP protein fusion contains a substitution of cysteine residues into glycine residues within the DBD of Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ domain (Fig. 5.4A). No GFP fluorescence was observed in conidia and no complementation occurred using the M1422 mutant (Fig. 5.4B and Fig. 5.4C). Post-translational modifications fine-tune the function of transcription regulators by affecting their localisation, conformation or stability (Stein et al., 2009; Miura and Hasegawa, 2010). Therefore, modification of putative phosphorylation sites (MoTpc1_T168G:GFP and MoTpc1_T349G:GFP) (Fig. 5.5A) and sumoylation site within the NLS (MoTpc1noSUMOylation:GFP) (Fig. 5.3A) was performed. GFP expression of these mutated protein fusion constructs was detectable in the cytoplasm and not the nuclei of conidia (Fig. 5.3C and Fig. 5.5C). These constructs can also revert partially the reduced growth phenotype of the M1422 mutant on CM, when used to complement M1422 T-DNA background (Fig. 5.3B and Fig. 5.5B).
Figure 5.3. The NLS and sumoylation site are crucial for the proper function of MoTpc1.

(A) Schematic diagram showing the localisation of the NLS within MoTPC1. The sequence within the red box contains the NLS sequence deleted. The amino acids in red were deleted to obtain Tpc1ΔRR:GFP and Tpc1ΔKK:GFP constructs. The lysine residue in blue corresponds to the sumoylation site that was changed to an alanine residue (Tpc1noSUMOylation:GFP).

(B) Tpc1ΔNLS:GFP, Tpc1ΔRR:GFP, Tpc1ΔKK:GFP and Tpc1noSUMOylation:GFP constructs were used to transform Guy11 protoplasts. Conidia were harvested from the different strains expressing different constructs, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and observed by confocal microscopy (2 hpi). Scale bar = 10 µm.
Figure 5.4. The cysteine residues within the putative Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ are crucial for the proper function of MoTpc1.

(A) Schematic diagram showing the localisation of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster domain within MoTPC1. The amino acids in green correspond to the 2 cysteine residues that were changed to glycine residues (Tpc1noCys1/2:GFP). (B) Tpc1noCys1/2:GFP construct was used to transform M1422 protoplasts. CM plates were inoculated with Guy11, M1422 and M1422 transformants complemented with Tpc1noCys1/2:GFP construct. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and the colony images captured at 10 dpi. (C) Tpc1noCys1/2:GFP construct was used to transform Guy11 protoplasts. Conidia were harvested from the different strains, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and observed by confocal microscopy (2 hpi). Scale bar = 10 µm.
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Figure 5.5. The phosphorylation residues are crucial for the proper function of MoTpc1.

(A) Schematic diagram showing the localisation of the putative phosphorylation sites within MoTPC1. T168 and T349 residues correspond to one and two asterisks, respectively. These threonine residues were changed to glycine residues to obtain Tpc1_T168G:GFP and Tpc1_T349G:GFP constructs. (B) Tpc1_T168G:GFP and Tpc1_T349G:GFP constructs were used to transform M1422 protoplasts. CM plates were inoculated with Guy11, M1422 and M1422 transformants complemented with Tpc1_T168G:GFP and Tpc1_T349G:GFP. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and the colony images captured at 10 dpi. (C) Tpc1:T168G:GFP and Tpc1:T349G:GFP constructs were used to transform Guy11 protoplasts. Conidia were harvested from the different strains, inoculated onto borosilicate glass coverslips and observed by confocal microscopy (2 hpi). Scale bar = 10 µm.
5.4. Discussion

To examine the expression and localisation of Tpc1 in *M. oryzae* wild-type strain Guy11, a MoTpc1:GFP fusion was generated and expressed under the control of the native Tpc1 promoter sequence. Since the M1422 T-DNA mutant expressing this fusion construct fully complemented and was able to infect rice plants and grow normally on CM, the fusion of GFP had no obvious detrimental effect on the function of Tpc1 transcription factor *in vivo* (see Chapter 3). A weak GFP signal is detectable in the nuclei of vegetative hyphae and conidia (Fig. 5.1). During germination of germ tube, the fluorescence of Tpc1:GFP fusion protein is observed in the three nuclei of the developing conidium. During appressorium formation, when one nucleus migrates into the developing germ tube and undergoes mitosis (4-nuclei stage), no expression of Tpc1:GFP was observed. However, the expression of Tpc1:GFP was observed in the single nucleus present in the appressorium, after conidial collapse. The Tpc1:GFP expression pattern seems therefore to be correlated with establishment of polarity. Vegetative hyphae, attached and germinated conidia display apical dominance, whereby the growing tip of these structures expands apically and suppresses growth in its vicinity (Bourett and Howard, 1990; Harris et al., 2005, Harris, 2008). The formation and maturation of appressorium is under isotropic growth, where enormous turgor pressure is generated in all directions of the appressorium by an increase in intracellular glycerol levels (Howard et al., 1991). During isotropic appressorial growth stage, no expression of Tpc1:GFP is observed within the nuclei (Fig. 5.1). After conidial collapse, polarised growth is initiated to form a penetration peg at the base of appressorium (Bourett and Howard, 1990). This result is concomitant with the re-expression of Tpc1 within the appressorial nucleus (Fig. 5.1). Therefore, Tpc1 may be involved in re-establishing polarised growth and in the clustering or trafficking of polarity factors involved in the generation of positional signals.
To determine if Tpc1 transcription factor is involved in one specific or several regulatory networks, the cellular localisation of this protein was observed in different mutant backgrounds. Analysis of the cellular localisation pattern of Tpc1:GFP in Δpmk1 mutants shows that Tpc1 zinc cluster protein is mislocalised in the cytoplasm of conidia (Fig. 5.2). The lack of nuclear localisation of Tpc1:GFP fusion protein in Δpmk1 mutant background indicates that translocation of Tpc1 into the nucleus must occur through Pmk1 MAPK. Several activated MAPKs are known to phosphorylate transcription factors and other signaling components and can induce their relocalisation within the cell, triggering an appropriate cellular response to the stimulus. (Qi and Elion, 2005). ATG1 and ATG8 genes are involved in fungal autophagy and encode a Ser/Thr kinase protein and ubiquitin-like protein involved in the autophagosome expansion, respectively (Veneault-Fourrey et al., 2006a; Liu et al., 2007; Kershaw and Talbot, 2009). Although Tpc1:GFP localises in the cytoplasm and in the nuclei of Δatg1 and Δatg8 conidia, its expression is strong (Fig. 5.2). By contrast, Tpc1 is expressed at a relatively low level in the nuclei of wild-type strain Guy11. Autophagy is a process responsible for the turnover of damaged proteins and organelles and is crucial for differentiation, development, pathogenesis and other fundamental biological processes (Nakatogawa et al., 2009; Talbot and Kershaw, 2009). Results indicate that Tpc1 is a target protein substrate for proteasomal degradation, probably regulated by a feedback loop between Tpc1 expression levels and autophagy-related proteins (see also Chapter 4). GFP signals were not observed in the conidia of Δcpka, Δmst12 transcription factor regulated by PMK1, Δmps1 and Δosm1 MAPKs deleted mutants (Fig. 5.2). These data indicate that Tpc1:GFP is not expressed or is expressed at lower levels in these mutant backgrounds. To investigate this further, reverse transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis of TPC1 in Δcpka, Δmst12, Δmps1 and Δosm1 mutants should be carried out in future. This technique is extremely sensitive and reliable for quantifying gene transcripts (Nolan et al., 2005).
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The Tpc1 transcription factor is therefore highly connected to a plethora of cellular signalling pathways, being involved in different regulatory networks and regulating the expression of different genes.

All proteins found in the nucleus are synthesised in the cytoplasm and then actively imported into the nucleus through the nuclear pore complex (NPC). Therefore, these proteins must have a nuclear localisation signal (NLS) (Cole and Hammell, 1998). These include nucleus-restricted proteins such as histones and transcription factors. Experiments suggested that MoTpc1 zinc cluster transcription factor is actively imported into the nucleus. Two lines of evidence supported this: 1) Tpc1 is expressed in the nuclei of vegetative hyphae, conidia during germination, and after conidial collapse (Fig. 5.1); 2) mutated versions of the NLS of Tpc1 (ΔNLS, ΔRR and ΔKK) result in accumulation of Tpc1 protein in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5.3C). Similar studies were carried out to analyse the nuclear localisation of the simian virus 40 (SV40) large T-antigen proteins and the importance of NLS in the translocation of these proteins into the nucleus (reviewed in Lodish et al., 2000). The MoTpc1ΔNLS:GFP, MoTpc1ΔRR:GFP constructs were not able to restore normal vegetative growth and colonial morphology in M1422 background (Fig. 5.3B). The other mutated version of NLS, MoTpc1ΔKK:GFP, complemented the reduced colonial morphology phenotype present in the M1422 mutant partially (Fig. 5.3B). Although MoTpc1ΔKK:GFP was expressed in the cytoplasm of the conidia, most probably leaking and import of these mutated proteins was occurring into the nucleus. This may explain the less dramatic colonial phenotype, and the fact that the normal wild-type vegetative growth was not fully restored when complemented with MoTpc1ΔKK:GFP. When considered together, these results suggest that for the proper function of Tpc1 protein, its nuclear localisation is essential. Other studies demonstrated the same principle (Hahn et al., 2008). It can also be assumed that
Tpc1 is not acting as a shuttling protein like ribonucleoproteins, due to the lack of a clear nuclear export signal (NES) within its sequence (Lodish et al., 2000). As other members of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster family, Tpc1 contains a cysteine rich-DBD region (Schjerling and Holmberg, 1996). Modification of two of the six cysteine residues within the zinc finger had dramatic effects on the structure and activity of Tpc1 (Fig. 5.4). No expression of Tpc1:GFP was observed within conidia examined and this mutated version of Tpc1 (MoTpc1noCys1/2:GFP) did not complement the M1422 T-DNA mutant. The wild-type phenotype was not restored after complementation, with the colonies displaying the compact colony growth phenotype. Mutagenic studies of the cysteine residues in other fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ proteins (Leu3, Hap1, AmdR, Ume6, Nit-4, Gal4 and FacB) also demonstrated the significance of these residues in DNA-binding and protein function (Johnston and Dover, 1987; Pfeifer et al., 1989; Bai and Kohlhaw, 1991; Yuan et al., 1991; Parsons et al., 1992; Defranoux et al., 1994; Strich et al., 1994; Todd et al., 1997). In addition, by changing cysteines to glycine residues, the zinc ions are not able to be bound and bridge the structure induced by the six cysteine residues (Pan and Coleman, 1990; Gardner et al., 1991). The requirement of zinc ions is evident by the stabilisation of protein folding and function of these transcription factors (MacPherson et al., 2006).

Post-translational modifications such as sumoylation and phosphorylation are crucial to alter the activity, life-span, or cellular location of proteins (Stein et al., 2009; Miura and Hasegawa, 2010). These modifications allow the mediation of complex hierarchical regulatory networks and are involved in numerous cellular and developmental processes (Stein et al., 2009; Miura and Hasegawa, 2010). Sumoylation is an ubiquitin-like protein (UBL) conjugation process, where small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO) conjugates reversibly through linkage to the lysine residue in the conserved sumoylation motif of the target protein (Kerscher et al., 2006).
Remarkably, the MoTpc1 protein has a putative sumoylation site within the NLS (Fig. 5.3A). Changing the acceptor lysine residue into an alanine residue resulted in an altered cellular localisation of Tpc1. The protein accumulated in the cytoplasm, instead of the nuclei of the conidia (Fig. 5.3C). The MoTpc1noSUMOylation:GFP construct did not fully complement the colonial morphology observed in the M1422 T-DNA mutant to the wild-type parameters (Fig. 5.3B). Two questions can be raised by these results: 1) the lysine/alanine substitution has an effect in the function of the NLS, as seen with ΔNLS, ΔRR and ΔKK construct versions; or, 2) the lysine mutated is a genuine sumoylation site. Recently, sumoylation sites within the NLS of several proteins such as Daxx, Rad52, Pap have been characterised, showing its significance in the protein function and translocation into the nucleus (Chen et al., 2006; Ohuchi et al., 2008; Vethantham et al., 2008). Current models assume that sumoylation regulates gene expression through chromatin remodelling, interfering positively or negatively between the target and partner proteins interaction, and/or through sub-nuclear compartmentalisation of transcriptional co-regulators (Miura and Hasegawa, 2010). Whether the Tpc1 zinc cluster protein is involved in transcriptional regulation through one or more of the mechanisms described above is unknown. However, considering the diverse expression data of MoTpc1 in different mutant backgrounds and the pleiotropic phenotypes that M1422 mutant displays, this issue needs to be further investigated to understand the function of this transcription factor in these regulatory networks.

The internal threonine, serine and tyrosine residues in proteins can be modified by attachment of phosphate groups to their side chains (Stein et al., 2009). In MoTpc1 case, two putative phosphorylation sites (T168 and T349) were identified (Fig. 5.5A). Modification of these threonine residues to glycine residues resulted also in the accumulation of Tpc1 in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5.5C). The M1422 mutant complemented with MoTpc1_T168G:GFP or MoTpc1_T349G:GFP fusion proteins
were still slightly impaired in colonial growth (Fig. 5.5B). These threonine residues may therefore be functional phosphorylation sites recognised by protein kinases (eg. Pmk1). It is known that reversible phosphorylation and dephosphorylation processes can regulate the activity of many proteins and play a crucial role in signal transduction pathways and control other biological processes such as cell growth and differentiation (Stein et al., 2009). Further identification of which protein kinases are involved in the phosphorylation of these residues could give more support to the data examined so far.
CHAPTER 6

Phylogenetic analysis of Tpc1 protein
6.1. Introduction

The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster DNA binding domain is exclusively found in fungal proteins (MacPherson et al., 2006). In general, the 6 cysteine residues are arranged in a CX$_2$CX$_6$CX$_{5-12}$CX$_2$CX$_{6-8}$C manner. The cysteine residues bond to 2 zinc atoms, which coordinate correct folding of the domain involved in DNA binding and function of the protein (Gardner et al., 1991). The first zinc cluster protein characterised was the S. cerevisiae Gal4 protein (Johnston, 1987). The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster proteins are also found in many other ascomycetes: Schizosaccharomyces, Aspergillus, Kluyveromyces, Neurospora, Candida, Pichia, Cochliobolus and Magnaporthe species, suggesting that this motif is probably used throughout the Ascomycota phylum (MacPherson et al., 2006). So far, only one Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ protein has been identified in the basidiomycete Lentinus edodes (Endo et al., 1994). This fact implies that the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ zinc cluster motif may have arisen prior to the divergence of these two major groups, Ascomycota and Basidiomycota. However, the list of zinc cluster proteins will grow with the sequencing of other fungal genomes, which will allow the identification and characterisation of more transcription factors within this family.

DNA-binding domains of a particular class generally bind similar DNA target sequences (Suzuki et al., 1994). To date, only one zinc cluster protein, Pig1 (MGG_07215), has been characterised in M. oryzae (Tsuji et al., 2000). This protein is a transcription factor involved in melanin biosynthesis. The pathogenicity-defective Δpig1 mutant produces melanin in the appressorium, but not in vegetative hyphae (Tsuji et al., 2000). However, phylogenetic analyses of the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ region from known proteins of Saccharomyces, Aspergillus, Kluyveromyces and Neurospora, show that Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ proteins with similar functions cluster together and are more closely related, even from different species.
(Todd and Andrianopoulos, 1997). Thus, comparison of previous characterised zinc cluster transcription factors in one species with unknown zinc cluster proteins in another species can help in the identification of potential targets and function.

Even though the filamentous ascomycete *N. crassa* is a free living saprotroph, it is a close relative of *M. oryzae*. These two fungal species are estimated to have evolved from a common ancestor 50 to 150 million years ago and share about 60% of their genes (Borkovich *et al.*, 2004). An open question regarding their common ancestor persists. Whether it was a plant pathogen, a saprophyte or a non-pathogenic symbiont is still uncertain (Berbee, 2001), but the availability of additional fungal genome sequences may allow this question to be addressed. The presence of gene homologues in *N. crassa* encoding secondary metabolites and virulence factors in common with those of plant pathogens suggests that the lineage leading to *Neurospora* is likely to have lost its ancestral ability to infect plants, as the lineage leading to *Magnaporthe* has gained parasitism (Galagan *et al.*, 2003). The genome sequence of *N. crassa* also reveals that there are a very large number of shared genes with no homologues in the yeast *S. cerevisiae*, making *Neurospora* a better model for understanding the biology of filamentous fungi.

Previous analysis of the *N. crassa* genome has provided evidence that the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family is the largest class of transcription factors present (Borkovich *et al.*, 2004; Cornell *et al.*, 2007). We were intrigued by the fact that the zinc cluster family is strictly fungal in distribution and diverse, but that little is known about the members and function of these transcription factors in *Magnaporthe*. In this chapter, I dissect the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family in *Magnaporthe* and the phylogenetic relationship of MoTpc1 with other *Magnaporthe* proteins. To gain insight into putative functions for MoTpc1 protein, I have analysed whether there has been evolutionary recruitment of this gene by other fungi. I
decided to explore the conservation of MoTpc1 function by characterising the homologous gene in *N. crassa*. In this way, I aimed to be able to define the broader biological function of this clan of transcription factors in developmental biology of fungi.
6.2. Materials and Methods

6.2.1. Phylogenetic analysis

The *M. oryzae* protein sequences containing a fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster domain (PF00172) were identified from the *Magnaporthe* sequence database at the BROAD Institute (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe). HMMsearch (Eddy, 1998) was used to screen the genome assembly of *M. oryzae* proteins with the PFAM (Finn et al., 2010) profile hidden Markov model (pHMM) zn_clus_ls.hmm (http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk/). Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) was used to find orthologous proteins of MGG_01285 (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Protein sequences were pre-aligned using HMMalign and the pHMM zn_clus_ls.hmm (Fig. 6.1) from PFAM. The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster domain region was extensively manually aligned in BioEdit (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/BioEdit.html). Unambiguous aligned positions were used for the subsequent phylogenetic analyses. The maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were performed with the program PhyML version 3.0.1 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003). All trees were visualised using the program Figtree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).
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Figure 6.1. HMM pattern for Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster (zn_clus) protein family.
The HMM pattern is a graphical representation of a probabilistic model to represent sequence families (Schuster-Böckler et al., 2004). The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster protein family is characterised by 6 cysteine residues arranged as the motif CX$_2$CX$_6$CX$_{5,12}$CX$_2$CX$_{6,8}$C that bind to 2 zinc atoms.
6.3. Results

6.3.1. Phylogenetic analysis of MoTpc1 within the *M. oryzae* genome and between fungal species

Understanding how in evolutionary terms the zinc cluster family has evolved within the *M. oryzae* genome and in other fungal genomes can provide insight into the potential function and targets of the MoTpc1 protein. For that purpose I analysed the *M. oryzae* protein sequences containing a fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster domain (Fig. 6.2) and the closest orthologues of MoTpc1 in other fungal species (Fig. 6.3).

In *M. oryzae*, the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family is very diverse and is composed by 119 members (Fig. 6.2). The MoTpc1 protein (MGG_01285) is a single copy gene and has no paralogues in the *M. oryzae* genome.

The 22 closest orthologous proteins of MoTpc1 protein clustered in a group with other fungal Tpc1-like proteins that belong to the same phylogenetic class (Sordariomycetes, Dothideomycetes, Leotiomycetes and Eurotiomycetes) (Fig. 6.3). Therefore, MoTpc1 clustered in a group with other Sordariomycetes such as *Fusarium graminearum, N. crassa, Chaetomium globosum* and *Podospora anserina*. There was no relationship between these orthologous groups and lifestyle of the fungi analysed. For example, NcTpc1 and MoTpc1 proteins were clustered within the same group, even though *N. crassa* is a saprophyte and *M. oryzae* a plant pathogen. As observed in *Magnaporthe* genome, there was only a single copy of Tpc1-like protein in each fungal genome analysed. Interestingly, there was no putative *S. cerevisiae* or *S. pombe* orthologue of MoTpc1.
Proteins containing Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ domain were identified in the Magnaporthe database using PFAM pHMM zn_clus_ls.hmm. The unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using an alignment of 119 zinc cluster M. oryzae sequences. The orange box indicates MoTpc1 protein (MGG_01285). Asterisks in the clades denote high LRT support values (* LRT > 80%).
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Figure 6.3. Maximum likelihood tree of M. oryzae Tpc1 protein (MGG_01285) with its closest fungal orthologues.

Orthologous proteins of MGG_01285 were identified using BLAST and aligned manually in BioEdit program. This unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using an alignment of 22 fungal sequences from different fungal species: Ajellomyces capsulatus (EH07230.1), Aspergillus clavatus (XP_001271908.1), Aspergillus fischerianus (XP_001266982.1), Aspergillus flavus (EED55362.1), Aspergillus fumigatus (XP_751792.1), Aspergillus niger (An04g06640), Aspergillus oryzae (XP_001820273.1), Aspergillus terreus (XP_001210781.1), Botryotinia fuckeliana (BC1G_06121), Chaetomium globosum (CHGG_09110), Coccidioides immitis (CIMG_00566), Fusarium graminearum (FG08769.1), Neurospora crassa (NCU05996), Magnaporthe oryzae (MGG_01285), Podospora anserina (XP_001906056.1), Paracoccidioides brasiliensis (EEH45457.1), Penicillium chrysogenum (Pc22g12400), Penicillium marneffei (XP_002151174.1), Phaeosphaeria nodorum (SNOG_06665), Penicillium stipitatus (EED24478.1), Pyrenophora tritici (XP_001931826.1), Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (SS1G_00170). Fungal species within a pink, green and yellow balloon belong to the Dothideomycetes, Sordariomycetes and Leotiomyces classes, respectively. The other species belong to Eurotiomycetes (Ajellomyces, Aspergillus, Paracoccidioides and Penicillium species) and Euascomycetes (Coccidioides) classes. Asterisks in the clades denote high LRT support values (* LRT > 80%).
6.3.2. Characterisation of *N. crassa* Tpc1 protein

The analysis of the alignment of *Mo* Tpc1 (MGG_01285) and *Nc* Tpc1 (NCU05996) proteins showed that they share 67% amino acid identity (Fig. 6.4). The N-terminus of these proteins presented a lower degree of similarity (46%), whereas the C-terminus (between 423 – 878 amino acid positions in the alignment) was 86% similar. This region included a putative nuclear localisation signal (NLS), a Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster DNA binding domain and a MAPK docking site. The two putative phosphorylation sites and the sumoylation site within the NLS present in *Mo* Tpc1 protein were absent in the *Nc* Tpc1 protein.

6.3.3. Characterisation of *N. crassa* TPC1 KO

In order to gain insight regarding the putative function and targets of *Mo* Tpc1, a *N. crassa* TPC1 KO mutant strain was ordered from the FGSC for further characterisation. This *N. crassa* mutant has no been described in the literature. *NcTPC1* KO mutant was severely reduced in vegetative growth compared with WT (p <0.01) (Fig. 6.5 and Fig. 6.6). After 24 hpi in Vogel’s media, the colony diameter of the *N. crassa* WT and *NcTPC1* KO mutant were 8.46 ± 0.11 cm and 2.23 ± -.30 cm (ratio 4:1), respectively (Fig. 6.6 and Fig. 6.7). The vegetative hyphae of the mutant also branched in a different manner. In the *N. crassa* WT, the branching occurred in the sub-apical region of the vegetative hyphae. However, vegetative hyphae of *NcTPC1* KO mutant branched randomly along the vegetative hyphae and the spacing between these branching points did not match the spacing observed in the WT.

In Chapter 4, it was observed that the *M. oryzae* M1422 mutant was resistant to high concentrations of NaCl (0.2M and 0.8M). However, in the Δtpc1 mutant of *N. crassa* an osmotic-resistance plateau of growth did not occur in high concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 6.7). The vegetative growth of *NcTPC1* KO was also severely affected when
exposed to increasing osmotic stress, compared with *N. crassa* wild-type (*p* < 0.01) (Fig. 6.6 and Fig. 6.7).
Figure 6.4. Alignment of the *M. oryzae* MoTpc1 protein with its closest orthologous in *N. crassa*, NcTpc1.

The sequenced *M. oryzae* MoTpc1 (MGG_01285) gene product was aligned with NcTpc1-like protein (NCU05996) from *N. crassa* genome. Sequences were aligned using BioEdit program. Amino acid residues within an yellow, grey and blue boxes correspond to the nuclear localisation signal (NLS), Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ cluster domain and MAPK docking site, respectively. The blue dashed arrows indicate the putative phosphorylation sites (threonine residues), while the black arrow indicates the putative sumoylation site (lysine residue) present in the MoTpc1.
Figure 6.5. Vegetative growth and colony morphology of NcTPC1 deletion mutant.

Vogel plates were inoculated with 5 mm plugs of mycelium from N. crassa WT and NcTPC1 KO strains. Plates were incubated at 25°C for 2 days. The NcTPC1 KO mutant colony was severely reduced in vegetative growth and the branching of the vegetative hyphae was different to that observed for the isogenic N. crassa WT strain.
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Figure 6.7. Vegetative growth phenotype of \textit{NcTPC1} deletion mutant after exposure to increasing concentration of NaCl.

Vogel plates were inoculated with 5 mm plugs of mycelium from \textit{N. crassa} WT and \textit{NcTPC1} KO strains. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and the diameter of the subsequent colonies measured after 24h. Error bars represent standard deviation of three independent replications of the experiment.
6.4. Discussion

The Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster proteins are a class of transcription factors unique to fungi (Todd and Andrianopoulos, 1997). A better understanding of the function and targets of Tpc1 transcription factor was attempted by investigating the evolutionary relationships of Tpc1 homologues in different fungi. The NcTPC1 KO mutant was also characterised during this study. The choice of the multicellular filamentous fungus *N. crassa* relied on the fact that it possesses a large number of genes without homologues in *S. cerevisiae*, suggesting that *N. crassa* is a better model to study fundamental biological processes in higher eukaryotes (Borkovich et al., 2004; Cornell et al., 2007). The presence of homologues within the *Neurospora* genome with highly diversified plant pathogens and other specialised fungi of narrow habitat, also offers a starting point for comparison with these pathogens such as *Magnaporthe* (Borkovich et al., 2004).

Interestingly, one of the largest classes of transcription factors in *Neurospora* (90 proteins) and *Magnaporthe* (119 proteins) belongs to the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ fungal binuclear cluster family (Cornell et al., 2007). Compared with *Saccharomyces* species (52 proteins), *N. crassa* and *M. oryzae* possess almost twice as many proteins that contain this motif and some of them have no match with yeast zinc cluster proteins seen in Tpc1. Being that this family is closely associated with regulation of gene expression, it has probably expanded along with the evolution of multicellularity and adaptation to environment/lifestyle (Cornell et al., 2007). Additionally, the Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family has been linked to the regulation of secondary metabolism clusters. Production of aflatoxin (Flaherty and Payne, 1997), fumonisins (Brown et al., 2007), and the ACE1 cluster-derived secondary metabolites (Collemare et al., 2008) is regulated by Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ genes located within these clusters in *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Magnaporthe*, respectively. Functioning
as virulence factors, this family of transcription factors is under selective pressure to evolve more rapidly in order to overcome the evolution of plant defences (Berbee, 2001). Within the Ascomycota group, Tpc1-like proteins were present in several multicellular fungal species, which cluster into groups relating to the taxonomic class to which they belong, and not with their ecological role. This may reflect the fact that Tpc1 is involved in the establishment of polarity, a fundamental process that affects largely all the other developmental processes such as sporulation, vegetative and invasive growth in planta.

Comparative genomics is a powerful technique for identifying orthologous genes in different species (Tatusov et al., 1997) and for inferring putative functions for unknown proteins (Burger et al., 1991). Although N. crassa has a close orthologue of MoTpc1, NcTPC1 KO has never been characterised before. Both proteins are incredibly similar (86%) in their C-terminus, which probably contains most of the regulatory regions, the NLS, the zinc cluster DNA binding domain and the MAPK docking site; suggesting that they are functional orthologues (Burger et al. 1991). However, putative phosphorylation and sumoylation sites are present in MoTpc1, but absent in the NcTpc1 protein. Phosphorylation and sumoylation are post-translational modifications that mediate molecular interaction with specific substrates and regulate physiological processes such as cell-cycle, development and biotic and abiotic stress responses (Bhattacharyya et al., 2006; Miura and Hasegawa, 2010). Whether these gained putative regulating motifs in MoTpc1 (or lost in NcTpc1 protein) have a biological function is not known. These regulatory elements may, however, have contributed to expression divergence, a quantitative measure of the differences in expression of a pair of orthologues between two species (Tirosh et al., 2006). Expression divergence can occur within relatively short time scales (5 – 20 Mya), both within and between species (Tuch et al., 2008; Tirosh et al., 2009). These regulatory changes can drive gain or loss of gene targets or simply operate
by remodulating regulatory patterns, allowing the fine-tuning of complex regulatory networks (Thompson and Regev, 2009), which might be the case for the Tpc1 regulatory network. The \textit{NcTPC1} deletion mutant showed defects in general developmental processes (vegetative growth and branching). However, \textit{NcTPC1} KO mutant did not show an osmotic-resistant phenotype to high concentrations of NaCl as observed with the M1422 T-DNA mutant (see Chapter 4). This could be explained by the expression divergence model described above (Tirosh \textit{et al.}, 2006), where \textit{MoTpc1} may have gain a novel target, \textit{e.g. MPS1}, to coordinate within this regulatory network.

When considered together, it suggests that the Tpc1 group of transcription factors may serve important roles in hyphal polarisation and the maintenance of polarity, hyphal branching and the cell wall biogenesis underpinning such morphogenetic transitions.
CHAPTER 7

Identification of putatively secreted effectors delivered by *M. oryzae* during fungal-plant interaction
7.1. Introduction

The penetration peg acts as a channel for moving the nucleus and cytoplasmic contents from the appressorium into the growing primary hypha. In the compatible interaction, primary hyphae differentiate into thicker bulbous invasive hyphae (IH) that fill the first-invaded rice cells and then move into neighbouring cells through pit field sites-containing plasmodesmata (Heath et al., 1990; Kankanala et al., 2007). During an incompatible interaction, avirulence (AVR) effectors are recognised by the corresponding resistance gene products and the invaded plant cells lose membrane integrity and induce a hypersensitive response (HR), callose deposition and oxidative burst to block spreading of blast disease (Peng and Shishiyama, 1989; Koga, 1994; Koga et al., 2004).

Many studies have focused on genes with a role in appressorium development and function (Talbot, 2003). Less is known about the genes that are necessary for biotrophic growth of the blast fungus within rice cells. However, recent cellular studies have led to a better understanding of the biotrophic invasion strategy used by M. oryzae in susceptible rice cultivars (Koga et al., 2004; Kankanala et al., 2007). Thin filamentous primary hyphae grow in the rice cell lumen after appressorial penetration and invaginate the plant plasma membrane. These hyphae differentiate into bulbous invasive hyphae (IH), sealed in an extra-invasive hyphal membrane (EIHM) compartment and they exhibit pseudohyphal growth as they fill the invaded cell. The IH constrict and cross into live neighbouring rice cells apparently through plasmodesmata (Kankanala et al., 2007). To counteract plant defences and successively invade live cells, IH must transport specialised effector proteins into the host cytoplasm (Kamoun, 2006). Recently, Khang et al. (2010) have shown that blast effector proteins (e.g. Avr-Pita1 and Pwl2) accumulate in a novel pathogen-induced structure called the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) at specific locations.
inside the EIHM compartment, and are secreted by IH growing within rice cells. The BIC first appears as an EIHM membranous cap at the hyphal tip of filamentous primary hyphae (Kankanala et al., 2007). When each filamentous hypha differentiates into bulbous IH, the BIC moves beside the first IH cell and remains there as IH continue to grow in the rice cell (Khang et al., 2010). Due to the accumulation of fluorescent effectors in BICs, it has been assumed that BIC localisation is diagnostic of the secretion of blast effectors and may therefore play a role in the translocation of effectors to the rice cell cytoplasm (Kankanala et al., 2007; Mosquera et al., 2009; Khang et al., 2010).

Several genes encoding secreted effectors such as Avr-Pita1 (Jia et al., 2000; Orbach et al., 2000), Pwl2 (Sweigard et al., 1995), and Avr-CO39 (Peyyala and Farman, 2006) have been described in the rice blast fungus. Although these are in planta-specific secreted proteins, no motif has been described for identification of additional effectors in the *M. oryzae* genome (Dean et al., 2005; Mosquera et al., 2009). By contrast, the RXLR sequence motif has been defined as a host translocation domain in the RXLR family of oomycete effectors (Whisson et al., 2007; Birch et al., 2008). The presence of the RXLR motif has enabled computational development of catalogues of candidate RXLR effectors from the genome sequence of several oomycete pathogens (Tyler et al., 2006; Win et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2008; Haas et al., 2009). This method accelerates discovery and functional profiling of effector proteins from filamentous phytopathogens (Vleeshouwers et al., 2008; Oh et al., 2009; Kale et al., 2010; Schornack et al., 2010).

In this Chapter, I report an investigation in which I set out to identify novel effectors from *M. oryzae* using bioinformatics based initially on the published genome sequence of isolate 70-15 (Dean et al., 2005). Assuming the concept that *M. oryzae* secretes effector proteins to modulate plant innate immunity and enable infection,
we predicted the secretome for the blast fungus using SignalP3.0 (Bendtsen et al., 2004) and Phobius (Kall et al., 2004) programmes (Chou, 2007). These bioinformatics tools detect the presence of a signal peptide in a protein. According to the results, there were 1731 putative secreted proteins without transmembrane domains. WoLF PSORT program (Horton et al., 2007) was used to predict the subcellular localisation of these putative secreted proteins within the cell. PFAM domain (Finn et al., 2010) search was also carried out to understand the possible functions of these candidate blast effectors. Here, I described the functional characterisation of three putative nuclear-localised effector proteins (MGG_03438, MGG_04326 and MGG_13165) that contain a DNA-binding domain. MGG_03438, MGG_04326 and MGG_13165 genes encode a putative fungal specific transcription factor, a putative fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear transcription factor, and a putative centromere binding protein B, respectively. Throughout this study, these putative effectors are termed Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1, respectively. Targeted deletion of $FTF1$, $ZNC1$ and $CPB1$ by split-marker strategy (Catlett et al., 2002) is attempted in $M. oryzae$ Guy11 isolate. I reported the phenotypic analysis of three independent mutants for $\Delta ftf1$ (T19, T27 and T33), and two independent mutants for $\Delta znc1$ (T7 and T8) and $\Delta cpb1$ (T2 and T3) to elucidate the biological function of Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1 in rice blast disease.
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7.2. Materials and Methods

7.2.1. Bioinformatics analysis of *M. oryzae* predicted secretome

The *M. oryzae* wild-type isolate 70-15 protein sequences were retrieved from the *Magnaporthe* sequence database at the BROAD Institute (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe). The secretome of *M. oryzae* was predicted by analysing the set of translated gene sequences using bioinformatics (Chou, 2007): 1) SignalP3.0 (Bendtsen et al., 2004), which detects the presence of a signal peptide in the amino terminus of a protein; 2) Phobius (Kall et al., 2004), a combined transmembrane (TM) topology and signal peptide predictor (Fig. 7.1). WoLF PSORT program (Horton et al., 2007) was then used to predict the subcellular localisation of 1731 putative secreted proteins without transmembrane domains within the cells (Fig. 7.1). To gain insight into their function, these protein sequences were analysed for PFAM (Finn et al., 2010) domain matches.

7.2.2. Targeted gene replacement of *FTF1, ZNC1* and *CPB1*

From the 1731 candidate effector proteins, three putative nuclear-localised secreted proteins (MGG_03438, MGG_04326 and MGG_13165) were selected for functional characterisation. For targeted deletion of these three genes in *M. oryzae* Guy11, the split-marker deletion method based on PCR fusion was used (Catlett et al., 2002) (Fig. 7.2 and Fig 7.3). The PCR-based strategy required four selectable marker primers (M13F, M13R, HY and YG primers) and four gene-specific primers (F1, F2, F3 and F4 primers) as shown in Fig. 7.2.

In PCR round 1 (Fig. 7.2A), the flanks and the selectable marker hygromycin phosphotransferase (*HYG*) were amplified. Primers F1 and F2 amplified the 5' flank of the gene of interest to be deleted; F3 and F4 amplified the 3' flank. The overlapping marker fragments *HY* and *YG* of the hygromycin cassette (*HYG*) were amplified from plasmid pCB1004 (Carroll et al., 1994) using M13R/ HY and M13F/
YG primers, respectively. To facilitate fusion of the flanks and the marker sequences, the 5’ ends of F2 and F3 primers were complementary to the M13F and M13R primer sequences, respectively. In PCR round 2 (Fig. 7.2B), each flank from round 1 was fused to the HYG selectable marker through PCR by overlap extension (Ho et al., 1989). For the 5’ construct, templates were the M13F/ YG amplified fragment (YG) and F1/ F2 flank from PCR round 1; primers were F1 and YG. For the 3’ construct, templates were the M13R/ HY amplified fragment (HY) and F3/ F4 flank from PCR round 1; primers were F4 and HY. In PCR round 3 (Fig. 7.2C), both fragments amplified from previously PCR round were fused together. The final product should be the HYG selectable marker flanked with 3’ and 5’ flanking sequences of the target gene to be deleted.

For all rounds of PCR amplification, Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (Finnzymes, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc.) was used, following the manufacturers’ guidelines for PCR conditions. The final PCR product of PCR round 3 was concentrated using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) and used for protoplast transformation (see Chapter 2).
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Figure 7.1. Flow chart showing *M. oryzae* 70-15 predicted secreted proteins and its subcellular localisation within the cell.

*M. oryzae* 70-15 protein sequences were retrieved from the BROAD institute (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe). The secretome of *M. oryzae* was predicted by analysing the set of translated gene sequences using SignalP3.0 and Phobius bioinformatics tools. WoLF PSORT was used to predict the subcellular localisation of the 1731 *M. oryzae* secreted proteins without transmembrane domains within the cells.
Figure 7.2. Split-marker strategy for gene deletion.

(A) Primers F1/ F2 and F3/ F4 amplify target gene flanking sequences. Primers M13R/ HY and M13F/ YG amplify HY and YG marker fragments, respectively. Note that the 5’ ends of primers F2 and F3 are complementary to the M13F and M13R sequences, respectively. (B) Two separate PCR reactions (F1/ YG and HY/ F4) fuse the flank sequences to the 3’ YG or 5’ HY portions of HYG, respectively. (C) A final PCR reaction (F1/ F4 primers) fuse the two PCR fragments from (B) and the targeting deletion construct is obtained with HYG selectable marker flanked on both sides by short DNA sequences that target a gene of interest.
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7.3. Results

7.3.1. Analysis of *M. oryzae* predicted secretome

The secretome of *M. oryzae* was predicted by first analysing the set of translated gene sequences (12841 putative proteins) using the bioinformatics tools SignalP3.0 (Bendtsen *et al.*, 2004) and Phobius (Kall *et al.*, 2004). From the *M. oryzae* genome, 1731 proteins without transmembrane domains were expected to be secreted (Fig. 7.1). WoLF PSORT (Horton *et al.*, 2007) was then used to predict the subcellular localisation of these proteins within the cell. The majority of these putative secreted proteins were expected to be extracellular or secreted to the cell wall (861 proteins; 49.8%) as shown in Fig. 7.1. The remaining proteins were predicted to be secreted into the different organelles within the cell: mitochondria (17.4%), nucleus (14.1%), endoplasmic reticulum (11.7%), cytoplasm (6.4%), Golgi (0.2%), and peroxisome (0.2%) as shown in Fig. 7.1. The search was therefore refined to select proteins expected to be delivered outside of fungal cells but which unexpectedly still had an organellar localisation signal. This might signify that or is a putatively delivered effector protein being targeted to the cells during infection.

7.3.2. Targeted gene replacement of *FTF1*, *ZNC1* and *CPB1*

Three putative nuclear localised-effector proteins in *M. oryzae* were selected for functional characterisation. These proteins are predicted to have a DNA binding domain: 1) MGG_03438 with a fungal specific transcription factor domain (PF04082); 2) MGG_04326 with a fungal Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear domain (PF00172); 3) MGG_13165 with a centromere binding protein B domain (PF09091). In this study, these putative effectors were renamed Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1, respectively.
To test the role of these putative effectors during rice blast disease, targeted gene replacements (Fig. 7.2) were performed in which ~3 kilobase (kb) of each coding sequence was removed and replaced with a gene cassette conferring hygromycin resistance (Fig. 7.3A). All candidate genes were deleted in wild-type Guy11 background (Fig. 7.3B, C, D). Growth of three independent Δftf1 (T19, T27 and T33; Fig. 7.3B) mutants and two independent Δznc1 (T7 and T8; Fig. 7.3C) and Δcpb1 (T2 and T3; Fig. 7.3C) mutants were selected for further functional characterisation of the corresponding genes.

7.3.3. Vegetative growth of Δftf1, Δznc1 and Δcpb1 mutants

The targeted deletion putative effector strains were assayed for pathogenicity in roots and leaf of rice and barley. We predicted that deletion of these candidate effector genes might result in loss of virulence as shown in other filamentous fungi (van den Ackerveken et al., 1993; Bolton et al., 2008; Doehlemann et al., 2009). If not, they could be functionally redundant during colonisation in planta or not required for virulence (reviewed in Stergiopoulos and de Wit, 2009; Oliva et al., 2010). To elucidate whether the candidate blast effectors were also needed for growth of M. oryzae, targeted deleted effector strains (Δftf1, Δznc1 and Δcpb1) were also grown under conditions of nutrient deprivation, cell wall stress or oxidative stress. If the genes have plant colonisation-specific roles, then they would be predicted not to have various growth defects in axenic culture, as it was illustrated with Δpep1 in U. maydis (Doehlemann et al., 2009).

Growth of three independent Δftf1 mutants revealed that they each displayed wild-type phenotypes with regard to leaf and root pathogenicity in both rice (Fig. 7.4A, C) and barley (Fig. 7.4B). However, the lesions of rice infected with Δftf1_T19, Δftf1_T27 and Δftf1_T33 mutants were smaller (0.6 ± 0.3 cm, 0.6 ± 0.3 cm and 0.6 ± 0.3 cm, respectively) when compared to roots infected with Guy11 (1.0 ± 0.5 cm ; p
< 0.01) (Fig. 7.4D and Table 7.1). On complete and minimal medium without carbon and nitrogen sources, Δftf1 mutants grew faster than the wild-type strain (p < 0.01) (Fig. 7.5A, B and Table 7.1). These mutants tended to branch more, especially on minimal media and on media lacking glucose (Fig. 7.5A). Another morphological difference was that Δftf1 mutants were lighter than the grey colonies of Guy11 (Fig. 7.5A). Conidiation was not affected in the Δftf1 mutants. The Δftf1 mutants showed hypersensitivity to high concentrations of external solutes, particularly between 0.4M and 1M NaCl, compared with Guy11 (p < 0.01) as shown in Fig. 7.6A, B. The colonial growth of Δftf1 was also severely affected under oxidative stress (0.1% H₂O₂) conditions (p < 0.01) (Fig. 7.7 and Table 7.1). The hypersensitivity to osmotic and oxidative stresses and “reduced” virulence may be due to defects in cell wall composition. To investigate this possibility, Congo Red (CR) and Calcofluor white (CFW) were added to the medium, which inhibit fungal cell wall assembly by binding β-1,4-glucans and chitin, respectively (Wood and Fulcher, 1983; Ram et al., 1994).

Mycelial growth of the Δftf1 mutants on 50µg/ml CR media (Fig. 7.7) and 100µg/ml CFW (Fig. 7.7) was enhanced when compared with wild-type Guy11 strain (p < 0.01) (Table 7.1). On CR medium, a clear degradation halo was observed around the wild-type colonies, whereas no degradation halo was present around the Δftf1 colonies, as shown in Fig. 7.7. These defects on CR media were probably due to the absence of CR-degrading activity also defects in cell wall composition. The Δftf1 mutants were also more resistant to detergents (0.1% Triton-X) that permeabilise eukaryotic cell membranes than Guy11 (p < 0.01) (Fig. 7.7 and Table 7.1).

The Δznc1 deletion strains were able to infect leaves and roots of rice cultivar CO-39 (Fig. 7.8A, C, D) and barley cultivar Golden Promise (Fig. 7.8B). They were fully pathogenic. The vegetative growth of these mutants was not affected on complete and minimal medium without carbon and nitrogen sources (Fig. 7.9A, B and Table 7.2). High concentration of osmolytes (Fig. 7.10A, B), oxidative stress
(H$_2$O$_2$) (Fig. 7.11 and Table 7.2) and inhibitors of fungal cell wall (CR and CFW) (Fig. 7.11 and Table 7.2) added to the medium had no effect on the growth of the colonies of Δznc1_T7 and Δznc1_T8 mutants. However, colonial growth was impaired in Δznc1 mutants compared to wild-type strain Guy11 in the presence of detergents (Triton-X) on the medium (p < 0.01) (Fig. 7.11 and Table 7.2).

Knocking-out of the CPB1 gene had no effect on leaf and root pathogenicity of rice and barley (Fig. 7.12A, B, C, D), vegetative growth in complete and minimal medium (Fig. 7.13A, B and Table 7.3) and under osmotic (Fig. 7.14), oxidative (Fig. 7.15 and Table 7.3), cell wall (Fig. 7.15 and Table 7.3) stresses or sporulation.
Figure 7.3. Strategy for targeted gene deletion.

(A) The targeting KO construct was used directly for protoplast transformation. Homologous recombination between the overlapping regions of the selectable marker HYG and between the flank regions and chromosomal *M. oryzae* Guy11 DNA results in a directed deletion of the gene of interest. (B) Southern hybridization to show single copy of FTF1 KO construct inserted correctly in the Guy11 genome. Total genomic DNA was digested with *Pvu* II and probed with flanking region fragment of *FTF1* gene (see Appendix). (C) Southern hybridization to show single copy of ZNC1 KO construct inserted correctly in the Guy11 genome. Total genomic DNA was digested with *Mfe* I and probed with flanking region fragment of *ZNC1* gene (see Appendix). (D) Southern hybridization to show single copy of CPB1 KO construct inserted correctly in the Guy11 genome. Total genomic DNA was digested with *Hind* III and probed with flanking region fragment of *CPB1* gene (see Appendix).
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Figure 7.4. The Δftf1 mutants have wild-type phenotype with regard to leaf and root pathogenicity.

Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 (A) and barley cultivar Golden Promise (B) were inoculated with 0.20% gelatine (mock) and with *M. oryzae* conidial suspensions of identical concentration \(10^5\) conidia ml\(^{-1}\) of Guy11 and Δftf1 mutants (transformants T19, T27 and T33). Plants were incubated at 25ºC and 90% humidity. Photographs were taken at 5 dpi. (C) Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 were inoculated with a plug of agar (mock) and mycelium from Guy11 and Δftf1 mutants (transformants T19, T27 and T33) and were incubated at 25ºC for 15 dpi. Photographs were taken at 15 dpi. (D) Rice blast root lesions were measured at 15 dpi. Horizontal lines indicate the mean. Δftf1 mutants show significant difference of lesion length in infected rice roots compared with the control Guy11 \((p < 0.01)\), and no difference between the different Δftf1 transformants.
Figure 7.5. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δftf1 mutants.

(A) CM, MM, MM-C and MM-N plates were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 (↔ Guy11) and the Δftf1 mutants (transformants T19, T27 and T33). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 days after inoculation. (B) Diameter of the subsequent colonies was measured at 3, 5, 8 and 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 7.6. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δftf1 mutants under osmotic stress (NaCl).

(A) CM plates with 0M, 0.2M, 0.4M, 0.6M, 0.8M and 1.0M NaCl added to the medium were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δftf1 mutants (transformants T19, T27 and T33). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 days after inoculation. (B) Diameter of the subsequent colonies was measured at 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean of the three independent replications of the experiment.
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Figure 7.7. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δftf1 mutants in the presence of fungal cell wall inhibitors (CR and CFW), oxidative stress (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) and membrane permeabilisant (Triton X-100).

CM plates with 50 µg ml\textsuperscript{-1} Congo Red (CR), 100 µg ml\textsuperscript{-1} Calcofluor white (CFW), 0.1% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} and 0.1% Triton X-100 added to the medium were inoculated with 5 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δftf1 mutants (transformants T19, T27 and T33). Plates were incubated at 26ºC and the colony images captured at 10 dpi.
### Table 7.1. Mycelial growth\(^a\) of Guy11 and \(\Delta ftf1\) mutant strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Guy11</th>
<th>(\Delta ftf1_{T19})</th>
<th>(\Delta ftf1_{T27})</th>
<th>(\Delta ftf1_{T33})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>6.76 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.52 ± 0.13(^c)</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.13(^c)</td>
<td>7.34 ± 0.16(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.12</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.10(^c)</td>
<td>5.72 ± 0.13(^c)</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.05(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-C</td>
<td>5.24 ± 0.09</td>
<td>6.16 ± 0.13(^c)</td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.05(^c)</td>
<td>6.10 ± 0.07(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-N</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.14</td>
<td>6.28 ± 0.08(^c)</td>
<td>6.18 ± 0.11(^c)</td>
<td>6.16 ± 0.13(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µg ml(^-1) CR(^b)</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.36</td>
<td>6.54 ± 0.11(^c)</td>
<td>6.49 ± 0.07(^c)</td>
<td>6.49 ± 0.11(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µg ml(^-1) CFW(^b)</td>
<td>3.99 ± 0.45</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.21(^c)</td>
<td>4.64 ± 0.20(^c)</td>
<td>4.59 ± 0.12(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% H(_2)O(_2)(^b)</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.52</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.20(^c)</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.27(^c)</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.17(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% Triton X-100(^b)</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.35</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.18(^c)</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.10(^c)</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.14(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Growth (mean ± SD cm) was measured as the diameter of the mycelium 10 days after inoculation.

\(^b\) Added to CM.

\(^c\) Means are statistically significantly different from wild-type Guy11 strain, as estimated using one-way ANOVA Dunnett’s multiple comparison test (p < 0.01).
Figure 7.8. The Δznc1 mutants have wild-type phenotype with regard to leaf and root pathogenicity.

Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 (A) and barley cultivar Golden Promise (B) were inoculated with 0.20% gelatine (mock) and with *M. oryzae* conidial suspensions of identical concentration (10^5 conidia ml^-1) of Guy11 and Δznc1 mutants (transformants T7 and T8). Plants were incubated at 25ºC and 90% humidity. Photographs were taken at 5 dpi. (C) Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 were inoculated with a plug of agar (mock) and mycelium from Guy11 and Δznc1 mutants (transformants T7 and T8) and were incubated at 25ºC for 15 dpi. Photographs were taken at 15 dpi. (D) Rice blast root lesions were measured at 15 dpi. Horizontal lines indicate the mean. Δznc1 mutants do not show significant difference of lesion length in infected rice roots compared with the control Guy11, and no difference between them.
Figure 7.9. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δznc1 mutants.

(A) CM, MM, MM-C and MM-N plates were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δznc1 mutants (transformants T7 and T8). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 days after inoculation. (B) Diameter of the subsequent colonies was measured at 3, 5, 8 and 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 7.10. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δznc1 mutants under osmotic stress (NaCl).

(A) CM plates with 0M, 0.2M, 0.4M, 0.6M, 0.8M and 1.0M NaCl added to the medium were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δznc1 mutants (transformants T7 and T8). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 days after inoculation. (B) Diameter of the subsequent colonies was measured at 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 7.11. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δznc1 mutants in the presence of fungal cell wall inhibitors (CR and CFW), oxidative stress (H$_2$O$_2$) and membrane permeabilisant (Triton X-100).

CM plates with 50 µg ml$^{-1}$ Congo Red (CR), 100 µg ml$^{-1}$ Calcofluor white (CFW), 0.1% H$_2$O$_2$ and 0.1% Triton X-100 added to the medium were inoculated with 5 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δznc1 mutants (transformants T7 and T8). Plates were incubated at 26ºC and the colony images captured at 10 dpi.
### Table 7.2. Mycelial growth\(^a\) of Guy11 and Δznc1 mutant strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Guy11</th>
<th>Δznc1(_)T7</th>
<th>Δznc1(_)T8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>6.96 ± 0.18</td>
<td>6.92 ± 0.20</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.14</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.64 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-C</td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.14</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.19</td>
<td>5.56 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-N</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.94 ± 0.12</td>
<td>5.86 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µg ml(^-1) CR(^b)</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.36</td>
<td>6.02 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.84 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µg ml(^-1) CFW(^b)</td>
<td>3.99 ± 0.45</td>
<td>4.25 ± 0.37</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% H(_2)O(_2)(^b)</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.52</td>
<td>3.79 ± 0.63</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% Triton X-100(^b)</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.26(^c)</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.15(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Growth (mean ± SD cm) was measured as the diameter of the mycelium 10 days after inoculation.
\(^b\) Added to CM.
\(^c\) Means are statistically significantly different from wild-type Guy11 strain, as estimated using one-way ANOVA Dunnett's multiple comparison test (\(p < 0.01\)).
Figure 7.12. The Δcpb1 mutants have wild-type phenotype with regard to leaf and root pathogenicity.

Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 (A) and barley cultivar Golden Promise (B) were inoculated with 0.20% gelatine (mock) and with *M. oryzae* conidial suspensions of identical concentration ($10^5$ conidia ml$^{-1}$) of Guy11 and Δcpb1 mutants (transformants T2 and T3). Plants were incubated at 25°C and 90% humidity. Photographs were taken at 5 dpi. (C) Seedlings of rice cultivar CO-39 were inoculated with a plug of agar (mock) and mycelium from Guy11 and Δcpb1 mutants (transformants T2 and T3) and were incubated at 25°C for 15 dpi. Photographs were taken at 15 dpi. (D) Rice blast root lesions were measured at 15 dpi. Horizontal lines indicate the mean. Δcpb1 mutants do not show significant difference of lesion length in infected rice roots compared with the control Guy11, and no difference between them.
Figure 7.13. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δcpb1 mutants.

(A) CM, MM, MM-C and MM-N plates were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 (Δcpb1) and the Δcpb1 mutants (transformants T2 and T3). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 days after inoculation. (B) Diameter of the subsequent colonies was measured at 3, 5, 8 and 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 7.14. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δcpb1 mutants under osmotic stress (NaCl).

(A) CM plates with 0M, 0.2M, 0.4M, 0.6M, 0.8M and 1.0M NaCl added to the medium were inoculated with 7 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δcpb1 mutants (transformants T2 and T3). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 days after inoculation. (B) Diameter of the subsequent colonies was measured at 10 dpi. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean of the three independent replications of the experiment.
Figure 7.15. The vegetative growth and colony morphology of Δcpb1 mutants in the presence of fungal cell wall inhibitors (CR and CFW), oxidative stress (H₂O₂) and membrane permeabilisant (Triton X-100).

CM plates with 50 µg ml⁻¹ Congo Red (CR), 100 µg ml⁻¹ Calcofluor white (CFW), 0.1% H₂O₂ and 0.1% Triton X-100 added to the medium were inoculated with 5 mm plugs of mycelium from Guy11 and the Δcpb1 mutants (transformants T2 and T3). Plates were incubated at 26°C and the colony images captured at 10 dpi.
Table 7.3. Mycelial growth\textsuperscript{a} in Guy11 and Δcpeb1 mutant strains

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Guy11</th>
<th>Δcpeb\textsubscript{T2}</th>
<th>Δcpeb\textsubscript{T3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>6.88 ± 0.26</td>
<td>6.88 ± 0.20</td>
<td>6.86 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>5.60 ± 0.15</td>
<td>5.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5.51 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-C</td>
<td>5.80 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.09</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM-N</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.16</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.05</td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 µg ml\textsuperscript{-1} CR\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.36</td>
<td>5.86 ± 0.42</td>
<td>6.05 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 µg ml\textsuperscript{-1} CFW\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>3.99 ± 0.45</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.23</td>
<td>4.09 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.52</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1% Triton X-100\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.99 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Growth (mean ± SD cm) was measured as the diameter of the mycelium 10 days after inoculation.
\textsuperscript{b} Added to CM.
\textsuperscript{c} Means are statistically significantly different from wild-type Guy11 strain, as estimated using one-way ANOVA Dunnett’s multiple comparison test (p < 0.01).
7.3.4. Cellular localisation of Ftf1:GFP, Znc1:GFP and Cpb1:GFP during infection-related development and in vegetative hyphae of *M. oryzae*

To determine whether the candidate blast effector proteins, Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1, were secreted and translocated *in planta*, fungal transformants were generated to express histone1 fused with red fluorescent protein (H1:RFP) and translational fusions of each putative effector with green fluorescent protein (GFP) at the C-terminus. All effector:GFP constructs were expressed under control of the native promoters. Recently, Khang *et al.* (2010) has shown that pathogen-secreting effectors fused with a fluorescent protein were preferentially accumulated in the BIC and then translocated to the rice cytoplasm. The same localisation pattern might therefore be expected for Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1 candidate effector proteins.

The conidia of transformants expressing the GFP fusion proteins (Ftf1:GFP) exhibited fluorescent signals in the nuclei of mycelia, conidia and during appressorium development and were shown to co-localise with H1:RFP (Fig. 7.16). However, no expression could be seen during conidial collapse at 1-appressorial nuclei stage and during invasive growth *in planta* (Fig. 7.16).

Co-localisation of Znc1:GFP and H1:RFP fusion proteins was observed in the nuclei of conidia during infection-related development, but not in mycelia or during invasive growth in rice leaves (Fig. 7.17).

Nuclear localisation of Cpb1:GFP signals was observed in conidia, germ tube germination, appressorium formation and maturation and conidial collapse (Fig. 7.18). No expression of Cpb1 protein was detected in either mycelium or invasive hyphae during leaf sheath assays (Fig. 7.18).

Taken together, the putative effectors identified by bioinformatics did not localise to BICs and there was no evidence that they could be translocated to rice cells. These proteins instead accumulate in the nuclei of *M. oryzae* conidial and hyphal cells.
Figure 7.16. Cellular localisation of MoFtf1:GFP in vegetative (A) and invasive (B) hyphae and during infection-related development (C) of M. oryzae.

(A) A plug of mycelium from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoFtf1:GFP was inoculated onto slide covered with CM and incubated at 26°C. Observations were made 24 hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm). (B) Rice leaf sheaths were inoculated with conidial suspension ($10^5$ conidia ml$^{-1}$) of Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoFtf1:GFP and incubated at 25°C. Observations were made 24 hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm). (C) Conidia were harvested from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoFtf1:GFP protein fusion, inoculated onto glass coverslips at 26°C, and observed by confocal microscopy at the times indicated (Scale bar = 10 µm).
Figure 7.17. Cellular localisation of MoZnc1:GFP in vegetative (A) and invasive (B) hyphae and during infection-related development (C) of M. oryzae.

(A) A plug of mycelium from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoZnc1:GFP was inoculated onto slide covered with CM and incubated at 26°C. Observations were made 24 hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm). (B) Rice leaf sheaths were inoculated with conidial suspension (10^5 conidia ml^-1) of Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoZnc1:GFP and incubated at 25°C. Observations were made 24 hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm). (C) Conidia were harvested from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoZnc1:GFP protein fusion, inoculated onto glass coverslips at 26°C, and observed by confocal microscopy at the times indicated (Scale bar = 10 µm).
Figure 7.18. Cellular localisation of MoCpb1:GFP in vegetative (A) and invasive (B) hyphae and during infection-related development (C) of M. oryzae.

(A) A plug of mycelium from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoCpb1:GFP was inoculated onto slide covered with CM and incubated at 26ºC. Observations were made 24 hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm). (B) Rice leaf sheaths were inoculated with conidial suspension (10⁵ conidia ml⁻¹) of Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoCpb1:GFP and incubated at 25ºC. Observations were made 24 hpi by confocal microscopy (Scale bar = 10 µm). (C) Conidia were harvested from a Guy11 transformant expressing H1:RFP and MoCpb1:GFP protein fusion, inoculated onto glass coverslips at 26ºC, and observed by confocal microscopy at the times indicated (Scale bar = 10 µm).
7.4. Discussion

The majority of known effectors of filamentous fungi are secreted proteins (Kamoun et al., 2006; Stergiopoulos and de Wit, 2009). In this study, I focused on identifying new *M. oryzae* genes encoding putatively secreted proteins, based on analysis of the published genome sequence of *M. oryzae* strain 70-15 (Dean et al., 2005). The predicted proteome of 70-15 (12,841 proteins; http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/fungi/magnaporthe) was screened using a bioinformatics pipeline described in Fig. 7.1 (Chou, 2007), resulting in 1731 putative secreted proteins that were not predicted to contain transmembrane domains. Three putative nuclear-localised secreted effectors, *FTF1* (MGG_03438), *ZNC1* (MGG_04326) and *CPB1* (MGG_13165), were chosen for functional characterisation. Effectors containing putative NLS have been reported to be translocated to plant cell nuclei in several plant pathogenic bacteria. These include the type III effector proteins PopP2 of *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Deslandes et al., 2003) and the AvrBs3 family of *Xanthomonas* spp. (Lahaye and Bonas, 2001; Kay and Bonas, 2009). The AvrBs3 family effectors are also known as TAL (transcription activator-like) effectors because they appear to act as transcription factors binding to double-stranded DNA sequences and are clearly capable of transcriptional activation of plant genes (Yang et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2006; Kay et al., 2007; Römer et al., 2007; Sugio et al., 2007). The type III effectors HsvG and HsvB of *Pantoea agglomerans* have also been shown to target plant cell nuclei, to bind double-stranded DNA, and to activate transcription (Nissan et al., 2006). These studies suggest that phytopathogenic bacteria have evolved effector proteins with eukaryotic motifs to mimic eukaryotic transcription factors. The aster yellows phytoplasma strain Witches' Broom (AY-WB) has been also shown to produce a protein, SAP11, which targets nuclei of plant host cells (Bai et al., 2009). This protein contains an N-terminal signal peptide sequence and a eukaryotic bipartite
NLS and it was suggested that this potential phytoplasma effector may alter plant cell physiology. Recently, Schornack et al. (2010) have demonstrated that the oomycete Phytophthora infestans secretes several Crinkler (CRN) effector proteins that target the host nucleus. In the case of Crn8, nuclear accumulation of this protein was required to induce plant cell death (Schornack et al., 2010).

In this study, I selected putative M. oryzae effectors that were hypothesised to be secreted inside host cells, where they could manipulate various processes in the plant host and contribute to disease development (Chisholm et al., 2006; Desveaux et al., 2006; Hogenhout et al., 2009). I have shown that Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1 are unlikely to be secreted blast effector proteins of M. oryzae, and are not essential for successful invasion of rice and barley cells (Fig. 7.4, Fig. 7.8 and Fig. 7.12). Although the NLS of Ftf1, Znc1 and Cpb1 proteins was functional inside fungal cells, it was not functional in plant cells. Expression of the proteins was observed in nuclei of vegetative hyphae and conidia, but never during colonisation in planta or in highly localised structures (BICs) in IH (Fig. 7.16, Fig. 7.17 and Fig. 7.18). Protein fusions of known M. oryzae effectors (Avr-Pita1, Pwl1, Pwl2 and Bas1) with fluorescent proteins have been reported to accumulate in BICs during invasive hypha development and translocated into invaded plant cells during tissue invasion (Khang et al., 2010). BIC development was also coupled to hyphal differentiation from the filamentous penetration hypha into bulbous IH (Heath et al., 1990; Veses and Gow, 2009; Khang et al., 2010). At this stage, the tip BIC moved to sub-apical position relative to the tip of the first IH cell (Khang et al., 2010).

Effector proteins are not considered to be normally required for vegetative growth in filamentous fungi (Sweigard et al., 1995; Böhnert et al., 2004; Bolton et al., 2008; Doehlemann et al., 2009). However, deletion of FTF1 gene did impair saprophytic development, causing alterations in growth, colonial morphology and stress...
resistance responses (Fig 7.5, Fig. 7.6 and Fig. 7.7), reinforcing the idea that Ftf1 is probably not a blast effector protein, but instead fulfil a wider role in growth and development of the fungus. However, $\Delta$znc1 and $\Delta$cpb1 mutants did not show alterations in vegetative growth under nutrient deprivation (Fig.7.9 and Fig. 7.13) and osmotic, cell wall and oxidative stresses (Fig. 7.9, Fig.7.10, Fig. 7.14 and Fig. 7.15).

Overall, the data shown in this chapter demonstrates that the design of the experiment did not lead to identification of strong effector candidates. Prediction of putative effector proteins with signal peptides computationally using $M. oryzae$ 70-15 isolate genome sequence did not appear to be appropriate for several reasons. The first is that 70-15 strain shows poor virulence and is derived from a cross between two isolates of $M. oryzae$, one of which is a rice pathogen and the other a weeping lovegrass pathogen (Chao and Ellingboe, 1991). Recently, Yoshida and colleagues (2009) used a similar bioinformatics pipeline to identify genes harbouring polymorphisms associated with AVR phenotypes. However, no association was made between AVR and DNA polymorphisms in the putative secreted protein encoded genes of strain 70-15. They therefore realised that the majority of AVR genes tested in other 22 $M. oryzae$ isolates, were absent in the genome sequence of the laboratory strain 70-15. A second reason is that the presence of a signal peptide only indicates that a protein is going to be transported across or integrated into membranes and does not necessarily predict secretion outside the cell (Palade, 1975; Derby and Gleeson, 2007; Rapoport, 2007). The signal peptide is a cleavable segment of 7-12 hydrophobic amino acids that targets proteins to their correct destination, ensuring that their function is maintained (Derby and Gleeson, 2007; Rapoport, 2007). This was the scenario observed with the selected candidate effectors in this study: secreted into the nuclei of fungal cells, but not translocated into the host plant cells.
CHAPTER 8

General Discussion
The fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* causes rice blast disease, one of the most devastating diseases of all cereals (Valent and Chumley, 1991). This fungus infects rice, the essential staple crop for half of the world’s population (Skamnioti and Gurr, 2009). As rice production has expanded through Asia, Africa, Latin and North America, the disease has also spread and it can now be found in over 85 countries worldwide (Khush and Jena, 2009). Annual rice harvest losses have lead to rice shortages in many developing countries in recent years, making effective control of this devastating disease imperative to ensure global food security and economic and social stability (Khush and Jena, 2009). Fortunately, the availability of the genome sequences of both rice (Goff et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2002) and *M. oryzae* (Dean et al., 2005) has made this a model pathosystem for understanding the molecular basis of plant-fungal interactions.

In this study, I sought to identify novel genetic determinants for successful colonisation of plant tissue by *M. oryzae*, using two experimental approaches: 1) by screening a *M. oryzae* random insertional mutagenesis library; and 2) by targeted deletion of putatively secreted *M. oryzae* proteins.

The major outcome of this research project has been the identification of a new *M. oryzae* pathogenicity gene, TPC1 (*Transcription factor for Polarity Control1*), which was identified as a pathogenicity-defective mutant M1422 generated by random insertional T-DNA mutagenesis. TPC1 appears to play an important role in vegetative fungal growth and in fungal colonisation in planta. Colonies of the M1422 T-DNA mutant were compact and were very reduced in size. This phenotype appeared to be due to a different hyphal branching pattern occurring in the mutant, leading to compact colonies that did not spread to fill the plate culture in the same way as the isogenic wild-type Guy11. The mutant was also severely reduced in
virulence in both leaves and roots and different host species. Conidiogenesis, conidial germination, appressorium formation and conidial collapse were misregulated in the M1422 mutant. Compared with Guy11, the M1422 mutant also sporulated poorly. Strikingly, one- and four-celled conidia were observed to be produced by M1422. Two-celled and abnormally shaped three-celled conidia was also generated. During appressorium development, the M1422 mutant conidia showed multiple phenotypes, including the formation of two germ tubes, two appressoria or one undifferentiated germ tube and an appressorium forming from another conidial cell. M1422 mutant also showed an increased frequency of two cells germinating from conidia. Remarkably, the mutant also had the capacity to form two appressoria from two germinated conidial cells and to germinate a germ tube from the middle cell, phenotypes not observed in Guy11 conidia.

Mislocalisation of fimbrin was detected during germ tube germination, appressorium and appressorium pore formation in the M1422 mutant. Taken together, these phenotypes suggested that $TPC1$ is a core polarity protein that is required for the correct development of apical-growing structures such as vegetative and invasive hyphae, germ tubes and penetration pegs. Other fungal species with mutations affecting polarity factors (e.g. $S.\ cerevisiae\ SEC4$, $U.\ maydis\ RRM4$, $C.\ purpurea\ RAC$ and $CLA4$) have been shown to display similar phenotypes, convoluted colonies, reduction in conidiation, and defects in polarity and pathogenicity (Punt et al., 2001; Becht et al., 2005, 2006; Rolke and Tudzynski, 2008). Interestingly, infection-associated autophagy and glycogen metabolism were also affected and delayed in the M1422 T-DNA mutant. This is consistent with description in the morphogenetic pathway leading to appressorium development and plant infection. Also highlights the importance of developmental transitions to physiological processes in these cells.
This study showed that the establishment of polarity by *M. oryzae* is intimately linked to general developmental processes. To ensure normal patterns of hyphal branching and mycelial organisation, a requirement for polarisation of temporal and spatial regulatory mechanisms was evident. Loss of polarity highlighted the pivotal role that the genetic control of fungal vegetative growth plays in fungal interactions with other organisms, in nutrient acquisition and in exchanging nutrients and signals between different cells of the same colony. Thus, polarised vesicle traffic and differential inheritance of cellular components and fate determinants are necessary during different developmental stages of multicellular organisms (Macara and Mili, 2008). *M. oryzae TPC1* deleted mutant could be used as a role model to dissect polarity establishment process along cytoskeletal elements and morphogenesis and cell fate determination by assimetrically localised RNAs and proteins in filamentous fungi.

The putative transcription factor *TPC1* belongs to the strictly fungal group of Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ binuclear cluster family transcriptional regulators (MacPherson et al., 2006). Tpc1 was expressed in nuclei of vegetative hyphae and conidia, except during isotropic appressorial growth stage. The Tpc1 expression pattern was therefore concomitant with establishment of polarity axes: vegetative hyphae, germination of the germ tube and penetration peg formation. These results reinforced the idea that Tpc1 acts as a polarity regulator, involved in the re-establishment of polarised growth and traffic of morphogenetic determinants implicated in the generation of positional signals during penetration peg emergence. Other features in Tpc1 are the presence of a putative NLS, putative MAPK docking site and putative phosphorylation and sumoylation sites. Mutagenesis studies revealed that the transcriptional activity of Tpc1 appears to be controlled by a plethora of strategies such as nuclear-cytoplasmic shuffling, DNA binding, phosphorylation and potentially by sumoylation. This complex transcriptional regulation was also observed in other Zn(II)$_2$Cys$_6$ proteins (Struhl, 1995; Sellick and
Reece, 2005). Cellular mislocalisation of Tpc1 in different mutant backgrounds suggests that the transcription factor plays a crucial role in several signal transduction networks such as the MAPK Pmk1 pathway, the MAPK Mps1 cell wall integrity pathway and infection-associated autophagy. Hence, Tpc1 transcription factor has more than one distinct role and may have overlapping functions, regarding control of gene expression of different subset of genes/ proteins coordinated together or at different times during fungal development. However, in this study no direct interaction studies between Tpc1 and other proteins were carried out. Whole-genome expression studies will therefore be valuable to identify new target genes directly regulated by the TPC1 transcription factor, as well as novel DNA recognition sites. Techniques such as chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) combined with microarray detection (ChIP-chip) (van Steensel, 2005) or by sequencing directly DNA fragments of interest (ChIP-seq) (Park, 2009) and RNA-seq (Wang et al., 2009) could therefore be applied in future to achieve this objective. Recently, ChIP-chip technology has been described in M. oryzae to identify the targets of the MoCRZ1 transcription factor (Kim et al., 2010). A more convenient and economical system that could also be used is the yeast two-hybrid system (Fields and Song, 1989). Detection of an interaction between “bait”-DNA binding domain fusion and “prey”-activation domain fusion is based on the activation of reporter genes or selection markers (Fields and Song, 1989). It could be applied to large-scale analyses of protein-protein interactions or to confirm specific interactions such as the activation Tpc1 by elements of the Pmk1 MAPK pathway or the interaction by the Atg8.

The comparative analysis of regulatory networks in a diversity of model organisms can be used to identify fundamental principles that underpin cellular processes (Tatusov et al., 1997). To investigate orthologous genes between different species, I analysed the co-occurrence of Tpc1 protein in several fungal genomes. This
provided an indication of whether Tpc1 is conserved among particular fungal species or conversely if this conservation is due to distinct growth habits or lifestyles. Within the Ascomycota, Tpc1-like proteins were present in several multicellular fungal species that clustered in groups consistent with their taxonomic classification, and not with lifestyle. The Tpc1-like transcription factor has not been characterised in any other fungal species, so information could not be inferred regarding MoTpc1 function on gene regulation. The potential orthologue of MoTpc1 in N. crassa genome does not have the putative phosphorylation and sumoylation sites that are present in MoTpc1. These regulatory changes may have led to gain or loss of gene targets or by remodulating regulatory networks, allowing fine-tuning of the TPC1 regulatory network. For example, NcTPC1 KO mutant showed hypersensitivity to high concentration of osmolytes, contrarily to what has been observed with M. oryzae M1422 mutant. Perhaps expression divergence occurred between Magnaporthe and Neurospora, driving MoTpc1 to acquire the coordination of a novel regulatory network, the MPS1 pathway. Availability of whole genome sequences from more fungal species (pathogenic and non-pathogenic) is still necessary and may unravel open questions for understanding the evolution and complexity of this fungal specific Zn(II)$_{2}$Cys$_{6}$ family. It would be interesting to analyse if these proteins have conserved protein-DNA and protein-protein interactions among them and transcription factor-binding sites or other regulatory motifs within DNA sequences (Cliften et al., 2001; Kellis et al., 2003). This might then indicate which gene regulation networks are conserved between species.

The majority of known effectors of filamentous fungi are proteins secreted inside host cells, where they could manipulate cellular processes in the host and contribute to disease development (Kamoun, 2006; Hogenhout et al., 2009; Stergiopoulos and de Wit, 2009). Assuming this concept, the secretome of the rice blast fungus was predicted computationally to identify novel effector proteins (Chou, 2007).
Bioinformatics analysis predicted that 1731 putative proteins without transmembrane domains were secreted in the *M. oryzae* strain 70-15 (Chou, 2007). In the second part of this study, I aimed to identify and functionally analyse three putative nuclear-localised *M. oryzae* effectors that contained a DNA-binding domain, termed *FTF1*, *ZNC1* and *CPB1*. Targeted deletion of these genes was attempted in Guy11 isolate by using the split-marker method (Catlett *et al.*, 2002). Unfortunately, these candidate effectors were not essential for successful colonisation of rice and barley cells and were not secreted into the plant host cells. Expression of *Ftf1:GFP*, *Znc1:GFP* and *Cpb1:GFP* was observed inside the nuclei of fungal cells, but never during colonisation *in planta* or in BICs localised in IH. Known blast effectors such as Avr-Pita1, Pwl1, Pwl2 and Bas1 have been shown to accumulate in BICs and translocated into invaded rice cells (Khang *et al.*, 2010). This was not observed for any of the putative effector candidates, suggesting that they are unlikely to serve such a function. The presence of a signal peptide only indicates that a protein is transported across or integrated into membranes and not necessarily translocated outside the cell (Palade, 1975; Derby and Gleeson, 2007; Rapoport, 2007). This was the scenario observed with the candidate effectors analysed in Chapter 7. These proteins were secreted into the nuclei of fungal cells, but not into invaded plant cells. Another important question that can be raised from this study is that although *M. oryzae* possesses a large set of putative secreted proteins, no host-cell targeting motif has yet been identified as has been found in oomycetes with the RXLR motif (Chou, 2007; Soanes *et al.*, 2007; Mosquera *et al.*, 2009). Thus, it will be important to determine whether effector proteins fulfil the same functions in fungi as in oomycete pathogens, or whether the capacity of fungi to use secondary metabolites to modulate host metabolism and plant defence signalling is being underestimated.
Appendix
Primer sequences

Primers for deletion constructs using PCR-fusion method

M13F  5’ CGCCAGGGTTTTCCCACTCACGAC 3’
M13R  5’ AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA 3’
HY    5’ GGATGCCTGGCTCGAAGTA 3’
YG    5’ CGTTGCAAGACCTGCTGAA 3’
FTF1_F1  5’ GCCTGCTGGTGGAACCTTTAAC 3’
FTF1_F2  5’ TCGGTGACTGGGAAAAACCTTGGCAACCTGCAAGACCTGCTGAA 3’
FTF1_F3  5’ TCGGTGACTGGGAAAAACCTTGGCAACCTGCTGAA 3’
FTF1_F4  5’ CATGGTCCCTGTCAATCTG 3’
ZNC1_F1  5’ ACCCCACAAACACCTCGA 3’
ZNC1_F2  5’ GTGCTGACTGGGAAAAACCTTGGCAACCTGCAAGACCTGCTGAA 3’
ZNC1_F3  5’ TCGGTGACTGGGAAAAACCTTGGCAACCTGCTGAA 3’
ZNC1_F4  5’ CTCGACACCTCCCAGAGCA 3’
CPB1_F1  5’ CGAGAGTGCGAAATGGATGC 3’
CPB1_F2  5’ GTGAGAGTGCGAAATGGATGC 3’
CPB1_F3  5’ TCCTGCTGGAAAAATCGATGGTCTGCGAAATGGATGC 3’
CPB1_F4  5’ CGTGTGTGGCCCTTGGTCA 3’

Primers for Southern hybridisation probes

Hygromycin Probe

M13F  5’ CGCCAGGGTTTTCCCACTCACGAC 3’
M13R  5’ AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA 3’

FTF1 Probe

FTF1_GFP_Fnest  5’ CTCGCCCAAGCAAGTAGTGTA 3’
FTF1_GFP_1104_R  5’ CGGAGGCAAATTTTGACT 3’

ZNC1 Probe

ZNC1_F1  5’ CACCCACAAACACCTCGA 3’
ZNC1_F2  5’ GTGCTGACTGGGAAAAACCTTGGCAACCTGCAAGACCTGCTGAA 3’

CPB1 Probe

CPB1_outF1  5’ CGAGAGTGCGAAATGGATGC 3’
CPB1_GFP_639_R  5’ GTCCCGGTTTCTGGTCTG 3’
Appendix

Primers for GFP protein fusion constructs

7.GFP_F
5' ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGCGT 3'

1R.TrpC.EcoRI_nest
5' ACGAATTCTAGTGATCATCTGGG 3'

FTF1_GFP_F
5' GAGTACACATTTGGAATTAACGAG 3'

FTF1_GFP_Fnest
5' CTGCAGCGCAAGTATGTA 3'

FTF1_GFP_R
5' CAGCTCCTCGCCTTGGTCAACCATGATATTACCAAGCCCCCATGT 3'

ZNC1_GFP_F
5' GTATATGCTGCTGCGGCCC 3'

ZNC1_GFP_Fnest
5' CACACTCGACACTTGAATTG 3'

ZNC1_GFP_R
5' CAGCTCCTCGCCTTGGTCAACCATGGTGGACCAATCCGTCGCTG 3'

CPB1_GFP_F
5' GACGACACCCATCACC 3'

CPB1_GFP_Fnest
5' CCTTCGCCCGTGTACCCAGC 3'

CPB1_GFP_R
5' CAGCTCCTCGCCTTGGTCAACCATGACTGACATGCTGGGCTG 3'

TPC1_GFP_F
5' CCTGCCAACAACCAATCCAC 3'

TPC1_GFP_Fnest
5' GTCGTGAATTTGAATTTGGGC 3'

TPC1_GFP_R
5' CAGCTCCTCGCCTTGGTCAACCATATGGCAGCAATGACCAACTTG 3'

Primers for sequencing

FTF1_GFP_21_F
5' CTGCAGCGCAAGTATGTA 3'

FTF1_GFP_240_F
5' GTGGCGAGTTGCTACCTGGGA 3'

FTF1_GFP_764_F
5' AAACCGCTTCTCTGATGT 3'

FTF1_GFP_1254_F
5' CAACAAGAGATGCGACGACA 3'

FTF1_GFP_1749_F
5' GCGAGGAGTGCTCTGTACC 3'

FTF1_GFP_2246_F
5' TGGCGAGTGGACAGTTATG 3'

FTF1_GFP_2737_F
5' CCATTTCCGTTCTGCTCCTA 3'

FTF1_GFP_3237_F
5' GGATTTGTTCGGCTTCTTCG 3'

FTF1_GFP_611_R
5' CCAAGGGCTGCAAGAACACC 3'

FTF1_GFP_1104_R
5' CGGAGCCAACAACTCCAC 3'

FTF1_GFP_1603_R
5' GGTGGGAGCTACCCATCTG 3'

FTF1_GFP_2087_R
5' GGGCCATGATGGTGGGATA 3'

FTF1_GFP_2588_R
5' GCGATGGCGTGATAAAGTGT 3'

FTF1_GFP_3092_R
5' GGCGCCTAACCATTCTAGT 3'

ZNC1_GFP_55_F
5' AACCCAGCGAAGGCCACTC 3'

ZNC1_GFP_393_F
5' GCTGTTGCTGATGTTGGACAA 3'

ZNC1_GFP_894_F
5' TCACACTCGGAAAATGACCA 3'

ZNC1_GFP_1396_F
5' AAAAGAGCGAATCCGACAGA 3'

ZNC1_GFP_1912_F
5' GCCCTTCGCTGAGAACAGAAT 3'

ZNC1_GFP_2397_F
5' GCCAGCCGGAATCTCTTACCTG 3'

ZNC1_GFP_2921_F
5' CGCAGCCGAACTTCTTCAGCTA 3'
ZNC1_GFP_3411_F 5' CCCATCAAACATCCAGCAAA 3'
ZNC1_GFP_772_R 5' GGCAAAGCCAGGTATGACG 3'
ZNC1_GFP_1278_R 5' CCCAAGAGTTTCCCCTCTCA 3'
ZNC1_GFP_1776_R 5' ATGCAGGTTTCCTCTGTGA 3'
ZNC1_GFP_2249_R 5' GATCGGAGCACGTTTGTGAG 3'
ZNC1_GFP_2778_R 5' TGGTCTGAGCGAGATTTCCT 3'
ZNC1_GFP_3267_R 5' GAAGCTGGACGTGGACGACT 3'
CPB1_GFP_300_F 5' GGACATGGGGTCATTTTTGG 3'
CPB1_GFP_795_F 5' CCAAGAGCCGATTTATGACAA 3'
CPB1_GFP_1293_F 5' ATGCCGCTCCGCTGTCGTTG 3'
CPB1_GFP_1803_F 5' ACCAGCCAGAGCTTCATCCCC 3'
CPB1_GFP_2305_F 5' CCGTACACCAGCTTGATCCA 3'
CPB1_GFP_2798_F 5' GTCGCTGTTTGGTTGTTGCTG 3'
CPB1_GFP_3288_F 5' AGTCCAGGAGCTTCATCCC 3'
CPB1_GFP_3808_F 5' AAATGCAGGCAGACAGACC 3'
CPB1_GFP_639_R 5' GTCGCGGTTTGGTTGTTGCTG 3'
CPB1_GFP_1135_R 5' ATGTACCCAACTGGGGCATC 3'
CPB1_GFP_1630_R 5' CTCGCAACAGATTCCACAGA 3'
CPB1_GFP_2133_R 5' GCGTGCTCTGGGTGTATGAA 3'
CPB1_GFP_2651_R 5' TGGTGTGTTTCAATGGGTGA 3'
CPB1_GFP_3132_R 5' CGGACAGTGTGGTGTGGTGAGC 3'
CPB1_GFP_3632_R 5' TACGGTAGGAGCAGCTGCAG 3'
TPC1_GFP_281_F 5' CGTCCAACTGGCAAAACAAA 3'
TPC1_GFP_785_F 5' GGTGGTTGCAAATCCATCG 3'
TPC1_GFP_1285_F 5' TTTCCGATTCTCTTTCAGGATGAC 3'
TPC1_GFP_1764_F 5' GATGAGGCTTGGCACTCTTG 3'
TPC1_GFP_2289_F 5' CAACTGCGTCTCGAAGGGAGT 3'
TPC1_GFP_2773_F 5' GACCTGGAAGCAGCTACGGA 3'
TPC1_GFP_3261_F 5' CGGAGGTCGATTTAGGATGCG 3'
TPC1_GFP_3755_F 5' TCCAGGGTTGTTAGTATTTCAG 3'
TPC1_GFP_630_R 5' TTTGGATGCTTGATCCAAAGA 3'
TPC1_GFP_1125_R 5' ATCACCGTGAAAGGGGATTCT 3'
TPC1_GFP_1630_R 5' GGAACGGTAGGAGCTACTTG 3'
TPC1_GFP_2119_R 5' GCGAGAACCAGAGTGGAGATCG 3'
TPC1_GFP_2618_R 5' TCACGTGCCTTTTCTCTCTG 3'
TPC1_GFP_3138_R 5' CGTCTTGAGGATCTCGGTGTA 3'
TPC1_GFP_3602_R 5' GAGATGGCGTGAGGTACGCA 3'
GFP_4290_F 5' GGAAGTTCGAGGAGGAGGGAC 3'
GFP_4155_R 5' CTAAGTTGGAGTTGTCAGG 3'
GFP_4627_R 5' ACGAATCGCAGGAGCACCAG 3'
GFP_4658_R 5' GTCCATCCCGCTGAGTCC 3'
### Primers for PCR-site directed mutagenesis constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noNLS_F</td>
<td>5' GGACCCCTTGCAGATGACAGACTTGGTGAGCT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noNLS_R</td>
<td>5' GTCTGTCAATTGCAAGGCTCTCTTTGTCC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noKK_F</td>
<td>5' GACAGAATTGGCGCCACAAGGT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noKK_R</td>
<td>5' TTCTGTCTTGGCCAGTCCCTTTGCT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noRR_F</td>
<td>5' GTCAATTGAACTTGGAGTCC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noRR_R</td>
<td>5' AATGACAGACTTGGGTGGCT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noSUMO_F</td>
<td>5' GCCGTCTTTGCCAGTTGAC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noSUMO_R</td>
<td>5' GGACGGCGGAGACAAGAAGAGG 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1_T168G_F</td>
<td>5' GTGTGGAAACCGGTCCCCGA 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1_T168G_R</td>
<td>5' CCAACACGCCCATTGAGT 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1_T349G_F</td>
<td>5' CTCGGTCTGTGATGGTGAG 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1_T349G_R</td>
<td>5' CAACAGAGCCAGGCGCATCAGCC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noCYS12_F</td>
<td>5' GCCGCAGGACAGCACGGCAA 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1noCYS12_R</td>
<td>5' GCTGGTCTGGCAGGGAGGTTC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC1_GFP_Fnest</td>
<td>5' GCCGTGAACCTGGATGGGTGGCC 3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1R.TrpC.EcoRI nest</td>
<td>5' ACGAATTCCAGTGTACCTGTGAG 3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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