Nitrogen and oxygen isotopic constraints on the origin of atmospheric nitrate in coastal Antarctica
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Abstract. Throughout the year 2001, aerosol samples were collected continuously for 10 to 15 days at the French Antarctic Station Dumont d’Urville (DDU) (66°40’S, 140°01’E, 40 m above mean sea level). The nitrogen and oxygen isotopic ratios of particulate nitrate at DDU exhibit seasonal variations that are among the most extreme observed for nitrate on Earth. In association with concentration measurements, the isotope ratios delineate four distinct periods, broadly consistent with previous studies on Antarctic coastal areas. During austral autumn and early winter (March to mid-July), nitrate concentrations attain a minimum between 10 and 30 ng m⁻³ (referred to as Period 2). Two local maxima in August (55 ng m⁻³) and November/December (165 ng m⁻³) are used to assign Period 3 (mid-July to September) and Period 4 (October to December). Period 1 (January to March) is a transition period between the maximum concentration of Period 4 and the background concentration of Period 2. These seasonal changes are reflected in changes of the nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios. During Period 2, which is characterized by background concentrations, the isotope ratios are in the range of previous measurements at mid-latitudes: \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{vsmow}} = (77.2 ± 8.6)\%e; \) \( \Delta^{17}O = (29.8 ± 4.4)\%e; \) \( \delta^{15}N_{\text{air}} = (-4.4 ± 5.4)\%e \) (mean ± one standard deviation). Period 3 is accompanied by a significant increase of the oxygen isotope ratios and a small increase of the nitrogen isotope ratio to \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{vsmow}} = (98.8 ± 13.9)\%e; \) \( \Delta^{17}O = (38.8 ± 4.7)\%e \) and \( \delta^{15}N_{\text{air}} = (4.3 ± 8.20)\%e \). Period 4 is characterized by a minimum \( 15N/14N \) ratio, only matched by one prior study of Antarctic aerosols, and oxygen isotope ratios similar to Period 2: \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{vsmow}} = (77.2 ± 7.7)\%e; \) \( \Delta^{17}O = (31.1 ± 3.2)\%e \). Finally, during Period 1, isotope ratios reach minimum values for oxygen and intermediate values for nitrogen: \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{vsmow}} = (63.2 ± 2.5)\%e; \) \( \Delta^{17}O = (24.0 ± 1.1)\%e; \) \( \delta^{15}N_{\text{air}} = (-17.9 ± 4.0)\%e \). Based on the measured isotopic composition, known atmospheric transport patterns and the current understanding of kinetics and isotope effects of relevant atmospheric chemical processes, we suggest that elevated tropospheric nitrate levels during Period 3 are most likely the result of nitrate sedimentation from polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs), whereas elevated nitrate levels during Period 4 are likely to result from snow re-emission of nitrogen oxide species. We are unable to attribute the source of the nitrate during periods 1 and 2 to local production or long-range transport, but note that the oxygen isotopic composition is in agreement with day and night time nitrate chemistry driven by the diurnal solar cycle. A precise quantification is difficult, due to our insufficient knowledge of isotope fractionation during the reactions leading to nitrate formation, among other reasons.

1 Introduction

Inorganic particulate nitrate (p-NO₃⁻) and nitric acid (HNO₃) are ubiquitous in the atmosphere. Because of their high solubility and chemical stability, wet and dry depositions are the ultimate sinks of these species. Being the end-product of the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen oxides (NOₓ=NO+NO₂), nitrate is formed via (1) hydroxyl radical (OH) oxidation of NO₂, (2) NO₂ oxidation to N₂O₅ followed by hydrolysis on particles and/or (3) NO₂ oxidation to NO₃ followed by H abstraction from reduced species (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999, 2002).
its effect on the preservation of nitrate in snow, nitrate photolysis, first documented by Honrath et al. (1999), is now recognized as an important regional source of NO$_x$ and HONO (Jones et al., 2000; Domine and Shepson, 2002; Beine et al., 2002; Davis et al., 2004a), with a possible enhancement in emissions since the appearance of the stratospheric ozone “hole” (Jones and Wolff, 2003). Although the South Pole boundary layer is the most pristine on Earth (see for instance ISCAT special issue (Davis et al., 2004a)), these emissions make it as oxidative as urban atmospheres (Monks, 2005). An intense research effort is currently devoted to understand and quantify such emissions, including the post-depositional effects leading to remobilization of nitrate in snow (Dibb and Whitlow, 1996; Mulvaney et al., 1998; Honrath et al., 2000b, 2002; Nakamura et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2000; Röthlisberger et al., 2000, 2002; Beine et al., 2002; Wolff et al., 2002; Cotter et al., 2003; Burkhart et al., 2004; Blunier et al., 2005).

With the recent advances of online mass spectrometry techniques (Brand, 1996) coupled with high sensitivity nitrate analysis (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; Kaiser et al., 2007), the stable isotope composition of polar nitrate has emerged as a new tool of investigation, complementing concentration measurements. Because the nitrogen atom is conserved between NO$_x$ sources and nitrate sinks, the nitrogen isotope composition is generally viewed as fingerprint of NO$_x$ sources (Moore, 1977; Freyer, 1978, 1991; Heaton, 1990; Hastings et al., 2004), whereas the oxygen isotope composition is related to NO$_x$ oxidation pathways (Michalski et al., 2003; Hastings et al., 2003, 2005; Morin et al., 2007).

In an effort to resolve the origin and fate of nitrate in Antarctica, we report here on a year-long isotopic survey of nitrate aerosols collected at Dumont d’Urville. This study represents the first comprehensive picture of nitrate isotopes that combines the three isotopic ratios $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N, $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O. The nitrogen and oxygen isotopes are used to probe potential sources of nitrate in Antarctica. The core of this study is dedicated to understanding the seasonal cycle of particulate nitrate ($\text{p-NO}_3^{-}$) concentrations at coastal Antarctic stations.

2 Sampling site and experimental procedure

2.1 Sampling site

A detailed description of the sampling location can be found in Wolff et al. (1998). We provide here the principal characteristics. Dumont d’Urville (DDU) (66°40’ S, 140°01’ E) is located on a small island (Ile des Pétrels, 0.45 km$^2$), 1 km North of the Antarctic mainland. The site is located in the Pacific sector of Antarctica, facing Australia. During summer, the island is partly snow-free with gneiss rock outcrops. Such
rocks produce little mineral aerosols in the sub-micrometer size range.

Some 12 000 Adélie penguins nest on this inland during the summer, from the end of October to late February. A large population of Adélie penguins is also present on islands around DDU, with a total population estimated at 60 000 individuals. In addition, some 7000 Emperor penguins sit through the winter at this site. Technical and scientific staff numbers fluctuate between about 60 in the summer and about 20 in the winter.

The meteorological climatology at DDU is described in detail by König-Langlo et al. (1998). In summer, DDU is surrounded by open ocean water. The average surface air temperatures range from \(-1^\circ\text{C}\) in January to \(-17^\circ\text{C}\) in winter, with a mean annual temperature of \(-11^\circ\text{C}\). The annual mean surface wind speed is 9.5 m s\(^{-1}\), with no clear seasonal variations. Strong katabatic winds dominate at DDU, favoring the 120–160° wind sector. Southerly air mass advection from the Antarctic interior occurs up to 2 km altitude throughout the year, isolating the marine boundary layer from lower latitude air masses above it. The tropopause is located between 9 and 11 km altitude, slightly higher from September to October. DDU is at the edge of the polar vortex and strong zonal winds (>50 m s\(^{-1}\)) prevail above 10 km from late autumn to end of winter.

2.2 Sample collections and controls

A Thermo Andersen (formerly Graseby-Andersen) high-volume air sampler (HVAS; model GL 2000H) equipped with a four-stage cascade impactor and a backup glass fiber filter was used to collect size-segregated atmospheric particles. The cascade impactor was mounted with four slotted 12.7 cm × 17.8 cm glass fiber filters in series with an additional 20.3 cm × 25.4 cm backup fiber glass filter to collect the smallest particles.

The HVAS was situated on a platform, 1 m above ground, 50 m away from the shore and 20 m away from the closest building (Labo 3). It was usually operated at 1.3 to 1.5 cubic meter per minute (calibrated prior to deployment) for 10 to 15 successive days (20 000 to 30 000 m\(^3\)/sample) to ensure sufficient amount of aerosols (nitrate, sulfate) for isotopic analysis.

Atmospheric nitrate concentrations were calculated from the aerosol filter loading and the air volume pumped through the filter (corrected to standard temperature and pressure, STP, \(T=273.15\ \text{K}, \ p=101325\ \text{Pa}\)) assuming a constant flow rate throughout the year. For most samples, the field operators did not record the end date of sampling, in which case we assume that the collection was running until the start date of the following sample. The concentration data obtained from the HVAS could therefore be by up to a factor of two too low for isolated samples, but because the seasonal pattern of the atmospheric concentration varies by a factor of 16, this potential error has a negligible impact on the interpretation of the seasonal variation and does not affect the calculation of the weighted average \(\delta\) values.

There is no “clean sector” defined due to the small size of the island. During brief periods of waste incineration at the station, the HVAS was stopped by the operators. Wagenbach et al. (1998) have conducted an exhaustive survey of coastal Antarctic nitrate, including the DDU site. Comparison of concentration profiles at different locations in and around DDU led these authors to conclude that neither the station activities nor the dense penguin colonies were likely a source of nitrate contamination in the local atmosphere.

One blank control sample was collected at the start of the season. This blank was treated identically to the samples, i.e., the cascade impactor was loaded with regular filters, except that the HVAS was not turned on. Comparison of this blank with samples shows that the contamination it is at most 10% and 6% of the wintertime and summertime concentration respectively, with 4% on average. The isotopic composition of the blank was measured as follow: \(\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{vsmow}}=65.7\%e; \ \Delta^{14}\text{C}=21.2\%e; \ \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{air}}=-1.0\%e\). For each filter sample, this blank was subtracted using an isotopic mass balance, based on the blank concentration and its isotopic composition.

DDU is known to be a place with high atmospheric ammonia and sea-salt concentrations (Legrand et al., 1998; Wagenbach et al., 1998; Jourdain and Legrand, 2002), leading to an alkaline bulk aerosol year-round. Recent measurements (Jourdain and Legrand, 2002) using denuder techniques revealed that partitioning between gaseous HNO\(_3\) and particulate nitrate is close to 1:1. Such a high gaseous fraction may interfere with the alkaline bulk aerosols deposited on the filter, generating erroneously high p-NO\(_3\) measurements. Until direct isotopic measurement is performed on gaseous HNO\(_3\), the impact of such a compound on the isotopic composition of the bulk nitrate collected on filter media will remain unknown. This remark is also valid for other oxidized nitrogen compounds such as NO\(_2\), PAN and organic nitrates which have the potential to interfere with the sampled aerosol. At this stage no evaluation can be made on such interferences, and the atmospheric community is restricted to the interpretation of what it is recovered on the filters (Wagenbach et al., 1998; Schaap et al., 2002), keeping in mind that other oxidized nitrogen compounds may have also been trapped.

2.3 Nitrate extraction and analysis

All glass fiber filters were pre-cleaned by an overnight soak and several rinses with ultra pure water (Millipore, 18 MΩ cm), dried in a class 10000 clean room and finally stored in clean plastic food storage bags (Ziploc) before being shipped to Antarctica. After each collection period, filters were removed from the cascade impactor in a clean hood and stored in clean 50 ml-centrifuge tubes at \(-20^\circ\text{C}\). Samples were transported frozen back to our laboratory in Grenoble for extraction of soluble species.
Before sample extraction in Grenoble, the filters were inspected visually. In two cases, penguin feathers or bird faeces were found on the first stage of the impactor. These filters were discarded. However, only marginal nitrate mass loss is expected from the coarse aerosol mode collected on this filter stage (Jourdain and Legrand, 2002). The four slotted filters (SF) were grouped together while the backup filter (BU) was treated individually. In this manner, filters were processed according to the aerodynamic diameter of the aerosols collected with larger particles (>1 μm aerodynamic cutoff diameter, given by the impactor manufacturer) preferentially collected on SF filters. The primary purpose of the cascade impactor is to separate and analyze sea-salt and non sea-salt sulfate aerosols (to be analyzed in the future).

Filters were placed with 40 ml of ultra-pure water in a centrifugal filter unit (Millipore Centricon plus-70) for 15 min, shaken a few times and filtered at 3000 g in a centrifuge. Thus, more than 98% of the initial water volume can be recovered. Small quantities (200 μl) of sample solution were taken and diluted 200 times for ion chromatography analysis. NO$_3^-$ concentrations were determined using the ion-chromatography system described in Preunkert et al. (2001) and used to calculate atmospheric nitrate mass concentrations.

After quantification, a predetermined volume of sample was extracted (0.5 to 8 ml) to secure 200 nmol of nitrate for isotopic analysis and shipped frozen to Princeton University. The remainder of the sample was kept frozen and archived for future analysis.

$^{15}$N/$^{14}$N and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O isotope ratio analyses were performed by conversion of nitrate to N$_2$O using the denitrifier method and direct isotope analysis of the N$_2$O (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002). The triple oxygen isotopic composition ($^{17}$O/$^{16}$O, $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O) was measured with a novel method based on on-line conversion of N$_2$O (from the denitrifier method) to O$_2$ using a gold tube held at 800°C in a tube furnace (Kaiser et al., 2007). For the denitrifier method alone, we used a nominal nitrate amount of 20 nmol nitrate, whereas the N$_2$O decomposition method required 50 nmol nitrate to ensure good reproducibility.

Despite significant differences, the analysis of BU and SF samples show a similar seasonal cycle for all isotopic ratios (Fig. S1 and Table S1 in supplemental materials: http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/1925/2007/acp-7-1925-2007-supplement.zip). As we are mostly interested in the variations throughout the year, it is therefore justified to merge both datasets into one, weighting the isotopic composition of the BU and SF filters by the corresponding filter loadings. Further analysis of other collections archived in our laboratory will be used at a later stage to investigate the differences between the isotopic composition of nitrate retained by the BU and SF filters, respectively.

2.4 Isotopic scales and definitions

Isotopic compositions are reported as delta values relative to an international reference material:

$$\delta = \frac{R_{spl}}{R_{ref}} - 1$$  \hfill (1)

where $R_{spl}$ is the elemental isotope ratio of the sample and $R_{ref}$ the elemental isotope ratio of the reference material. In the case of nitrate, $R$ can refer to either $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N, $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O or $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O. Atmospheric nitrogen (N$_2$) has been established as the international reference for $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N ratios (Mariotti, 1983, 1984). For oxygen isotopes, Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) is the international reference material.

The oxygen isotopic system offers three stable isotopes, allowing a comparison of their respective behavior. Most kinetic and equilibrium processes, such as isotopic exchange or diffusion, fractionate isotopes according to their relative mass differences and form linear arrays in a three-isotope plot of ln(1+$\delta^{17}$O) versus ln(1+$\delta^{18}$O) with a slope between 0.5 and 0.531 (Young et al., 2002). This is called a mass-dependent isotope effect (Bigeleisen, 1952; Matsuoka et al., 1978). Thiemens and Heidenreich III (1983) discovered that ozone formation does not follow a mass-dependent relationship and that $^{17}$O had an anomalous enrichment in comparison to $^{18}$O. Explaining the cause of the $^{17}$O isotope anomaly in ozone remains a major challenge in physical chemistry (Gao and Marcus, 2001; Brenninkmeijer et al., 2003) and is beyond the scope of this paper. Since ozone is a strong oxidant and found nearly everywhere in the atmosphere, many other atmospheric species, including nitrate (Michalski et al., 2003), have been shown to possess anomalous $^{17}$O/$^{18}$O ratios, inherited from O$_3$ (Thiemens et al., 2001).

Several expressions have been proposed for quantifying the $^{17}$O isotope anomaly (Δ$^{17}$O) (Thiemens, 1999; Farquhar et al., 2000; Miller, 2002; Kaiser et al., 2004). In the present study, we use the linear definition with a mass-dependent coefficient of 0.52:

$$\Delta^{17}O=\delta^{17}O-0.52\delta^{18}O$$  \hfill (2)

The motivation of this choice are firstly, to be consistent with previous published works on the same topic (Michalski et al., 2003; Alexander et al., 2004; McCabe et al., 2005a; Morin et al., 2007) and secondly, to allow easy mass balance calculation based on Δ$^{17}$O measurements. The most suitable value of the coefficient depends on the process under consideration, since different kinetic and equilibrium isotope fractionation processes are characterized by different relationships between ln(1+$\delta^{17}$O) and ln(1+$\delta^{18}$O) (for instance, Miller, 2002; Young et al., 2002; Angert et al., 2003; Assonov and Brenninkmeijer, 2005). There is no single atmospheric process that could be held responsible for producing mass dependently fractionated nitrate and it is therefore not possible to establish a single most suitable coefficient for nitrate. We
therefore the value of 0.52, which represents a good average of mass-dependent coefficients expected and observed in nature (Matsuhisa et al., 1978; Barkan and Luz, 2003; Kaiser et al., 2004; Weston, 2006).

Analytical uncertainty (1 standard deviation) is estimated based on replicate measurements of a subset of samples and amounts to 0.2‰ for \( \delta^{15}N \), 0.5‰ for \( \delta^{18}O \) (denitrifier method (Casciotti et al., 2002)), 0.9‰ for \( \delta^{18}O \) and 0.5‰ for \( \Delta^{17}O \) (N\(_2\)O decomposition method, Kaiser et al., 2007).

### 3 Results

We present data from 27 collection periods at DDU, covering the period from 6 January 2001 to 22 December 2001. In parallel to our collection, an aerosol monitoring program (AMP) has been in operation since 1991, measuring the ionic composition of the aerosol continuously with year-round daily observations. Measurements of meteorological data, lidar aerosol and ozone concentrations, solar flux at ground level and vertical profiles of temperature, ozone, solar flux, wind direction and wind speed are performed regularly. These datasets were used whenever appropriate.

#### 3.1 Atmospheric concentration of inorganic nitrate aerosols

Figure 1a shows a comparison between the HVAS nitrate concentration time series and the one obtained by the AMP (M. Legrand and S. Preunkert, personal communication, 2006) for the year 2001. Data of the AMP have been averaged over each HVAS collection period in order to facilitate the comparison. Overall, both time series agree well despite very different sampling conditions (Table 1). A comparison with other published seasonally-resolved concentrations (Wagenbach et al., 1998) shows no major discrepancies of the main temporal features even if this latter study depicts maximum concentration measurements ([NO\(_3\)-]=41 ng m\(^{-3}\)) lower than the more recent observations ([NO\(_3\)-]=(116±4) ng m\(^{-3}\)). Atmospheric concentrations of particulate nitrate show a strong seasonal cycle: From January to March (period 1), concentrations decrease steadily and reach a background concentration level of \( \approx 12 \) ng m\(^{-3}\) during March to mid July (period 2). From mid July to September (period 3), concentrations increase to a peak of \( \approx 55 \) ng m\(^{-3}\). This moderate concentration increase at this time of the year is a significant and general observation observed around Antarctica (Wagenbach et al., 1998). Finally, October to December (period 4) is characterized by the occurrence of a major concentration peak, with concentrations reaching \( \approx 165 \) ng m\(^{-3}\). The observed seasonal pattern of p-NO\(_3\) concentration in 2001 at DDU is in line with any other year analyzed so far (Wagenbach et al., 1998; Rankin and Wolff, 2003).

#### 3.2 Isotopic measurements

Table 2 and Fig. 1 provide the measured values of the nitrate concentrations, \( \delta^{15}N \), \( \delta^{18}O \) and \( \Delta^{17}O \). As a general observation, the isotopic ratios show strong seasonal patterns, in line with the concentrations and represent the most extreme values ever reported for nitrate.

Nitrate aerosol \( \delta^{15}N \) values range from \(-46.9\) to \(+10.8\)‰, with its minimum in November. This seasonal pattern is identical to the one previously reported by Wagenbach et al. (1998) at Neumayer (Fig. 1b). To the best of our knowledge, the low \( \delta^{15}N \) nitrate values observed during the late spring concentration peak have only been documented in Antarctic environments (i.e. soil, snow, air) (Wada et al., 1981; Wagenbach et al., 1998; Michalski et al., 2005).

Our \( \delta^{18}O \) measurements also show an extended range of values, spanning from about 60 to 111‰ with relatively good agreement between the two analytical methods (direct N\(_2\)O (Casciotti et al., 2002) and catalytic decomposition of N\(_2\)O on gold (Kaiser et al., 2007)) used in the present study (Fig. 1c and Table S1). The average \( \delta^{18}O \) value measured by the decomposition method is \((1.7\pm3.4)‰\) higher than the direct N\(_2\)O measurement. This is more than expected based on the measurement uncertainty and the cause cannot be explained quantitatively at the moment (Kaiser et al., 2006), but the conclusions drawn here are not altered in any way by the choice of the \( \delta^{18}O \) measure-

---

Table 1. Sampling and analytical methodologies for the aerosol collections used for the high-volume and low volume aerosol collector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low-Vol</th>
<th>Hi-Vol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collection interval</td>
<td>1–3 days</td>
<td>10–15 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>At the rear of building “Labo 3”, 1.8 m above ground</td>
<td>20 m away from building “Labo 3”, 1 m above ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter media</td>
<td>( \Phi 47 ) mm, 0.4 µm PTFE</td>
<td>20.3 cm×25.4 cm glass filter, mounted with four slotted 12.7 cm×17.8 cm glass filter in series (impactor stages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump flow</td>
<td>0.01 m(^3) min(^{-1})</td>
<td>Typically 1.2 m(^3) min(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Table 2. Weighted average of the two size ranges for $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, $\Delta^{17}O$, and atmospheric nitrate concentration recorded at Dumont d’Urville in 2001. $\delta^{18}O$ values are those obtained by the $N_2O$ decomposition method (Kaiser et al., 2007). Standard deviations are 0.2, 0.9 and 0.5‰ for $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$, $\Delta^{17}O$, respectively (see text for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Atmospheric concentration, corrected to STP (ng m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}N_{\text{air}}$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}O_{\text{VSMOW}}$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\Delta^{17}O$ (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Jan 2001</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>−20.4</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Jan 2001</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>−18.4</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Jan 2001</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>−22.3</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Feb 2001</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>−11.9</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Feb 2001</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>−16.6</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 March 2001</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 March 2001</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>−6.7</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 April 2001</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 April 2001</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>−7.6</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 May 2001</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 May 2001</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>30.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 May 2001</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 June 2001</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 July 2001</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July 2001</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Aug</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Aug 2001</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Aug 2001</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>110.9</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Sep 2001</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>100.7</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Sep 2001</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>−12.3</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Oct 2001</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>−21.1</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Oct 2001</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>−28.9</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Nov 2001</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>−46.9</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>29.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Nov 2001</td>
<td>158.3</td>
<td>−36.0</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Nov 2001</td>
<td>165.3</td>
<td>−29.3</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Dec 2001</td>
<td>154.9</td>
<td>−28.9</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Dec 2001</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>−38.2</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Starting date of collection  
$^b$ Calculated using Eq. (2) (see text)
Nitrate is not directly injected into the atmosphere. Atmospheric nitrate always results from the transformation of NO$_2$ to gaseous nitric acid which eventually is neutralized by alkaline particles and incorporated into aerosols. Because NO$_2$ is in photochemical equilibrium with NO and O$_3$, deciphering the source of atmospheric nitrate reduces to the identification of NO$_x$ sources and the oxidation pathways ultimately leading to nitrate. Due to the remoteness of the Antarctic continent, three sources of nitrate are deemed most important: stratospheric input, continental background sources (e.g. biomass burning, lightning) (Wolff, 1995) and possibly the snowpack (Domine and Shepson, 2002).

4.1 Sources of nitrate and mechanisms of formation

In the lower stratosphere, nitric acid precursors are NO$_x$ produced by the reaction N$_2$O+O($^3$D) and NO$_x$ produced following N$_2$ photo-ionization and photo-dissociation in the thermosphere, mesosphere and upper stratosphere (Brasseur...
Table 3. Concentration and isotope characteristics of the four periods comprising the seasonal cycles of inorganic nitrate particles at DDU.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Period 1 (January to March)</th>
<th>Period 2 (March to mid-July)</th>
<th>Period 3 (mid-July to September)</th>
<th>Period 4 (October to December)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$ (ng m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>165.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>60.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±s.d.</td>
<td>24.2±11.0</td>
<td>13.7±4.2</td>
<td>44.8±14.8</td>
<td>116.4±41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{15}$N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>−11.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>−21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>−22.3</td>
<td>−11.4</td>
<td>−12.3</td>
<td>−46.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±s.d.</td>
<td>−17.9±4.0</td>
<td>−2.0±7.0</td>
<td>3.0±9.5</td>
<td>−32.7±8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{18}$O (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>60.9</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±s.d.</td>
<td>63.2±2.5</td>
<td>79.1±11.6</td>
<td>103.9±6.9</td>
<td>77.2±7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta^{17}$O (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±s.d.</td>
<td>24.0±1.1</td>
<td>30.8±5.3</td>
<td>40.2±2.7</td>
<td>31.1±3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Correlation between $\delta^{18}$O and $\Delta^{17}$O.

and Solomon, 1986; de Zafra and Smyshlyaev, 2001; Funke et al., 2005; Orsolini et al., 2005; Stiller et al., 2005). According to these authors, NO$_x$ sources above the stratopause represent just a few per cent of the amount produced by in situ N$_2$O oxidation (Santee et al., 2004) and only this latter source will be considered here.

N$_2$O is destroyed in the stratosphere mainly by photolysis in the atmospheric UV “window region” between 185 and 220 nm. This reaction accounts for 90% of its loss (Minschwaner et al., 1993) (Reaction R1). Chemical reactions with electronically excited oxygen atoms, O$(^1$D), are an additional stratospheric N$_2$O sink. This last reaction shows two exit channels, one “re-active” producing NO (Reaction R2a), the other “inert” leading to the formation of N$_2$ and O$_2$ (Reaction R2b) (Cantrell et al., 1994; Sander et al., 2000).

$$\text{NO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}(^1\text{D})(90\%) \quad (R1)$$

$$\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \rightarrow 2\text{NO}(6\%) \quad (R2a)$$

$$\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \rightarrow \text{N}_2 + \text{O}_2(4\%) \quad (R2b)$$

NO formed through Reaction (R2a) reacts with O$_3$ to form NO$_2$.

$$\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad (R3)$$

In the dark polar stratosphere, NO$_x$ is converted to stable NO$_y$ reservoir species:

$$\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2 \quad (R4)$$

$$\text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \quad (R5)$$

$$\text{ClO} + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ClONO}_2 \quad (R6)$$

The winter denitrification of the Antarctic stratosphere is well established (Fahey et al., 1990; Santee et al., 1995; Van Allen et al., 1995). As daylight wanes, gas-phase HNO$_3$ accumulates in the Antarctic stratosphere, mainly via reaction of dinitrogen pentoxide (N$_2$O$_5$) with H$_2$O on preexisting aerosols. At the end of winter, when stratospheric temperatures drop below 195 K (von Savigny et al., 2005), ClONO$_2$ decomposition (hydrolysis or reaction with HCl) becomes a
significant source of gaseous nitric acid (Sander et al., 2003; S. Bekki, personal communication, 2006). When its vapor pressure reaches the threshold for formation of PSCs, gaseous HNO₃ condenses to the solid phase as nitric acid trihydrate (NAT: HNO₃·3H₂O). Based upon satellite observations, Muscari et al. (2003) calculated for the entire 1995 polar vortex, which encompasses approximately the entirety of Antarctica (von Savigny et al., 2005), a drop in the NO₂ column corresponding to a total loss of $\Delta N_{NO_2} = (6.3 \pm 2.6) \times 10^7$ kg. Assuming that this nitrogen is entirely in the form of HNO₃ and completely lost into the troposphere (Muscari et al., 2003; Santee et al., 2004), such an injection corresponds to an increase of the HNO₃ burden of $(28.4 \pm 11.7) \times 10^7$ kg. Based on a stratospheric HNO₃ climatology (Santee et al., 2004), denitrification occurs approximately, between July and November, and extends over an area equivalent to the entire Antarctic continent (area 16 million km$^2$). Using the scale height of the atmosphere (7.4 km for $T_{mean}=250$ K) and the relative mass of the troposphere (82%, (Warneck, 1988)), we calculate a constant input above background of about $F = (32.5 \pm 13.4) \text{ng m}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1}$ during this time period. Assuming a residence time (τ) of about a week for the nitrate in the troposphere and no in-situ production, at steady state the additional concentration in the tropospheric reservoir is $C_{T} = F \times \tau$, i.e. $(227 \pm 94) \text{ng m}^{-3}$. Assuming that this contribution is partitioned equally between gaseous nitrate and particulate nitrate, as observed at DDU (Jourdain and Legrand, 2002), an additional aerosol concentration of about $(114 \pm 47) \text{ng m}^{-3}$ can be expected based on the stratospheric denitrification process. This is a reasonable additional loading above the background concentration in comparison with the increase of about 145 ng m$^{-3}$ observed from July to December and the crude character of this calculation, which ignores latitudinal transport. A similar conclusion was reached by Wagenbach et al. (1998) using a different approach. It is therefore conceivable to consider PSCs as a possible cause of the nitrate increase observed from July through December.

Air mass exchange between the stratosphere and troposphere (STE) offers another mechanism for injecting stratospheric HNO₃ into the troposphere. Using cosmogenic/radioactive tracers ($^{3}$H, $^{7}$Be, $^{10}$Be, $^{210}$Pb), Wagenbach et al. (1998) concluded that S/T exchange should enhance ground level concentrations by at most 35 ng m$^{-3}$ (in February), with a total contribution representing 31% of the PSC source. STE seems therefore inadequate to explain most of the observed concentration increase.

4.1.2 The snowpack source

With the discovery of the photochemical production of NO$_x$ within the firn layer (Honrath et al., 1999; Jones et al., 2000, 2001; Davis et al., 2001, 2004a; Honrath et al., 2002), it has become clear that the polar cap can be a significant source of nitrate (Jacobi et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2001; Weller et al., 2002). In their study, Wagenbach et al. (1998) considered snowpack emissions as a source of atmospheric nitrate, but because of incomplete data at the time, they did not conclude this source to be significant. Since their work, a better understanding of the fate of nitrate in snow has been achieved. At high accumulation sites (> 30 cm of snow a$^{-1}$), nitrate and its isotopic signature are rather well conserved (Röthlisberger et al., 2000, 2002; Burkhart et al., 2004; Hastings et al., 2004). On the other hand, at low accumulation sites like Dome C (snow accumulation $\approx 10$ cm a$^{-1}$), photoemission of NO$_x$ and evaporation of nitric acid dominate, leading to a sharp decrease in firn concentrations (Röthlisberger et al., 2000). This process was also observed during the ISCAT 2000 project at South Pole (Dibb et al., 2004).

All inland sites with low snow accumulation (<20 cm a$^{-1}$) show roughly the same sharp decrease in nitrate concentration (Mayewski and Legrand, 1990; Röthlisberger et al., 2000; Dibb et al., 2004; Udisti et al., 2004) with values dropping from almost 1000 ng g$^{-1}$ at the surface down to 40–60 ng g$^{-1}$ at a few cm depth. In order to estimate the correct emission flux into the atmosphere, the rate for such a decrease needs to be evaluated. In the Dome C records (Röthlisberger et al., 2000; Udisti et al., 2004), background values are reached at a depth equivalent to roughly a year of accumulation, (10 cm depth). On the other hand, at South Pole (Dibb et al., 2004), background concentrations are reached at a depth equivalent of 1/3 of the annual accumulation (also 10 cm depth). These observations seem to indicate that the loss of nitrate in surface snow is not a continuous process that extends all year-round. Photolysis and thermodynamical equilibrium are the two major processes controlling the nitrate concentration in snow (Mulvaney et al., 1998; Röthlisberger et al., 2000; Honrath et al., 2000a; Jones et al., 2000; Burkhart et al., 2004; Dibb et al., 2004; Blunier et al., 2005). Photolysis is active only during the polar day from October to March. For the latter process, Röthlisberger et al. (2002) suggested that surface uptake and conservation seem to be dominated by equilibrium process in determining the concentration of nitrate in snow. Since this process has a strong negative temperature dependence, escape of HNO₃ from the surface snow grains is expected to be at maximum when temperatures rise from $-60$°C in September to $-30$°C in December (http://polarimet.mps.osu.edu/RIIME-01/pdf_docs/dc14_report(05).pdf). We can therefore reasonably assume that the entire loss (photolysis and evaporation) reaches its maximum during this period of 90 days. Based on published concentration profiles at Vostok, Dome C and South Pole (Mayewski and Legrand, 1990; Röthlisberger et al., 2000; Dibb et al., 2004), we estimate an average nitrate loss of $\Delta N_{NO_3}\_{ave} = (300 \pm 100) \text{ng g}^{-1}$, occurring in the first (10±5) cm of snow. Limiting this process to an area where accumulation is lower than $\approx 20$ cm of snow per year, i.e. 6 million km² (Wagenbach et al., 1998), we calculate a nitrate emission source of $\Delta N = (1.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^7$ kg of NO$_x$ using a surface snow density of 0.3 g cm$^{-3}$. Such an estimation has to be compared with $\Delta N = (6.3 \pm 2.6) \times 10^7$ kg for
the PSCs source calculated by Muscari et al. (2003) or NO$_2$ snow emission of $\Delta N$=0.76x10$^7$ kg estimated by Jones et al. (2001). Because snow is a surface source and because strong temperature inversions are experienced in summer in the Antarctic boundary layer (König-Langlo et al., 1998), this source can easily accommodate the concentration increase from July to December observed at DDU. If such a quantity is emitted in 90 days (late springtime/yearly summertime), into a 1000 m tropospheric column, a concentration of $\Delta$[HNO$_3$]=38 ng m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$ is added to the background situation over the entire Antarctic (16 million km$^2$), assuming a total conversion of NO$_x$ to nitric acid and neglecting any meridional transport. This increase is similar to the one calculated for the PSC source. Using a nitrate lifetime of one week and a 1:1 partitioning between gaseous and particulate nitrate, we would expect an increase of the particulate nitrate concentration of ca. 133 ng m$^{-3}$, in good agreement with the observed increase of 135 ng m$^{-3}$ from September to December. Because of the role played by temperature and sunlight, snowpack emissions are not expected to be significant outside the period between October and February.

4.1.3 The continental source

Due to the remoteness of the Antarctic continent from continental land masses, supply of continental nitrogen oxides species is expected to come from the free troposphere via long-range transport of gases and aerosols. PAN and alkyl nitrates, formed over continents, are relatively stable reservoirs of NO$_x$. With HNO$_3$+p-NO$_3^-$ representing 22% between February and November and 66% between November and December of the coastal Antarctic NO$_x$ (Weller et al., 2002), continental organic-nitrate can potentially be the supply reservoir for NO$_x$. In a study of PAN at Neumayer station in February 1999, Jacobi et al. (2000) concluded that thermal decomposition of PAN was probably not sufficient to maintain the observed NO$_x$ mixing ratios at this site. Regarding alkyl nitrates, the same conclusion can be drawn. Using the upper limit of loss rate of $10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ given by Talukdar et al. (1997) and a total concentration of C1-C3 alkyl nitrate of 13 pmol mol$^{-1}$ (Weller et al., 2002), one can calculate a maximum of NO$_x$ production from the decomposition of these species of ca. 0.04 pmol mol$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$, which has to be compared with the NO$_x$ decay rates of approximately 0.35 pmol mol$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ measured at Neumayer (Jacobi et al., 2000), pinpointing the inadequacy of the alkyl nitrate source. Similarly, using $^{210}$Pb as a tracer of continental emissions, Wagenbach et al. (1998) estimated that the continental aerosol source could only account for at most 16 ng m$^{-3}$ of the nitrate aerosol loading, suggesting that continental aerosols do not contribute significantly to the nitrate budget. In summary, the continental source seems to be a possible candidate for the background concentration but unlikely the source for the July/August and November/December peaks.

4.2 Atmospheric dynamic and transport

Santacesaria et al. (2001) provided a detailed statistical analysis of PSC occurrence above DDU. From their observations, after the end of July, PSCs are often observed within 1 km of the tropopause. A weak tropopause barrier generally characterizes this time of the year (König-Langlo et al., 1998) and injection of stratospheric material into the troposphere is facilitated at the end of July. Tritium ($^3$H) contained in water is a reasonable tracer of stratospheric water. $^3$H is mainly produced in the lower stratosphere by the interaction of cosmic rays and exhibits a much higher abundance in stratospheric water vapor than tropospheric water (Ehhardt et al., 2002). At Halley Bay station (Antarctica), $^3$H in precipitation peaks during period 3 (July to October) (Wagenbach et al., 1998), suggesting the predominance of stratospheric material injection into the troposphere during this period of the year and a rapid transfer to the ground.

König-Langlo et al. (1998) have discussed in details the meteorology of DDU. Year-round, ground level air mass flows are characterized by katabatic winds where large quantities of cold and dry air are drained from the central plateau of Antarctica. Vertical profiles of meridional winds show that such flows take place up to an altitude of approximately 2 km and are well organized throughout the year. A similar shear in the wind field structure is observed for the zonal winds, with ground level flows governed by easterly wind drift, switching to westerly above 2 km height. This particularity of the DDU area strongly limits direct advections of oceanic air masses and further suggests that the Antarctic plateau is the main source of air reaching the DDU station.

4.3 Isotopic constraints on nitrate sources

In the following sections, we use the measured nitrate isotopic composition to qualitatively constrain the three possible sources mentioned above. Because little is known about equilibrium and kinetic fractionation coefficients between nitrogen oxides and phases, rigorous quantitative interpretation is limited. Only extreme and characteristic isotopic compositions are therefore used to tentatively assign nitrate sources.

4.3.1 Nitrogen isotopes in stratospheric NO$_x$ and nitrate

Neglecting the upper atmospheric contribution (i.e. N$_2$ photo-ionization and photo-dissociation), the nitrogen isotope composition of stratospheric NO$_x$ can be estimated from the degree of N$_2$O destruction in the stratosphere and the isotopic fractionation associated with the reaction N$_2$O+O(1D) (Kaiser et al., 2002a; Toyoda et al., 2004). After mathematical calculation and integration, one obtains (see Appendix A for details):

$$ \delta^{15}N(NO_x) = \left(1+15 \epsilon_{R_{2a}} \right) \frac{1+15 \epsilon_{sinks} (N_2O)}{1+15 \epsilon_{sinks}} - 1 $$

$$ \approx 1 $$

where $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ is the nitrogen isotope composition of stratospheric NO$_x$ and nitrate, $\epsilon_{R_{2a}}$ is the isotopic fractionation associated with the reaction N$_2$O+O(1D), and $\epsilon_{sinks}$ is the isotopic fractionation associated with the loss from stratospheric NO$_x$ to the sinks. The isotopic fractionation associated with the loss from stratospheric NO$_x$ to the sinks is estimated to be approximately 15%.
In this analytical expression, the first term in brackets describes the fractionation due to Reaction (R2a) while the second term expresses the fractionation of N2O by all its sink processes. Because PSCs can be formed up to an altitude of 25 km above DDU (Santacesaria et al., 2001) and slowly subside from this altitude, we use the average fractionation constant observed in January for Antarctica by Toyoda et al. (2004): $\Delta f = -31\%$. For $f$, representing the fraction of N2O transformed into NO (see Appendix A), we use the value of (0.15±0.06), corresponding to a mixing ratio of N2O of (50±20) nmol mol$^{-1}$ (altitude≈25 km) (Toyoda et al., 2004), and initial stratospheric $\delta^{15}$N(N2O)$_{0}$ of (6.7±0.1)% with [N2O]$_{0}$=(320±1) nmol mol$^{-1}$ (Kaiser et al., 2003a; Röckmann and Levin, 2005). This calculation yields an average stratospheric $\delta^{15}$N(NO)=(19±3)%c, the uncertainty being due to the parameter: $f$(±40%). Adopting a single value for $\Delta f$ sinks neglects possible seasonal and altitude variations (Kaiser et al., 2006), but does not change the result that the accumulated stratospheric NO is enriched relative to tropospheric N2O. Moreover, the above calculation neglects the fact that $\Delta f$ R2a is not fully expressed under the influence of mixing and diffusion, so that the actual $\delta^{15}$N(NO) will actually be larger than calculated from Eq. (3). Considering that more than 90% of NO$_{3}$ is eliminated from the stratosphere during the denitrification process (Fahey et al., 1990; Muscari et al., 2003), it is expected that $\delta^{15}$N(p-NO$_{3}$)≈$\delta^{15}$N(HNO$_{3}$)≈$\delta^{15}$N(NO)≈(19±3)%c.

### 4.3.2 Oxygen isotopes in stratospheric nitrate

Michalski et al. (2003) developed the first theoretical framework for understanding of the triple oxygen isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate. The theory is based on the transfer of the oxygen isotope anomaly of O$_{3}$ to NO$_{3}$ and subsequently to HNO$_{3}$. The first step relies on the oxygen isotope transfer during photostationary equilibrium between NO$_{3}$ and O$_{3}$. Based on branching ratio measurements of ozone formation reactions, Lyons (2001) computed that the $\Delta^{17}$O value of the terminal oxygen atom in ozone would be more than double that of bulk ozone (which implies a strong negative anomaly for the central oxygen atom). Lyons (2001) also assumed that NO would only react with the terminal O atom, so that under steady-state conditions the $\Delta^{17}$O value of stratospheric NO$_{3}$ would be 80%c or greater. In contrast, Michalski et al. (2003) suggested that molecular beam experiments could be interpreted as an indication for a statistical participation of the three oxygen atoms of ozone in its reaction with NO (van den Ende et al., 1982; van den Ende and Stolte, 1984), which would lead to a direct transfer of the ozone isotope anomaly to the second O atom in the product NO$_{2}$. With this assumption, the intramolecular distribution of the ozone isotope anomaly does not play a role. Finally, Zahn et al. (2006) assumed that the intramolecular $^{17}$O distribution in ozone follows that of $^{18}$O and that NO only reacts with the terminal O atom in ozone, from which they calculated $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_{2}$)=40%c in the stratosphere. None of these theoretical assumptions have been backed up by data and one should also keep in mind that the molecular beam reactions of (van den Ende et al., 1982; van den Ende and Stolte, 1984) may not be relevant to atmospheric conditions as the translational kinetic energy of the parent molecules were well above the thermal conditions encountered in the lower atmosphere. However, an indication that the central O atom of O$_{3}$ does indeed participate in its reaction with NO comes from a set of laboratory experiments (Savarino et al., 2007$^{1}$) done at room temperature in an atmospheric chamber. In the wait of a definitive answer for this transfer coefficient, we chose to vary it between the extreme values of 0.8 and 1.2 (Zahn et al., 2006). No data are currently available for the isotopic composition of stratospheric Antarctic ozone.

A re-evaluation of the most-recent isotope measurements for stratospheric ozone from the Northern Hemisphere with a three isotope coefficient of 0.52 gives (34.2±3.7)%c, with a range from 25.8 to 40.5%c (Krankowsky et al., 2000; Mauersberger et al., 2001; Lümmershahl et al., 2002). Combining these observations with the range of $\Delta^{17}$O transfer coefficients from 0.8 to 1.2, $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_{2}$) is expected to vary in the range from 27.3%c to 41.0%c on average, with most extreme values of 20.7 and 48.7%c. This estimation ignores the possible influence of ClO/BrO on the NO oxidation (Herker et al., 1997).

Two oxidation pathways lead to the formation of nitric acid in the dark polar winter stratosphere (Fig. 4). The first one involves the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N$_{2}$O$_{5}$:

$$N_{2}O_{5} + H_{2}O_{ads} \rightarrow 2HNO_{3} \quad (R7)$$

Oxygen atoms mass balance shows that this route leads to $\Delta^{15}$O(HNO$_{3}$) = 5/6 $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_{2}$) (Michalski et al., 2003), thus in the range of 17.2 to 40.5%c. The other reaction pathway involves ClONO$_{2}$ and BrONO$_{2}$ (Hanson and Ravisankara, 1991; Sander et al., 2003) when vortex temperature falls below 195 K in winter:

$$X + O_{3} \rightarrow XO + O_{2} \quad (R8)$$

$$XO + NO_{2} \rightarrow XONO_{2} \quad (R9)$$

$$XONO_{2} + (HCl)_{ads} \rightarrow HOX + HNO_{3} \quad (R10)$$

where X=Cl or Br. Morin et al. (2007) showed that, in this case, because halogen radicals abstract directly a terminal oxygen atom in ozone during Reaction (R8) (Toolehy et al., 1988; Zhang et al., 1997) and that these atoms are more enriched in heavy isotopes than the bulk ozone molecule (Janssen, 2005), $\Delta^{17}$O(XO)=17$^{17}$O(O$_{3}$)$_{terminal}$=(20.7 to 48.7)%c using the intramolecular oxygen isotope distribution

---

$^{1}$Savarino, J., Bhattacharya, S., Baroni, M., and Doussin, J.-F.: Oxygen isotope anomalies transfer from O$_{3}$ to NO$_{2}$ in the NO+O$_{3}$ reaction, in preparation, 2007.
of Zahn et al. (2006). Thus, we have for the nitric acid made off XONO2 (Morin et al., 2007):

$$\Delta^{17}O(HNO_3) = 1/3 \Delta^{17}O(XO) + 2/3 \Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$$

which gives an estimated range of 18 to 43‰.

Such a range should be used with caution. One should realize that large uncertainties exist in the transfer of O3 oxygen anomaly to the HNO3. This transfer depends on relative kinetic rate between the two heterogeneous Reactions (R7) and (R10), the internal distribution of isotope in ozone and may be on some unknown oxygen isotopic exchange reaction between NO3 and oxygen-bearing reservoirs (Zahn et al., 2006). Thus, still today, it appears relatively speculative to predict with a high level of confidence the range of the oxygen isotope anomaly of nitric acid produced in the stratosphere. Nevertheless, the polar stratosphere seems to be the only place where the oxygen isotope anomaly of nitrate can reach its highest value for two reasons: firstly, it is in this part of the atmosphere that the highest O3 isotopic anomalies have been recorded so far and secondly polar stratospheric NO3 chemistry has a natural propensity to transfer more anomaly from ozone to nitric acid via the predominance of N2O5 and ClONO2 formation pathways.

### 4.3.3 Nitrogen isotopes of snowpack emissions

Recently Blunier et al. (2005) performed a survey of the $\delta^{15}N$ of nitrate in DC snow. They observed a sharp increase in $\delta^{15}N$, concomitant with the depletion of nitrate in the snow. Within the first 15 cm of snow, the $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$ rises dramatically from +10 to +200‰. From this observation, Blunier et al. (2005) deduced that the post-depositional effect responsible for the removal of nitrate had an apparent net effect of $\delta_{snow} = -53.9‰$.

Blunier et al.’s data indicate that NOx and nitric acid emitted by the snow in the central plateau of Antarctica should be depleted in $^{15}N$ isotopes. Applying an isotopic Rayleigh-type fractionation for Dome C snowpack with $\delta_{snow} = -53.9‰$ (Blunier et al., 2005), the average $\delta^{15}N(HNO_3)_{emitted}$ is

$$\delta^{15}N(HNO_3)_{emitted} = \left(1 + \delta^{15}N_0\right) \frac{1 - f^{15}N_{snow} + 1}{1 - f} - 1$$

with $f$, the mass fraction of the residual nitrate remaining in the snow and $\delta^{15}N_0$, the nitrogen isotopic composition of surface snow nitrate. Taking $f=0.7\pm0.2$ (i.e., a (30±20)% loss of nitrate during November–December months, see Sect. 4.1.2.), and $\delta^{15}N_0=12‰$ (Blunier et al., 2005), one find average $\delta^{15}N$ of HNO3 emitted in the order of (−34±7)%e. No published atmospheric nitrate $^{15}N$ have ever displayed such low $\delta^{15}N$ values (Heaton et al., 2004, and references therein), with the notable exception of Antarctica and we are unaware of a physical or chemical process in the atmosphere capable of generating such $^{15}N$-depleted nitrate.

### 4.3.4 Oxygen isotopes of snowpack emissions

The comparison between seasonal composition in aerosol, surface snow and snow pit at South Pole indicates that the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate is transferred to surface snow (McCabe et al., 2005a). The annual average of the first snow layer of a snow pit (snow layer 2003), $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-) = (28.8\pm3.5)%e$, compared well with the annual average, (29.3±3.7)%e, obtained with monthly surface snow samples collected during the wintering-over in 2004. Such observations coupled with laboratory experiments (McCabe et al., 2005b) indicate a good preservation of $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ in snow. A model study focusing on emission fluxes (Wolff et al., 2002) suggested that photolysis and thermodynamically controlled processes (e.g. diffusion) are quantitatively equivalent in snow. Therefore, it can be deduced that emission of NOx from the snow will be essentially in the form of NOx and HNO3 in equal proportion. The NOx will lead to a summer tropospheric oxygen isotopic signature of nitrate through nitrate
formation in the troposphere during transport from inland to the coast, i.e. $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{tropo \ summer}} \approx (26 \pm 2)%$ (McCabe et al., 2005a), whereas reemitted HNO$_3$ should have a mean value of $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{snow}} \approx (30 \pm 2)%$ corresponding to the isotopic composition of nitrate at the surface of the snowpack. Overall, summing up these two contributions should yield for the inorganic nitrate reaching the coast: $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-) = 28.0 \pm 4\%$.

4.3.5 Nitrogen isotopes of continental sources

In other parts of the world, $\delta^{15}N$ ranges between $-20$ to $+20\%$ in particulate nitrate (Hoering, 1957; Moore, 1977; Freyer, 1978, 1991; Heaton, 1987; Fogel and Paerl, 1993; Freyer et al., 1996; Koba et al., 1997; Pichlmayer et al., 1998; Russel et al., 1998; Burns and Kendall, 2002; Campbell et al., 2002; Hastings et al., 2003), nitrate in Arctic snow or ice (Hastings et al., 2004, 2005; Heaton et al., 2004), alpine ice (Freyer et al., 1996), and NO$_x$ (Moore, 1977; Heaton, 1990). We note that this range encompasses the stratospheric values deduced in Sect. 4.2.1. We suggest therefore that $\delta^{15}N$ of nitrate is not a suitable tracer to discriminate between stratospheric and tropospheric sources of nitrate, in contradiction to the study of Wagenbach et al. (1998).

4.3.6 Oxygen isotope anomaly in the troposphere

Tropospheric ozone generally has a lower isotope anomaly than stratospheric ozone (Krankowsky et al., 1995; Irion et al., 1996; Johnston and Thiemens, 1997; Mauersberger et al., 2001; Lümerzahl et al., 2002). Tropospheric-produced nitrate is therefore expected to have lower isotope anomalies than nitrate produced in the stratosphere (Fig. 4). Recently, Morin et al. (2007) measured a high anomaly in tropospheric nitrate samples from the Arctic. Indeed, in the springtime Arctic boundary layer, characterized by significant levels of BrO, BrONO$_2$ hydrolysis can become a major route of HNO$_3$ formation. As in the case of stratospheric chemistry, such oxidation pathways involving halogen chemistry can enhance the oxygen isotopic anomaly transfer from precursors to products. However, satellite-derived tropospheric BrO maps show that the DDU area never experiences significant BrO blooms (Richter et al., 2002). The DMSO/DMS ratio at DDU does show an anomalous increase which may be related to the fast oxidation of DMS to DMSO by BrO (von Glasow and Crutzen, 2004), but only in August (M. Legrand, personal communication, 2006). In addition, air masses reaching DDU mainly originate from the interior of the continent (König-Langlo et al., 1998) and are not exposed to marine BrO-enriched conditions for a long period. The tropospheric halogen chemistry seems therefore to be marginal, but needs further investigation. During nighttime formation of HNO$_3$, more oxygen atoms of ozone are incorporated. Based on Michalski’s observations, $\Delta^{17}O$ ranges from 28 to 30$\%$ and 22 to 25$\%$ in the troposphere for winter- and summertime, respectively (Michalski et al., 2003) (see Fig. 4 for the complete scheme). In the absence of more systematic surveys of tropospheric nitrate oxygen isotopic composition, we assume that Michalski’s approach is also valid at DDU and any values outside these ranges is an indication of a possible different process.

4.4 Seasonal variations

Summarizing all the information developed in the latter sections, we obtain the following main estimations:

- stratospheric PSC nitrate should possess an isotopic composition of $\delta^{15}N(\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{strato}} \approx (19 \pm 3)\%/o$ and $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{strato}} = (17-43)\%/o$,
- the isotopic composition of tropospheric nitrate is best constrained by $\delta^{15}N(\text{HNO}_3) = (-20$ to $+20)\%/o$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ from 28 to 30$\%$ and 22 to 25$\%$ for wintertime and summertime, respectively, ignoring any possible halogen chemistry at ground level,
- snow-emitted nitrate (including nitrate formed by conversion of its snow-emitted precursors such as NO$_x$)
is expected to possess $\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{emitted}} \approx -34\pm 7\%e$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{NO}_3^-)_{\text{emitted}} \approx 28\%e$.

- nitrate source fluxes from snow and PSCs are both compatible with late spring nitrate concentration peaks,

- air mass meteorology at DDU is dominated all year-round by inland drift,

- subsidence and penetration of PSCs into the troposphere occur during the wintertime/springtime transition when the tropopause barrier is weak and the lower stratosphere very cold.

4.4.1 Periods 1 and 2

From January to July, corresponding to our period 1 and 2, Wagenbach et al. (1998), using radionuclide tracers, estimated the relative contribution of tropospheric and stratospheric sources to be roughly 1:1, with some variability from month to month. At this time of the year, the stratospheric input is the result of air mass intrusions. Based on our data, we are unable to prove or disprove this estimate. The nitrogen isotopic signature of tropospheric nitrate overlapping the stratospheric range (Moore, 1974; Heaton et al., 2004), it is impossible from our dataset to distinguish the exact influence of these two reservoirs. We cannot draw firm conclusions about the slow increase in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from $-20$ to $10\%e$ between January and end of August. We note however that during this period, the average temperature drops from 0 to $-15\degree C$ and kinetic isotopic effects associated with inter coupling of NO$_x$ species and a decline of photochemistry might be an explanation for this trend in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values.

The oxygen isotope anomaly indicates two different regimes, with $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ ranging between $(22-25)\%e$ and $(20-33)\%e$ for summer (January–March) and fall (March–mid July), respectively. Figure 5 exhibits the oxygen isotopic anomaly versus the minimum erythemal UV doses (MED) received at ground level in 2001 in DDU. Erythemal UV doses are used here as an indicator of sunlight intensity. As sunlight wanes, $\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{NO}_3^-)$ increases. $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ ranges observed during period 1 and 2 (lower line in Fig. 6) are in good agreement with the ones reported by Michalski et al. (2003) for summer and winter, respectively. We therefore suggest that the oxygen isotope anomaly of nitrate from January (high MED) to July (low MED) is the result of tropospheric NO$_x$ chemistry, as modeled by Michalski et al. (2003) and suggested by Hastings et al. (2003, 2004) and this results could be a powerful technique to constrain oxidant fields in this part of the world. This indicates that the winter source of nitrate is most presumably oxidation of tropospheric background NO$_x$, probably generated by organic nitrates (PAN + alkyl nitrate), en route to Antarctica.

4.4.2 Period 3

In the Antarctic, unlike the Arctic, stratospheric denitrification is accompanied by a simultaneous dehydration in late winter (Fahey et al., 1990; Santee et al., 1995). As PSCs penetrate the troposphere they vaporize and liberate both $^3$HHO vapor and HNO$_3$ gas. This period is characterized by a small but significant enhancement of particulate nitrate concentration, in phase with $^3$H activity of the snow found in the coastal site of Halley Bay (Fig. 1a), strongly suggesting the stratosphere as the source for the p-NO$_3^-$ peak during Period 3. This interpretation is further supported by the oxygen isotope anomalies during this period. At this period of the year, $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ peaks at values only observed in the Antarctic atmosphere. Using the most extreme ozone isotope anomalies and the upper limit of the transfer coefficient, we can explain the nitrate oxygen isotopic anomalies. Considering that stratospheric ozone generally possesses a higher oxygen anomaly than tropospheric ozone, we suggest that $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ peak represents a further argument in the direction of a stratospheric origin for the nitrate of period 3. At this stage, however, there are too many unknowns to go beyond these qualitative arguments, especially in absence of better constrains of the isotope anomaly transfer between O$_3$/NO$_x$/NO$_3$ species.

Because of the overlap between nitrogen isotopic composition of stratospheric and tropospheric-produced nitrate, $^{15}\text{N}$ signatures of the period 3 do not contribute to additional evidence, but are compatible with a stratospheric origin.

Flux calculations performed previously (Sect. 4.1.1) do not contradict this suggestion. We estimated the additional concentration per day to be around $(32.5\pm 13.4)$ ng m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$. At first glance, this value seems to overestimate the observed increase in atmospheric concentration during Period 3 relatively to the Period 4 concentration increase. However, it should be borne in mind that part of this stratospheric nitrate will be transported outside Antarctica and some others will be stored temporarily and/or permanently in snow. Measurements suggest that the Antarctic plateau receives $2.4-5.7$ kg N km$^{-2}$ a$^{-1}$ from denitrification (Muscarini et al., 2003) among which $2.1$ kg N km$^{-2}$ a$^{-1}$ are buried as nitrate, based on ice core analysis (Legrand and Kirchner, 1990). Meteorology of PSC above DDU supports the hypothesis of a stratospheric source for the late winter concentration peak.

4.4.3 Period 4

Considering the arguments developed above, we propose that the November/December nitrate peak is not the direct result of PSC subsidence as suggested by Wagenbach et al. (1998). The most striking feature of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ time series are the negative values observed during period 4 (Fig. 1b). Such low values are in remarkable contrast with the tropospheric range and call for a distinctive process peculiar to Antarctica, since no other parts of the world show such a depletion (Heaton et al., 2004). Estimation of the nitrogen isotopic
composition of reemitted NO$_3$ from the snow strongly suggests that snow emission is responsible for the late spring concentration peak. We are not aware of any other source capable of producing such low $^{15}$N isotopic ratios, especially in the atmosphere. This suggestion has the advantage to eliminate the time lag that was persisting between $^3$H and PSC nitrate in Wagenbach et al. (1998), a failing already mentioned and unexplained by these authors.

Oxygen isotope anomalies during this period are compatible with snow emissions if one assumes an equal mixture of snow-emitted HNO$_3$ and snow-emitted NO$_x$, the former having the mean annual oxygen isotopic signature of inland snow, the latter acquiring a tropospheric signature during transport from inland to the coast. This suggestion offers a possible explanation for the offset observed in Fig. 6, with summertime nitrate still possessing a fraction with a stratospheric-type oxygen isotopic composition.

Inland drift of air masses is symptomatic of the DDU wind field. Our estimate of NO$_x$ fluxes from the snow supports our conclusion, based on concentration profiles observed at different low accumulation sites.

5 Summary and conclusions

Year-round aerosol collections of inorganic particulate nitrate have been analyzed for their isotopic content. This study constitutes the first oxygen and nitrogen isotopic survey of atmospheric nitrate in coastal Antarctica. Using the bacterial denitrifier technique in combination with a novel N$_2$O decomposition method, the $\delta^{18}$O, $\Delta^{17}$O and $\delta^{15}$N have been precisely measured on small samples. Size-segregated analyses show no fundamental differences in the seasonal variation between particle size fractions below and above 1 $\mu$m, even if significant differences exist for individual samples. In the absence of multiple year analysis, we have ignored these differences and decided to treat only the mass and isotopic weighted average signal. Applying current knowledge on the origin of the ozone oxygen isotope anomaly ($\Delta^{17}$O) and the $^{15}$N fractionation constants in the stratosphere and snow, we consider we have established the main isotopic characteristics of tropospheric, stratospheric, and snow reservoirs of nitrate at least semi-quantitatively. A comparison between our isotopic dataset and the isotopic signature of these sources suggests the following scenario:

1. The precise source of background concentrations of atmospheric nitrate is not identifiable. However, the $\delta^{18}$O and $\Delta^{17}$O of background atmospheric nitrate are compatible with nitrate formed predominantly in the troposphere, with isotopic variations being explained by sunlight-driven changes in the mechanism of NO$_x$ oxidation to HNO$_3$.

2. Estimates of the stratospheric isotopic composition of nitrate revealed that the secondary peak around August–September is most likely associated with polar stratospheric cloud subsidence.

3. Snow reemissions of NO$_x$ and nitric acid from the interior of the Antarctic continent seem to be the principal cause of the major late spring peak. Only this source has all the characteristics necessary to generate atmospheric nitrate that is highly depleted in $^{15}$N.

Our new scenario, backed up by mass balance calculations, reconciles the expected simultaneity between the tritium maximum and a nitrate concentration maximum if both derive from stratospheric sources. Furthermore, it suggests a higher oxygen isotope anomaly of stratospheric nitrate compared to tropospheric nitrate. However, we also pointed out the weakness and current limitations of isotopic studies in the absence of a better quantification and understanding of the anomalous oxygen transfer from reactants to products. As further directions of research, we can list (not in order of priority):

1. The internal isotopic distribution of ozone isotopomers (Tuzson, 2005),

2. The reaction mechanism between O$_3$ and reactive species (with halogen for instance) and more generally the photochemical equilibrium between O$_3$ and NO$_x$,

3. A comprehensive transport/chemistry model adapted to polar chemistry to establish the main oxidation pathways leading to nitrate formation,

4. Measurements of the spatial and temporal variations of the isotopic composition of nitrate in air and snow,

5. Measurements of the stratospheric ozone isotopic composition inside the polar vortex,

6. Measurements of the isotopic composition of nitric acid found in PSCs.

In summary, the dynamics of atmospheric inorganic nitrate in coastal Antarctic boundary layer can be described by a stable tropospheric background source with the stratosphere injecting large amounts of nitrate via polar stratospheric clouds denitrification in late winter, with the majority of it captured and trapped in the snow until the thermodynamical conditions of spring/summer times allow for its release from this buffered reservoir. Such a scenario could be further tested as follows: late winter in the Antarctic plateau should be characterized by a high concentration of gaseous nitric acid. Data to test this prediction are not currently available. We want to emphasize that particulate nitrate measurements will not be a suitable measurement to reveal such seasonal features in inland sites. Nitric acid has a high affinity to alkaline aerosols, therefore revealing more the seasonality of aerosols than the
nitric acid concentration variability. We expect other tropospheric trace gases to be also influenced by snowpack emissions in summer on coastal sites, a phenomenon already observed for formaldehyde (HCOOH) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) (Frey et al., 2005; Riedel et al., 2005).

Appendix A

Estimation of nitrogen isotopic composition of polar stratospheric nitrate

The ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio of stratospheric N₂O can be described empirically by a Rayleigh fractionation equation (Rahn and Wahlen, 1997; Kaiser et al., 2002a, 2006; Toyoda et al., 2004):

\[
\ln \frac{\delta^{15}N(N_2O) + 1}{\delta^{15}N(N_2O)_0 + 1} = 15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}} \ln \frac{\mu(N_2O)}{\mu(N_2O)_0}
\]  

(A1)

where \(\delta\) and \(\mu(N_2O)\) are the isotopologue ratio and mixing ratios of N₂O, respectively, with the subscript “0” referring to the initial value before decomposition (i.e. in the troposphere). \(\varepsilon_{\text{sinks}}\)² is the apparent fractionation constant between N₂O and the overall destruction processes, which is related to the overall rate constant of the decomposition reaction involving heavy (\(k_h\)) and light (\(k_l\)) N₂O isotopologues and binds the isotopic composition of N₂O and the products:

\[
15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}} = \frac{k_h}{k_l} - 1 = \frac{\delta^{15}N(\text{products})-\delta^{15}N(N_2O)}{1+\delta^{15}N(N_2O)}
\]  

(A2)

Isotopic fractionation of N₂O during photo-dissociation and oxidation-reduction have been studied intensively (Johnston et al., 1995; Rahn and Wahlen, 1997; Miller and Yung, 2000; Röckmann et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2000; Kaiser et al., 2002b, 2003b), and these studies yielded a high quality dataset to estimate the ¹⁵N composition of the N₂O decomposition products.

For Reaction (R1), the process controlling the N₂O concentration, but exerting no impact on stratospheric NO isotopic composition, laboratory experiments give a range of ¹⁵ε_R1 between −48.5‰ and −34.8‰ at 233 K (Röckmann et al., 2001; Kaiser et al., 2002b; Toyoda et al., 2004). Kaiser et al. (2002a) showed that the isotopic fractionation constant for Reaction (R2) reactions is ¹⁵ε_R2=k(¹⁵N⁴NO)/k(¹⁴N⁴NO)=1=−5.5‰, and is insensitive to temperature and pressure. Reaction (R2a) is the main source of NO₃ in the stratosphere and explains the correlation observed between NO₃ and N₂O concentration in the stratosphere (Muscari et al., 2003). Therefore, only this branch (Reaction R2a) needs to be considered here to estimate the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N of stratospheric NO₃. Based on transition state theory, Kaiser et al. (2002a) have estimated, the isotopic fractionation constant of the Reaction (R2a) exit channels. This reaction is characterized by a ¹⁵ε_R2a of −8.1‰. Rearranging equation Eq. (A2), and applying it to Reaction (R2a) gives:

\[
\delta^{15}N(NO) = \delta^{15}N(N_2O)(1 + 15 \varepsilon_{R2a}) + 15 \varepsilon_{R2a}
\]

≈ \(\delta^{15}N(N_2O) + 15 \varepsilon_{R2a}\)  

(A3)

Since \(|15 \varepsilon_{R2a}|\) is small compared to \(|15 \varepsilon_{R1}|\) and since Reaction (R2a) represents only 6 to 9% of the total N₂O sinks (Fahey et al., 1990; Muscari et al., 2003), \(\delta^{15}N(N_2O)\) in the stratosphere is mainly controlled by Reaction (R1) and not by Reaction (R2a). From Eq. (A3), it appears that the isotopic composition of NO in the stratosphere closely parallels N₂O nitrogen isotopic profile for all \(\delta^{15}N(N_2O)\) observed but shifted by −8.1‰. Combining Eq. (A1) and Eq. (A3), an analytic expression for \(\delta^{15}N(\text{NO})\) as a function of fractionation constants and N₂O mixing ratio is obtained:

\[
\frac{\delta^{15}N(NO) + 1}{\delta^{15}N(N_2O)_0 + 1} = \left(1 + 15 \varepsilon_{R2a}\right) \frac{\mu(N_2O)}{\mu(N_2O)_0}^{15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}}}
\]

(A4)

Defining \(f=\mu(N_2O)/\mu(N_2O)_0\), the isotopic composition of accumulated NO for any given \(f\) (i.e. altitude or N₂O mixing ratio) is obtained by integrating Eq. (A4):

\[
\frac{\delta^{15}N(NO) + 1}{\delta^{15}N(N_2O)_0 + 1} = \left(1 + 15 \varepsilon_{R2a}\right) f^{15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}}} \frac{df}{f} = \frac{15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}}}{f}\int_1^f \frac{df}{f}^{15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}}}
\]

(A5)

After integration and rearrangement, we have:

\[
\frac{\delta^{15}N(NO)}{\delta^{15}N(N_2O)_0} = \frac{1}{1 - f} = \frac{1 - 15 \varepsilon_{\text{sinks}}}{1 - f} - 1
\]

(A6)
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Table S1: Stable isotopic compositions of nitrate aerosols found BU and SF filters. The former filters collect aerosols with a cutoff dynamic diameter lower than 1 μm, the latter above 1 μm. All isotopic values are reported against their respective international standard. δ¹⁸O’s obtained by two different analytical techniques (i.e. catalytic decomposition of N₂O on gold and the denitrifier N₂O method) are also reported. The following database was merged in mass weighted average seasonal isotopic signals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Concentration (ng/m³, STP)</th>
<th>δ¹⁸Osnow/‰ (N₂O decomp.)</th>
<th>δ¹⁸Osnow/‰ (N₂O decomp.)</th>
<th>δ¹⁵N_ditr/‰ (N₂O denitrifier)</th>
<th>δ¹⁵Osnow/‰ (N₂O denitrifier)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BU</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>BU</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>BU</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/01</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/01/01</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/01/01</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/02/01</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/02/01</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/03/01</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/03/01</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/04/01</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>89.2</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/04/01</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>76.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/05/01</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>74.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/05/01</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/05/01</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/06/01</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/07/01</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/07/01</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>97.1</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/08/01</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/08/01</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>112.3</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/08/01</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>101.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/09/01</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/09/01</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>91.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/01</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/10/01</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02/11/01</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/11/01</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/11/01</td>
<td>114.7</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>68.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/12/01</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>67.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/12/01</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S1: Time-series comparison of $\delta^{18}O$, $\Delta^{17}O$ and $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$ found on the backup fiber glass filter (BU) and on slotted fiber glass filters (SF). No fundamental differences in the seasonal trend exist between the two categories of filters. Consequently, we merged SF and BU signals in one weighted average seasonal time series.