CONGRESSUS NUMERANTIUM

VOLUME 55

DECEMBER, 1986

WINNIPEG, CANADA

DOES PRIMITIVITY ON LINES IMPLY PRIMITIVITY ON POINTS

by

Spyros S. Magliveras, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, U.S.A.
Lino Di Martino, University of Milano, Italy
Johannes Siemons, University of East Anglia, U.K.

Abstract

Let D=(P,L,I) be a design with points P, lines L, and incidence I. Assume that G is a group of automorphisms of D acting primitively, of rank-3 on L, and transitively, rank-3 on P. Assume further that the characters of the actions G|P, G|L are X_P and X_L with $X_P=X_L=1+\chi+\psi$, where χ , and ψ are irreducibles. We show that almost always the action G|P is also primitive. The argument uses an appropriate commuting algebra C for G to show that, except for the case where a specific numeric condition holds, a certain matrix in C is invertible. It is believed that the argument can be generalized to arbitrary rank for G|L.

Introduction

If $G|\Omega$ is a group action, we denote by $\nu(G|\Omega)$ the number of G—orbits on Ω . Thus, $G|\Omega$ is transitive if and only if $\nu(G|\Omega) = 1$. If $G|\Omega$ is transitive, then the rank $\rho(G|\Omega)$ is defined to be the number $\nu(G|\Omega \times \Omega)$ of G—orbits on $\Omega \times \Omega$. Thus, for $|\Omega| > 1$, $\rho(G|\Omega) > 2$, and $\rho(G|\Omega) = 2$ if and only if $G|\Omega$ is doubly transitive.

If $G|\Omega$ is a transitive action, a non-empty set $\Delta\subseteq\Omega$ is called a block of imprimitivity if and only if $\Delta^g\cap\Delta=\Delta$ or \emptyset for each $g\in G$. A transitive action $G|\Omega$ is called primitive if and only if the only blocks of imprimitivity are the singleton subsets $\{x\}$, $x\in\Omega$, and Ω itself. If $G|\Omega$ is 2-transitive, then it is primitive. $G|\Omega$ is primitive if and only if the stabilizer G is a maximal subgroup of G.

By a <u>design</u> we mean an incidence structure D = (P,L,I) which is a t-design for $t \ge 2$ [1]. Here, P is the set of points, L the set of lines, and I ($\subseteq P \times L$) the incidence between points and lines. If G is an automorphism group of a design D = (P,L,I), then two analogs of Fisher's inequality hold. The first is that

$$v(G|P) < v(G|L) \tag{1}$$

Thus, if G|L is transitive, so is G|P. The second analog is that if G|L is transitive, then:

$$\rho(G|P) \leq \rho(G|L) \tag{2}$$

A consequence of (2) is, of course, that if G is 2-transitive on lines, then it must be 2-transitive on points.

The rank-3 case

The question has been frequently asked: does primitivity on lines imply primitivity on points? There are some theorems known [1] about when primitivity of G|P can be deduced from other conditions, but the general question is still open. The rank-3 case which we investigate here was proposed by A. Wagner whom we thank for many inspiring discussions. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem: Suppose that G is a group of automorphisms of the design D = (P,L,I) acting primitively and of rank-3 on L. Assume, furthermore, that in case $\rho(G|P)=3$, the characters χ_P , χ_L of the actions G|P, G|L satisfy $\chi_P=\chi_L=1+\chi+\psi$, where χ , ψ are irreducible characters of G. Then, G|P is almost always primitive.

Proof: If $\rho(G|P)=2$ then G is doubly transitive on points and therefore primitive. Suppose now that $\rho(G|P)=3$, and $\chi(1)\leq \psi(1)$. If we were to suppose that G|P is not primitive, then for $p\in P$, $G_p \nleq H \nleq G$ for some subgroup H of G and there is a non-trivial system of imprimitivity $B=\{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_r\}$ in the action G|P, with 1< r< v, r|v, and $H=G_{\beta}$. Since $G_p \nleq H$, the character of G|B is $\chi_B=1+\chi$ and $r=1+\chi(1)$ divides $\psi(1)$. It follows that $\chi(1)<\psi(1)$ and $\chi\neq\psi$.

Since $X_B = 1 + \chi$, $X_P = X_L = 1 + \chi + \psi$, we have that $(X_B, X_P) = (X_B, X_L) = (X_B, X_B) = 2$ and $(X_P, X_P) = (X_P, X_L) = (X_L, X_L) = 3$. This implies, among other, that G is doubly transitive on B, hence |G| is even, that G has exactly two orbits A and Θ on L \times B, and exactly three orbits I, Δ , Γ on L \times L. Here, I is the diagonal orbit in L \times L and since |G| is even, Δ and Γ are symmetric orbitals $(\Delta^{-1} = \Gamma)$.

Each of Λ and Θ define an incidence structure between L and B, while I, Δ , Γ define graphs with vertex set L. We identify Λ , Θ , I,

 Δ , Γ with the incidence (adjacency) matrices of the corresponding incidence structures or graphs. As matrices Λ , Θ are of size $v \times r$, while I, Δ , Γ are of size $v \times v$. We let k, ℓ , λ , μ , d, s, t, f, g be the rank-3 parameters [3] of the graph defined by Δ . For lines ℓ ₀, ℓ ₁, ℓ ₂ such that (ℓ_0,ℓ_1) ϵ Δ and (ℓ_0,ℓ_2) ϵ Γ we define the integer parameters $h_0 = |\Lambda(\ell_0)|$, $h_1 = |\Lambda(\ell_0)|$ \cap $\Lambda(\ell_1)|$ and $h_2 = |\Lambda(\ell_0)|$ \cap $\Lambda(\ell_2)|$. Then,

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{h}_0 \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{h}_1 \Delta + \mathbf{h}_2 \mathbf{\Gamma} \tag{3}$$

and,

$$rank(\Lambda \Lambda^{T}) \le rank(\Lambda) \le r \le v$$
 (4)

If we can show that \mathbf{M}^T is nonsingular, then $\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{M}^T) = \mathbf{v}$, and we would have shown that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{v}$, a contradiction to $\mathbf{G}_p \neq \mathbf{H}$. We normalize, and consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{M} = (1/h_0)\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{x}\Delta + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{\Gamma}$$
 (5)

where, $0 \le y = (h_2/h_0) \le x = (h_1/h_0) \le 1$. Furthermore, we seek conditions under which an inverse for M exists in the commuting algebra $C = \{aI + b\Delta + c\Gamma : a,b,c \in Q\}$.

Recall that $\mathbf{I} + \Delta + \Gamma = \mathbf{J}$, the all 1's $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{v}$ matrix, and that $\Delta \Delta^T = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{I} + \lambda \Delta + \mu \Gamma$. Thus, $\Delta^2 = \Delta \Delta^T = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{I} + \lambda \Delta + \mu (\mathbf{J} - \Delta - \mathbf{I}) = (\mathbf{k} - \mu)\mathbf{I} + (\lambda - \mu)\Delta + \mu \mathbf{J}$. We further have that $\Delta \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}\Delta = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{J}$, and $\mathbf{J}^2 = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{J}$. Now, changing bases from $\{\mathbf{I}, \Delta, \Gamma\}$ to $\{\mathbf{I}, \Delta, J\}$ yields $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{x}\Delta + \mathbf{y}(\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{I} - \Delta) = (1 - \mathbf{y})\mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\Delta + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{J}$.

Since in any non-trivial case $y \neq 1$, we normalize again and seek an inverse for $\textbf{M}^* = (1/1-y)\textbf{M} = \textbf{I} + \textbf{u}\Delta + \textbf{w}\textbf{J}$ in C, where

$$u = (x-y)/(1-y)$$
, and $w = y/(1-y)$ (6)

There exist a,b,c ε Q such that

$$(I + u\Delta + wJ)(aI + b\Delta + cJ) = I$$
 (7)

if and only if aI + b Δ + cJ + ua Δ + ub Δ^2 + uc Δ J + waJ + wbJ Δ + wcJ 2 = I from which we get (a + bu(k- μ))I + (b + ua + ub(λ - μ)) Δ + (c + ub μ + uck + wbk + wa + wcv)J = I. Since I, Δ , and J form a basis for C over Q we have:

a +
$$u(k-\mu)b$$
 + $0c = 1$
ua + $(1 + u(\lambda-\mu))b$ + $0c = 0$ (8)
wa + $(u\mu + wk)b$ + $(1 + uk + wv)c = 0$

M fails to have an inverse in C if and only if the determinant of the coefficients in system (8) vanishes, which is equivalent to

$$(1 + uk + wv)((\mu - k)u^{2} + (\lambda - \mu)u + 1) = 0$$
 (9)

Now, u, w, k, v are all non-negative, hence (9) can hold iff

$$(\mu - k)u^{2} + (\lambda - \mu)u + 1 = 0$$
 (10)

Since $k > \mu$, we have that $\sqrt{d} = \sqrt{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + 4(k - \mu)} > |\lambda - \mu|$ therefore, $(\mu - \lambda + \sqrt{d})/2(\mu - k) < 0$, and the only possible solution u > 0 to equation (10) is

$$u = (\mu - \lambda - \sqrt{d})/2(\mu - k) = s/(k - \mu)$$
 (11)

where s is the positive eigenvalue of Δ [3]. Since $u=(h_1-h_2)/(h_0-h_2)$ we have proved that G|P is primitive unless $(h_0-h_2)(k-\mu)=s(h_1-h_2)$.

References

- [1] Dembowski, P., Finite Geometries, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 44, Springer Verlag, 1968
- [2] Gorenstein, D., Finite Groups, Harper and Row, N.Y. (1968).
- [3] Higman, D.G., Finite permutation groups of rank 3, Math. Zeitschrift 186, (1964) 145-156.
- [4] Hughes, D.R., Collineations and generalized incidence matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 86, 284-296.
- [5] Kantor, W.M., Automorphism groups of designs, Math. Zeitschrift 71, (1968)
- [6] Parker, E.T., On Collineations of symmetric designs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, (1957) 350-351.
- [7] Wielandt, H., Finite Permutation Groups, Academic Press, N.Y. (1964).