The British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Resistance Surveillance Project: methods and limitations

Michael Allen^{1,2}*, Rosy Reynolds^{2,3}, Shazad Mushtaq (p) ⁴, Olisaeloka Nsonwu⁵, Russell Hope (p) ⁵, Carolyne Horner², Christopher Longshaw (p) ^{2,6}, Benjamin J. Parcell^{7,8} and David M. Livermore^{4,9}

¹Medical Affairs, MSD (UK) Limited, 120 Moorgate, London EC2M 6UR, UK; ²British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 53 Regent Place, Birmingham B1 3NJ, UK; ³Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2PS, UK; ⁴Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit, UK Health Security Agency, Colindale, London NW9 5EQ, UK; ⁵Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Division, UK Health Security Agency, Colindale, London NW9 5EQ, UK; ⁶Scientific Affairs, Shionogi B.V., Fifty Paddington, 50 Eastbourne Terrace, Paddington W2 6LG, UK; ⁷Division of Population Health and Genomics, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee DD1 9SY, UK; ⁸Department of Medical Microbiology, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee DD1 9SY, UK; ⁹Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK

*Corresponding author. E-mail: mike.allen@msd.com

Objectives: The BSAC Bacteraemia and Respiratory Resistance Surveillance Programmes provided long-term surveillance of antibiotic resistance in key pathogens of bloodstream and both community- and hospital-acquired respiratory infections in the UK and Ireland. This paper details the methodologies used. Data limitations are discussed.

Methods: Sentinel laboratories across the UK and Ireland contributed up to a fixed annual quota of isolates of defined bacterial groups. For each Programme, a Central Laboratory confirmed bacterial identifications, measured MICs by the BSAC agar dilution method, investigated mechanisms of resistance and determined serotypes of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Identification methods evolved over time, e.g. with adoption of MALDI-TOF. Classification of susceptibility and resistance follows the 2022 (not contemporaneous) EUCAST guidance.

Results: Seventy-nine laboratories contributed 30716 community respiratory isolates from 1999/2000 to 2018/19; 65 laboratories contributed 13508 hospital respiratory isolates from 2008/09 to 2018/19; 81 laboratories contributed 56064 bacteraemia isolates from 2001 to 2019. Although large and teaching hospitals were over-represented, the resistance rates for bacteraemia organisms collected in England mirror more extensive (but less standardized or detailed) national data gathered from laboratories by the UK Health Security Agency and its predecessor organizations, which provided a bespoke data extract.

Conclusions: These surveillance Programmes have provided comprehensive and reliable information on antibiotic susceptibility in the UK and Ireland over two decades. Detailed results, showing resistance trends and mechanisms of antibiotic resistance, are presented in five papers in this Supplement.

Introduction

The BSAC Resistance Surveillance Project, initiated in 1999, addressed concerns about rising antibiotic resistance and the paucity of longitudinal surveillance. ¹⁻³ It comprised Programmes for respiratory and bloodstream pathogens, generating quantitative susceptibility data and information regarding mechanisms of antibiotic resistance for the UK and Ireland, and was guided by the BSAC Working Party (later Standing Committee) on Resistance Surveillance.

The Respiratory Programme ran from October 1999 to September 2019. It initially focused on the three 'typical' bacterial agents of community-associated lower respiratory tract infections

(CA-LRTI), namely, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae and Moraxella catarrhalis, collecting these species during winter 'seasons' from October to April. From 2008/09 onwards, the scope widened to include the major pathogens of hospital-acquired lower respiratory tract infection (HA-LRTI), with the collection periods extended to full years, still starting each October. The Bacteraemia Programme commenced in 2001 and continued through 2019. It collected the pathogens found, by national public health surveillance, to cause most bacteraemias.

This paper describes the methods used to (i) collect isolates, (ii) confirm their identification and assess their antibiotic susceptibility, (iii) characterize resistance mechanisms or strain types, (iv) serotype

S. pneumoniae and (v) analyse data. The challenges of undertaking the programmes and the limitations of the data are discussed. Full results are presented elsewhere in this Supplement. ^{4–8} The isolates collected and data generated are now held as a bioresource for further research, available via the NHS Tayside Biorepository in Dundee. ⁹

Materials and methods

Design

Both Programmes were sentinel surveillances. Selected collecting laboratories (Tables 1 and 2) sent isolates to a central laboratory for detailed testing. From 1999/2000 to 2012/13, the Central Laboratory for the Respiratory Programme was GR Micro in London (later Quotient Bioresearch Ltd, then LGC, Fordham, UK). From 2013/2014, coordination of this programme moved to the Health Protection Agency (later Public Health England, now UK Health Security Agency, UKHSA) Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare-Associated Infections Reference Unit (AMRHAI). AMRHAI was the central laboratory for the BSAC Bacteraemia Programme throughout its lifetime from 2001 to 2019.

Collecting laboratories were selected by the Central Laboratory for each Programme to give good geographical coverage of the UK and Ireland, with a range of catchments (urban/rural, teaching/non-teaching hospitals, more/less socially deprived). If a laboratory withdrew at the end of a collection period, it was either replaced by a laboratory nearby or serving a similar population. If a site withdrew mid-period, or failed to collect isolates, the Programme ran with fewer sites, with a replacement sought for the next collection year.

Funding was raised by the BSAC from pharmaceutical company sponsors (see Results and discussion and Acknowledgements). The Society called for tenders for the execution of each Programme at initiation, with a re-tendering in 2013. Central Laboratories were appointed based upon detailed project plans and costings, as submitted to the Society. These plans were then further developed and reviewed annually by the BSAC, which funded a part-time surveillance co-ordinator throughout.

Collection of isolates

The target numbers of collecting laboratories, quotas and total collection targets per season/year by period and organism collection group for the

Table 1. Numbers of collecting laboratories, isolate quotas and collection targets per species or organism collection group by year

(A) Community-associated Annual collection	Target	Each of S. pneu H. influe		M. catar	rhalis
Periods	N of centres	Quota/lab	Target	Quota/lab	Target
1999/00-2007/08°	20	50	1000	25	500
2008/09-2009/10 ^b	20	25	500	13	260
2010/11-2014/15 ^b	40	14	560	7	280
2015/16-2018/19 ^b	25	20	500	10	250
(B) Hospital-acquired LRTI					
(B) Hospital-acquired LRTI		Enterobac	tarales	Each of S. aureus,	

Annual collection	Target	Enterobac	terales	spp. and Acinet	
Periods	N of centres	Quota/lab	Target	Quota/lab	Target
2008/09-2009/10 ^b	20	50	1000	13	260
2010/11-2014/15 ^b	40	28	1120	7	280
2015/16-2018/19 ^b	25	40	1000	10	250

Bacteraen	

	Target	Each of <i>E. coli</i> a	ınd S. aureus	Each other colle	ection group ^d
Annual collection periods	N of centres	Quota/lab	Target	Quota/lab	Target
2001-07 ^c	25	10	250	10	250
2008-09 ^c	25	20	500	10	250
2010–15 ^c	40	14	560	7	280
2016-19 ^c	25	20	500	10	250

^aCollection periods, October-April.

^bCollection periods, October–September.

^cCalendar years, January–December.

 $^{^{}m d}$ Bacteraemia surveillance included 11 separate collection groups other than *E. coli* and *S. aureus: Klebsiella, Enterobacter*, Proteeae, *Pseudomonas*, other Gram-negative bacteria (2001–07), *Serratia* (2008–19), CoNS, *Enterococcus*, *S. pneumoniae*, other α-haemolytic streptococci and β-haemolytic streptococci. (*Serratia* were collected as part of the mixed 'other Gram-negative bacteria' group until 2007 and as a single genus from 2008).



Table 2. Numbers of laboratories that contributed isolates, by country

Annual collection	Target		Number of lo	aboratories contributi	ng (range)	
Period	N of centres	Ireland	N. Ireland	Scotland	Wales	England
CA-LRTI						
1999/00-2009/10	20	2-3	1-2	2-4	2	12-13
2010/11-2014/15	40	4	1	2-4	1-3	25-30
2015/16-2018/19	25	2	2	2	1	17-18
HA-LRTI						
2008/09-2009/10	20	3	1	4	2	11-12
2010/11-2014/15	40	4	1	2-4	1-3	24-30
2015/16-2018/19	25	2	2	2	1	17
Bacteraemia						
2001-09	25	2	1-2	2	2	17
2010-15	40	4	1-3	2-3	1-3	25-31
2016–19	25	2	2	2	1	17-18

Bacteraemia and Respiratory Programmes are detailed in Table 1. Initially, both Programmes used 20–25 sentinel laboratories separately selected by the two central laboratories, with only partial overlap between the collecting laboratories contributing to each of the two Programmes. From 2009/10 (Respiratory) and 2010 (Bacteraemia), the collecting laboratories were increased to 40 and, from 2013/14 and 2014, were standardized across both Programmes. Finally, from 2015 to 2016 (Respiratory) and 2016 (Bacteraemia), the number of collecting laboratories was reduced to 25, partly owing to financial pressure and partly because laboratory mergers meant that many sites were collecting isolates from multiple hospital trusts. The distribution and number of collecting laboratories by country and by collection period are detailed for both Programmes in Table 2.

Isolates were collected as consecutive, clinically significant and non-duplicate. Clinical significance was adjudged by a clinical microbiologist at the collecting laboratory (i.e. present in such numbers, or numbers of blood culture bottles, to indicate infection). Duplicate isolates were defined as of the same species, from the same patient and body site, within 7 days or, from 2006/07 (Respiratory) and 2008 (Bacteraemia), 14 days.

BSAC Respiratory Programme

From 1999/00 to 2018/19, the Respiratory Programme collected 'typical' CA-LRTI species (i.e. *S. pneumoniae*, *H. influenzae* and *M. catarrhalis*) from patients with confirmed or presumed LRTI in community settings or hospitalised for ≤48 h. The collection period ran for a 'winter season' between 1 October and 30 April until 2007/08. From 2008/09, this was extended to 1 October until 30 September, reflecting the addition of HA-LRTI, which is less seasonal. The HA-LRTI component collected defined numbers of isolates per season for *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Acinetobacter* spp. and Enterobacterales, then known as Enterobacteriaceae¹⁰ (Table 1) from patients with confirmed or presumed LRTI who had been hospitalized for >48 h. Isolates from patients living with cystic fibrosis were excluded from both the CA-LRTI and HA-LRTI surveillances.

BSAC Bacteraemia Programme

The BSAC Bacteraemia surveillance initially sought 250 isolates per species group annually from 25 laboratories, rising to 280 isolates from 40 laboratories from 2010 to 2015 inclusive (Table 1). For *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, the target totals were doubled from 2008. From 2016 to 2019, the number of collecting laboratories was reduced to 25. A mixed 'other Gram-negative' group was included until 2007 but was dominated by *Serratia* spp. and was replaced with a specific *Serratia* group thereafter. Isolates of *K.*

aerogenes were known and collected as Enterobacter aerogenes (i.e. within Enterobacter quotas) until 2018 but thereafter in the Klebsiella quota, reflecting revised taxonomy. To assess the representativeness of the collected data for bacteraemia, a comparison was undertaken using a bespoke extract generated by the UKHSA retrospectively (after the BSAC Bacteraemia Programme closed), from their voluntary bacteraemia surveillance for England. The UKHSA has no parallel scheme for LRTI, so similar validation could not be undertaken.

Patient characteristics

Only limited anonymized information was collected for patient context at the time of sampling, including age, sex, care setting, hospital speciality and probable source of infection. Details are provided in Table 3.

Storage and transport of isolates

Whilst the Respiratory Programme was run by GR Micro and its successors, there was a requirement for isolates to be stored frozen using a bead/cryovial system (Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Wirral, UK), or similar. For the Bacteraemia Programme throughout and the Respiratory Programme from 2013/14 onwards, collecting laboratories stored isolates using local methods in suitable media at or below -70°C for up to 12 months or at -20°C for shorter periods compatible with very high rates of recovery (≤ 2 months for S. pneumoniae and H. influenzae).

Before transport to a Central Laboratory, thawed isolates were subcultured to non-selective media to give luxuriant overnight growth. This was transferred to agar slopes or suspended in a transport medium and sent to the relevant Central Laboratory in compliance with prevailing transport regulations. 13,14 At the Central Laboratory, isolates were stored at or below -70° C in blood glycerol broth, or by other agreed established methods giving a high long-term recovery (e.g. storage on beads at -70° C).

Microbiological methods

Bacterial identification

All microbiological testing was performed centrally. From 1999/00 to 2012/13, respiratory isolates were tested by GR Micro and their successors. Subsequently, until the Project's end, respiratory isolates were tested at the AMRHAI. Bacteraemia isolates were tested at the AMRHAI throughout.

On receipt at the Central Testing Laboratory, isolates were sub-cultured to non-selective media and checked for purity. Those not meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria were discarded, with replacements sought. The methodology

Table 3. Patient context at time of sample

(A) Community-asso	ciated lower re	espiratory infection 1999/00-20	18/19	
Information		Seasons	Categories	% complete
Age		All	Years; grouped for analysis	>99%
Sex		All	Male/female	>99%
Care setting ^b		All	Community settings ^c	>97% ^d
			Hospital settings (≤48 h since admission) ^c	
Specimen type		All	E.g. sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage	>98% ^e
ICU speciality		2013/14-2018/19	Intensive/critical care (ICU)—yes/no	76%-90% ^f
(B) Hospital-acquired	lower respirat	tory infection 2008/09–2018/19		
Information	Seasons		Categories	% complete
Age	All	Years; grouped for analysis		>99%
Sex	All	Male/female		>99%
Care setting ^h	All	Hospital >48 h since admission	on	>99%
Specimen type	All	E.g. sputum and bronchoalve	olar lavage	>99%
Hospital speciality	2008/09- 2014/15	Accident and emergency; card	diovascular; care of the elderly; high dependency (HDU); general medicine; ensive/critical care; nephrology; paediatrics; surgery; 'other'	96%-98% ⁱ
ICU speciality ^j	All	Intensive/critical care (ICU)—		94%-99% ⁱ
(C) Bacteraemia 200	1–19			
Information	Years		Categories	% complete
Age	All	Years (grouped for analysis)		>98%
Sex	All	Male/female		>99%
Care setting	2002–19 ^l	Community and outpatient se Hospital ≤48 h since admis Hospital >48 h since admis	ssion	>97%
Hospital speciality ^m	2003–13		diovascular; care of the elderly; general medicine; haematology/oncology; prology; paediatrics; surgery; 'other'	96%-99% ⁿ
ICU speciality ^{o,p}	2003-19	Intensive/critical care (ICU)—	33.1	91%-99% ^p
Probable source of infection ^q	2001–13	respiratory tract; gastrointe	ong urinary catheters); lines and devices (excluding urinary catheters); estinal/intra-abdominal; skin and soft tissue (including wounds but not ocarditis; surgical site wounds; cerebrospinal fluid; 'other'	53%-74% ^r

^aPercentages calculated annually, for all CA-LRTI isolates combined.

^bThe CA-LRTI protocol excluded samples taken >48 h since hospital admission but occasional (<0.1%) non-compliant isolates remain in dataset (2014 and later).

^{&#}x27;The CA-LRTI surveillance counted hospital outpatients as 'hospital' until 2012/13 and as 'community' from 2013/14.

^d97.8% in 2002/03 due to one centre confirming only that its 47 isolates were compliant (i.e. not hospital >48 h after admission); otherwise >99%.

^eMean 99.9%, after excluding 1999/00 when one centre confirmed only that its 91 isolates were from lower respiratory sources.

^fCompleteness among isolates from hospital settings only.

⁹Percentages calculated annually, for all HA-LRTI isolates combined.

 $^{^{}h}$ The HA-LRTI protocol excluded samples taken ≤48 h since hospital admission or in community settings but very occasional (<0.1%) non-compliant isolates remain in dataset (2015/16 and later).

iMean 97%

^jIntensive/critical care units; does not include other high-dependency units or beds.

^kPercentages calculated annually, for all bacteraemia isolates combined. Data completeness for some variables, particularly speciality and focus of infection, varied by organism group.

¹Care setting was also recorded in 2001, but only 84% complete.

^mData for speciality/ICU from 2001 to 2002 are excluded as discrepant; data collection forms were improved from 2003 onwards. Hospital speciality was recorded in nine defined categories (plus 'other') until 2013. Free text entries were accepted in addition in 2014–15 but were too disparate for meaningful analysis apart from ICU/non-ICU.

ⁿOverall, 2% missing and 6% recorded as 'other'.

[°]From 2016, speciality categories were simplified to intensive/critical care units (ICUs) and all other units (including community and outpatient settings).

PCompleteness for ICU speciality remained ≥96% until 2015, before declining to reach 91% in 2019.

^qRecorded in eight defined categories (plus 'other') until 2013. The inclusion of free text entries in 2014–15 did not increase data completeness or intelligibility. Data not sought after 2015.

^rOverall, 38% missing and 3% 'other'. Of those with data, 88% were in the five categories first named.



Table 4. Methods for microbial identification by surveillance Programme, organism group and period

Organism/group	Period	Method
(A) Respiratory Programme CA-LRTI		
S. pneumoniae	1999/00-2012/13	Gram-positive diplococci, growing as α -haemolytic sometimes umbonate or mucoid colonies on horse blood agar. Catalase negative with a positive optochin test and/or bile solubility test
	2013/14-2018/19	Optochin sensitivity and bile solubility
H. influenzae	1999/00-2010/11	Gram-negative coccobacilli, requiring both X (haematin) and V (NAD) factor to grow on a non-supplemented medium
	2011/12-2012/13 2013/14-2018/19	As above, or MALDI-TOF (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen Germany) MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
M. catarrhalis	1999/00-2010/11	Gram-negative diplococci, producing whitish/grey colonies on horse blood or chocolate horse blood agar. Oxidase positive, butyrate esterase positive
	2011/12-2012/13 2013/14-2018/19	As above, or MALDI-TOF (Bruker) MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
HA-LRTI		
E. coli	2008/09-2010/11 2011/12-2012/13	API20E (bioMérieux, Basingstoke, UK) As above, or MALDI-TOF
	2013/14-2018/19	Pink growth on CHROMagar™ Orientation (CHROMagar, Paris, France) and/or Brilliance UTI Clarity Agar (Oxoid/Thermo Fisher Scientific). Alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
Enterobacterales ^a other than <i>E. coli</i>	2008/09-2010/11	API20E (bioMérieux)
	2011/12-2012/13 2013/14-2018/19	As above, or MALDI-TOF MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
Pseudomonas	2008/09-2010/11 2011/12-2012/13	API20NE (bioMérieux) API20NE (bioMérieux), alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
	2013/14-2018/19	MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
Acinetobacter	2008/09-2010/11 2011/12-2012/13	API20NE (bioMérieux) API20NE (bioMérieux); alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
	2013/14-2016/17	PCR for bla_{OXA-51} to identify A. $baumannii$. If negative, then MALDI-TOF (Bruker) or $rpoB$ sequencing 16
	2017/18-2018/19	PCR for <i>bla</i> _{OXA-51} to identify <i>A. baumannii</i> . ¹⁵ If negative, then MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
S. aureus	2008/09-2010/11	White or yellow colonies on horse blood or chocolate horse blood agar. Catalase positive, positive agglutination with staphylococcal latex, (Oxoid/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Basingstoke, UK). DNase production
	2011/12-2012/13	As above. Alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
	2013/14-2018/19	MALDI-TOF (Bruker) in conjunction with CHROMagar™ <i>Staphylococcus</i> /chromogenic media (BioConnections, Knypersley, UK). Alternatively, coagulase tests
(B) Bacteraemia Programme Gram-positive BSI		
S. aureus	2001–11	Coagulase test and chromogenic media (Oxoid/Thermo Fisher, Basingstoke, UK)
	2012–13 2014–19	As above. Alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker) MALDI-TOF (Bruker) in conjunction with CHROMagar™ <i>Staphylococcus</i> (BioConnections, Knypersley, UK); alternatively, coagulase tests
CoNS ^{b,c}	2001–05	Coagulase test and PCR to identify species ¹⁷
	2006–12 2013–19	Coagulase test, CHROMagar™ <i>Staphylococcus</i> /chromogenic media MALDI-TOF (Bruker), to identify species, in conjunction with <i>Staphylococcal</i> CHROMagar (manufactured by CHROMagar, distributed by BioConnections, Knypersley, UK)/chromogenic media and coagulase tests

Continued

Table 4. Continued

Organism/group	Period	Method
Enterococci ^d	2001–11 2012–13 2014–19	PCR for <i>ddI</i> and biochemical tests ¹⁸ PCR for <i>ddI</i> and biochemical tests. ¹⁸ Alternatively, MALDI-TOF MALDI-TOF
S. pneumoniae	2001–13 2014–16 2017–19	Optochin sensitivity, bile solubility Optochin sensitivity, bile solubility if optochin-resistant WGS if isolate also received by UKHSA reference service Otherwise, as above
lpha- and non-Haemolytic streptococci	2001–16	ID32 STREP kits (bioMérieux) and additional biochemical tests for definitive identification by the Respiratory and Vaccine Preventable Bacteria Reference Unit (RVPBRU) ¹⁹
	2017-19	MALDI-TOF (Bruker), identifying to species-group level (e.g. 'mitis group')
eta-Haemolytic streptococci	2001–13	Lancefield grouping (Prolex Streptococcal Grouping Latex Kits, Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Merseyside, UK)
	2014–19	MALDI-TOF (Bruker). If Lancefield group could not be inferred from the species identification (e.g. <i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i>), then Lancefield typing (Prolex Streptococcal Grouping Latex Kits, Pro-Lab Diagnostics)
Gram-negative BSI		Streptococcut Grouping Edick Mes, 110 Edib blughostics)
E. coli	2001-02	API20E (bioMérieux)
	2003-11	Pink growth on CHROMagar™ Orientation and/or Brilliance™, UTI Clarity™ Agar (Oxoid/ Thermo Fisher Scientific. Questionable results retested with API20E (bioMérieux)
	2012–19	Pink growth on CHROMagar™ Orientation and/or Brilliance UTI Clarity™ Agar (Oxoid/ Thermo Fisher Scientific. Alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
Enterobacterales other than <i>E. coli</i>	2001-11	API20E (bioMérieux)
	2012-13	API20E (bioMérieux). Alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
	2014-19	MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
Pseudomonas ^e	2001-02	API20NE (bioMérieux)
	2003-11	Blue/green colour on <i>Pseudomonas</i> P/King's A medium (Oxoid/Thermo Fisher) to confirm <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . Others tested with API 20NE (bioMérieux)
	2012	Blue/green colour on <i>Pseudomonas</i> P/King's A medium (Oxoid/Thermo Fisher) to confirm <i>P. aeruginosa</i> . Alternatively, MALDI-TOF (Bruker)
	2013-19	MALDI-TOF (Bruker)

MALDI-TOF was introduced in 2011/12 as an acceptable alternative identification method in the Respiratory Programme for all organisms except *S. pneumoniae* and became standard for most from 2013/14.

for identification (Table 4) evolved during the Project's lifespan. Initially, it primarily involved chromogenic media, API strips and PCR for species identification within CoNS and enterococci. MALDI-TOF (Bruker Biotyper, Bruker, Bremen, Germany) was introduced in 2011/12 as an acceptable alternative identification method in the Respiratory Programme, for all organisms except *S. pneumoniae*, and became standard for most species from 2013/14 in the Respiratory Programme and from 2014 in the Bacteraemia Programme.

Isolates that gave doubtful results or had anomalous antibiograms for their species were re-identified by a second method, generally with API20E or API20NE strips (bioMérieux, Basingstoke, UK) for Gram-negative bacteria, PCR for enterococci and Lancefield typing for β -haemolytic streptococci. Taxonomic changes were retrospectively applied, with isolates assigned according to the taxonomy current in March 2023, as detailed in the List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature. ²⁰

Discrepant identifications, over-quota isolates and mixed cultures

When collecting and Central Laboratory identifications differed, the isolate remained eligible for inclusion under its central laboratory

^aThe HA-LRTI surveillance collected isolates in the order Enterobacterales under the former family name Enterobacteriaceae throughout. The membership of the family Enterobacteriaceae was narrowed when the order Enterobacterales was published in 2016, but collecting laboratories continued to supply the full former range of organisms including, e.g. *Serratia* and members of the former tribe Proteeae (now classified, respectively, in families Yersiniaceae and Morganellaceae within Enterobacterales).

^bCoNS were identified to species level 2001–05 and 2013–19, but not 2006–12.

^cPrimer pairs for the identification of CoNS species were adapted from Gribaldo et al.¹⁷

^dPrimer pairs for the identification of the enterococcal species were adapted from Dutka-Malen et al. ¹⁸

^eAny non-fermenters collected as 'other Gram-negative bacteria' (2001–07) were tested in the same way as *Pseudomonas*.

Table 5. Antibiotics of continued clinical or surveillance interest tested in the BSAC Programmes and duration of testing

			Lower re	spiratory	Lower respiratory tract infections					Bacteraemia	iia	
		Community			Н	Hospital			Gram +		Gram -	ı
Antibiotic	S. pneumoniae	H. influenzae	M. catarrhalis ^a	S. aureus	Enterobacterales	Pseudomonas	Acinetobacter	Staphylococci	Enterococci	i Streptococci	Enterobacterales	Pseudomonas
Amikacin ^b	×	×	×	4	4	4	4	4	×	×	4	4
Amoxicillin ^c	20	20	m	×	11	×	×	×	×	19	19	×
Ampicillin ^c	×	16	4	×	×	×	×	×	19	×	×	×
Carbenicillin ^{c,d,e}	×	×	×	×	×	9	×	×	×	×	×	9
Cefaclor ^c	9	9	٣	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Cefotaxime ^c	20	20	∞	×	11	×	×	×	×	19	18	×
Cefoxitin ^{c,d}	×	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	×	×	19	×
Ceftaroline ^b	٣	٣	Э	М	×	×	×	2	2	2	Э	×
Ceftazidime ^c	×	×	×	×	11	11	11	×	×	×	19	19
Ceftazidime/	×	×	×	×	8	m	М	×	×	×	4	4
avibactam ^b												
Ceftobiprole ^b	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	16	15	15	15	15
Ceftolozane/	2	2	2	2	6	6	6	×	×	7	6	6
tazobactam ^b												
Cefuroxime ^{c,e}	15	15	12	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	13	×
Ciprofloxacin ^c	20	20	18	11	11	11	11	19	15	15	19	19
Clarithromycin ^b	4	4	М	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Clindamycin ^c	20	×	×	11	×	×	×	19	×	19	×	×
Co-amoxiclav ^c	×	20	18	11	11	×	×	×	×	×	19	×
Colistin ^c	×	×	×	×	6	6	6	×	×	×	6	6
Daptomycin ^{b,f}	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	7	7	9	×	×
Ertapenem ^b	×	×	×	×	2	×	×	2	9	11	11	×
Erythromycin ^c	20	16	18	11	×	×	×	19	15	19	×	×
Fusidic acid ^c	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	17	×	×	×	×
Gentamicin ^c	×	×	×	11	11	11	11	19	19	19	19	19
Imipenem ^{b,c}	×	×	×	×	10	10	10	2	13	19	18	18
Imipenem/	×	×	×	×	2	2	2	×	×	2	2	2
relebactam ^b												
Levofloxacin ^{b,9}	3	٣	М	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Linezolid ^b	×	×	×	9	×	×	×	14	14	14	×	×
Meropenem ^{b,c}	×	×	×	×	7	7	7	×	Ж	6	10	10
Minocycline ^{b,c}	6	6	6	7	2	×	2	14	12	12	12	×
Moxifloxacin ^{b,9}	9	9	4	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Mupirocin ^c	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	13	×	×	×	×
Nalidixic acid ^h	×	m	٣	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×	×
Oxacillin ^c	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	19	×	15	×	×
Penicillin ^c	20	×	×	7	×	×	×	15	15	19	×	×
Piperacillin/	×	×	×	2	11	11	11	12	13	13	19	19
tazobactam ^{b,c}												
Rifampicin	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	17	×	×	×	×

Table 5. Continued

			Lower re	spiratory t	Lower respiratory tract infections					Bacteraemia	ia	
		Community			Но	Hospital			Gram +		Gram –	_ (
Antibiotic	S. pneumoniae	H. influenzae	M. catarrhalis ^a	S. aureus		Pseudomonas	Acinetobacter	Staphylococci	Enterococci	Streptococci	Enterobacterales Pseudomonas Acinetobacter Staphylococci Enterococci Streptococci Enterobacterales Pseudomonas	Pseudomona
Fedizolid ^b	×	×	×	2	×	×	×	2	2	2	×	×
Feicoplanin ^c	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	19	19	19	×	×
Tetracycline ^c	20	20	18	11	7	×	6	19	14	19	14	×
Figecycline ^c	6	6	6	2	2	×	2	12	12	12	12	×
Fobramycin ^c	×	×	×	×	9	9	9	×	×	×	9	9
rimethoprim ^c	16	16	4	11	2	×	×	19	×	×	2	×
/ancomycin ^c	×	×	×	11	×	×	×	19	19	19	×	×
Maximum years	20	20	18	11	11	11	11	19	19	19	19	19

Agents tested for a single year are excluded. Exclusions apply where an agent lacks activity against some species within a group; e.g., colistin was tested for 9 years against bloodstream E. coli, Klebsiella and Enterobacter spp. but not against Proteeae or Serratia spp

Data are also available for the following agents, but are of limited value because (i) the agents have been abandoned from development or withdrawn from the UK or (ii) were tested only for a single year (number of years tested): azithromycin (1), BAL30072 (1), BAL30072/meropenem (1), delafloxacin (1), doripenem (7), faropenem (3), gemifloxacin (4), solithromycin (1) and telavancin (7).

The following agents were tested for short periods, and the results on file remain confidential to the sponsoring company or its successor: cethromycin (ABT773, 1), ceftaroline/avibactam (1), lefamulin (1), razupenem (1), cefilavancin (TD1792, 1) and telithromycin (3).

The following agents were tested singly in some years to support interpretation of results for their typical use in combination: avibactam, ceftolozane, clavulanic acid, relebactam and tazobactam. The following agents were tested under non-standard conditions in 1 or 2 years for comparison: cefoxitin at 30°C, ceftobiprole in Columbia/salt agar, oxacillin with 48 h of incubation. Results for the following agents are not available: dalbavancin (2 years, invalid to test on agar).

x: not tested or tested in only 1 year.

^aMICs were not measured for M. catarrhalis in 2001/02 or 2003/04.

^bSponsored by a pharmaceutical company in at least 1 year.

Included in the BSAC protocol without sponsorship in at least 1 year.

¹Tested as an aid to interpretive reading of resistance mechanisms.

Also tested against selected isolates of relevant organisms in further years to aid interpretive reading.

Daptomycin was also tested for 1 year in the Respiratory Programme.

¹Levofloxacin and moxifloxacin were also tested for single years in the Bacteraemia Programme.

^{&#}x27;Nalidixic acid was used to screen for reduced susceptibility to quinolones

identification. Replacements for ineligible isolates were sought, up to the quota, if time remained in the collecting period. If a collecting laboratory submitted more than its quota of isolates, excess isolates were excluded starting with any submitted under other names and then by the most recent isolate. In cases of mild contamination, attempts were made to purify the primary organism. Grossly mixed cultures were discarded; if time remained in the collecting season, a replacement was sought.

Susceptibility testing

MICs were determined by BSAC agar dilution, as summarized in Table S1 (available as Supplementary data at JAC Online). This method remained essentially unchanged throughout the Project. 21 Special conditions applied for a few sponsored antibiotics: in particular, ${\rm Ca}^{2^+}$ -supplemented isotonic agar (Mast Group Ltd, Bootle, UK) was used for daptomycin. Agar plates of 10×10 cm (10×10 inoculation spots) were used by GR Micro and its successors whereas 8.5×12.8 cm plates (8×12 spots) were used by AMRHAI. These contained 50 or 40 mL of agar, respectively.

MICs were read manually at GR Micro and its successors. At AMRHAI, MICs were read using the Sorcerer Image Analysis System, as periodically updated (Perceptive Instruments Ltd, Haverhill, UK), with visual confirmation where required. The density of bacterial suspensions was checked by dilution and spiral plating to ensure the correct inoculum of 10^4 cfu/spot or, for M. catarrhalis with β -lactams, 10^6 cfu/spot. The 'other Gram-negative' collection (2001–07) in the Bacteraemia Programme included occasional anaerobes and Category 3 pathogens; these were tested using Etests (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden; later bioMérieux, Basingstoke, UK). Handling of any Category 3 pathogens received in the 'other Gram-negative' or 'Enterobacterales' groups followed the prevailing health and safety guidance of the UKHSA and its predecessor organizations.

Table 5 lists antibiotics that were tested for at least 2 years and considered by the BSAC to be of continuing clinical or surveillance interest, in some cases for distinguishing resistance types; other agents tested are listed in footnotes. The selection of agents tested each year evolved over time as clinical practice changed or sponsored antibiotics lost exclusivity. Antibiotics were sourced from Sigma-Aldrich (Poole, UK) or, if sponsored, from their manufacturers (see Funding). Antibiotic dilution ranges aimed to give full endpoints and avoid off-scale values (recorded as MIC \leq X mg/L or >X mg/L) so far as possible.

All MICs reported in this Supplement were reviewed against EUCAST v12.0 (2022) criteria. ²² Details of the breakpoints used are provided in the Supplementary data for the five publications of the substantive results in this Supplement. ⁴⁻⁸

Quality assurance of MIC testing

For each collection period, the Central Laboratory measured MICs for internal quality control strains, ²¹ tested in parallel with the collected isolates. Susceptibility results for test isolates were included in the analysis if the results for these controls fell within the range accepted at the time. If a run was rejected based on the failed internal controls, testing was repeated for the rejected antibiotic(s) only.

Detection of mechanisms of resistance and additional typing

 β -Lactamases in fastidious Gram negatives All H. influenzae and M. catarrhalis isolates were tested for β -lactamase with nitrocefin (Becton Dickinson, Wokingham, UK).

ESBLs, AmpC and K1 enzymes Methods for the detection of ESBLs and AmpC enzymes in Enterobacterales were gradually refined but, in general, were applied to all isolates with ceftazidime or cefotaxime MICs≥ 1 mg/L or, pre-2007, with ceftazidime ≥2 mg/L.

ESBL activity was sought by determining MICs of ceftazidime, cefotaxime and cefepime each ± 4 mg/L clavulanate, using BSAC agar dilution or Etests. Cefpirome was used as a substitute for cefepime when cefepime

was unavailable. Swarming Enterobacterales (i.e. *Proteus* spp.) were always tested by Etest. ESBL production was inferred when any (but generally all) of the cephalosporin MICs were reduced ≥8-fold (i.e. ≥3 doubling dilutions) by clavulanate. An exception was made for *Klebsiella oxytoca* isolates considered to be K1 hyperproducers based on the criteria below, as these can give weak false positive results in clavulanate synergy testing with cefotaxime, cefepime or cefpirome (but not ceftazidime).²³

AmpC activity was inferred by (i) testing cefotaxime ±100 mg/L cloxacillin by BSAC agar dilution or (ii) based upon cefotetan resistance, as tested by BSAC agar dilution or with Etests. Copious AmpC production was inferred when the cefotaxime MIC was reduced ≥4-fold (i.e. by ≥2 doubling dilutions) by cloxacillin, so long as this interpretation was compatible with the rest of the antibiogram (i.e. relatively susceptible to cefepime and cefpirome but resistant to ceftazidime, cefotaxime and piperacillin/tazobactam, though with AmpC-derepressed Serratia remaining susceptible to ceftazidime and AmpC-derepressed Morganella morganii to piperacillin/tazobactam).²³

Isolates of *K. oxytoca* with piperacillin/tazobactam MICs \geq 128 mg/L were additionally tested, by BSAC agar dilution, with aztreonam and cefuroxime. High-level resistance to these agents, but not to ceftazidime, together with borderline resistance to cefotaxime, was taken to indicate hyperproduction of K1 β -lactamase.

Carbapenemase From the 2013/14 (Respiratory) and 2014 (Bacteraemia) collections onwards, all carbapenem-non-susceptible Enterobacterales, except Proteeae with inherent borderline resistance to imipenem (MICs 2-4 mg/L), were examined further. Methods were refined over time, notably with the inclusion of a 'phenotypic testing' MIC run. This included MIC determinations for imipenem ±320 mg/L EDTA (on Mueller-Hinton agar, not Iso-Sensitest), temocillin and aztreonam, as well as the cephalosporin/clavulanate and cefotaxime/cloxacillin combinations used to detect ESBL and AmpC activity (above). Metallo-β-lactamase (MBL) production was suspected if the isolate was resistant to cephalosporins, without clavulanate or cloxacillin synergy, but showed ≥8-fold imipenem/EDTA synergy. A Class A carbapenemase (e.g. KPC) was suspected if the isolate was resistant to carbapenems, but the temocillin MIC remained ≤32 mg/L, with no imipenem/EDTA synergy but with (in years where these were tested) imipenem/relebactam and ceftazidime/avibactam synergy. Possible OXA-48-like activity was suspected if the isolate was resistant to at least one carbapenem and lacked imipenem/EDTA synergy, and the temocillin MIC was ≥128 mg/L. These tests guided the use of specific single PCRs to seek carbapenemase genes. ²⁸ From 2017, a multiplex PCR (AusDiagnostics, Mascot, Australia) was used to seek, in parallel, genes for VIM, IMP, SME, OXA-48-like, KPC, NDM, SIM, FRI, IMI, SPM and GES carbapenemases in isolates flagged by phenotypic testing as possible carbapenemase producers.²⁵

Pseudomonas spp. with antibiograms compatible with carbapenemase production (imipenem/meropenem MIC > 16 mg/L and ceftazidime MIC > 64 mg/L) were examined for carbapenemase genes by specific PCR, as for Enterobacterales. ^{28,30} All isolates of Acinetobacter spp., regardless of antibiogram, were examined with a multiplex PCR that sought $bla_{\rm OXA-51}$, as a marker for *Acinetobacter baumannii*, along with the prevalent acquired carbapenemases of the genus: $bla_{\rm OXA-23}$, $bla_{\rm OXA-24/40}$, $bla_{\rm OXA-58}$ and $bla_{\rm OXA-143}$.

Methicillin and mupirocin resistance among staphylococci PCR testing for *mecA* was introduced for all *S. aureus* from 2005 and for all CoNS from 2006. Thereafter, staphylococci were considered 'methicillinresistant' if they were *mecA*-positive irrespective of MIC data; previously categorization was based upon oxacillin MICs. From 2006, *mupA*, encoding high-level mupirocin resistance, was also sought in all staphylococci by PCR. ³³

Serotyping of S. pneumoniae

Serotypes of bloodstream *S. pneumoniae* were determined throughout; those of CA-LRTI pneumococci were determined in the 2005/06 season and continuously from 2013/14 onwards. Methods evolved over time. From 2001 to 2004, bloodstream pneumococci were typed by slide agglutination, using latex antisera (Pneumotest-Latex Kit) and pneumococcal antisera (both from Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark). Subsequently, from 2005, bloodstream isolates were screened with a multiplex ELISA, using Luminex xMAP technology (Bioplex System, Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, UK), with slide agglutination tests if a serotype was not identified.

Alternatively, from 2017, serotypes for most (c. 70%) bloodstream pneumococci were inferred from WGS data, based on the fact that many of these same BSAC-collected isolates (as tracked by sending Laboratory Reference Numbers) were also received and sequenced under ongoing UKHSA surveillance of invasive pneumococcal disease.³⁴ For this WGS, pneumococci were grown on horse blood agar (UKHSA Media Services) and lysed using the Qiagen-recommended method for Gram-positive bacteria (Qiagen, Manchester, UK). Genomic DNA was extracted with a QIAsymphony SP automated instrument (Qiagen) and QIAsymphony DSP DNA Mini Kit, following the protocol for Gram-negative bacteria. DNA concentrations were measured using the Quant-IT Broad Range DNA Kit (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) and GloMax 96 Microplate Luminometer (Promega, Southampton, UK). After adjusting to the required concentration, DNA was sent for WGS by Illumina methodology, using a whole-genome kmer comparison to confirm the species and the PneumoCaT tool to predict the serotype. 35 For bacteraemia isolates collected by the BSAC but not received under UKHSA surveillance (~30%), serotyping continued by classical methodology, as above.

In 2005/06, the serotypes of respiratory *S. pneumoniae* were identified by a two-stage process: first to serogroup level using the Pneumotest-Latex Kit and then to serotype level by Quellung reaction, using pneumococcal capsular antisera (kit and antisera from Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark). Isolates that gave no capsular reaction were sent to the Statens Serum Institute for further examination. From 2013/14 to 2018/19, respiratory *S. pneumoniae* were serotyped as per post-2005 bacteraemia isolates; WGS was not performed.

Analysis

Analysis was descriptive and largely graphical, using Stata 18.0 (StataCorp LLC: College Station, TX, USA) and Bischoff's colour vision-sensitive 'plotplainblind' graph scheme.³⁶ Missing data were excluded in the calculation of percentages. Missing data for patient characteristics, and details of a few exclusions from the BSAC MIC results owing to data anomalies, are noted in the Supplementary data of the related papers in this Supplement describing the results of the surveillance.^{4–8}

Serotype diversity, excluding untyped isolates, was described by the Gini–Simpson diversity index (1 minus Simpson's sum of squared probabilities),³⁷ calculated in Stata using the entropyetc package (N.J. Cox, 2024, http://fmwww.bc.edu/RePEc/bocode/e/).

Results and discussion

A total of 79 laboratories contributed 30 716 isolates from CA-LRTI from 1999/00 to 2018/19; 65 sites contributed 13 508 HA-LRTI isolates from 2008/09 to 2018/19; and 81 collecting laboratories contributed 56 064 isolates to the BSAC Bacteraemia Programme over the 19 years (2001–19). Site turnover for both programmes, LRTI and BSI, averaged two changes (range 0–5) each year for collections targeting 20–25 collecting laboratories and six (range 4–8) for those targeting 40, but 12 changes when the Central Laboratory changed in 2013/14.

The substantive results of the surveillance are described in five publications in this Supplement.⁴⁻⁸ The present discussion confines itself to the merits, challenges and limitations of the Project as a whole over its two-decade lifespan.

The advantages of centralized testing, compared with compilation of hospitals' routine susceptibility testing data (as in the UKHSA bacteraemia surveillances and EARS-net), ^{2,38,39} include (i) greater granularity, with MICs rather than simple susceptibility categorization, (ii) standardized testing with a consistent core panel of antibiotics, (iii) the ability to investigate unusual isolates in detail and (iv) the possibility of testing new and developmental agents. Limitations are discussed below under the headings of Scale and representativeness, Laboratory methods and data quality, Antibiotics tested and Resistance mechanisms and strain types.

Scale and representativeness

The main organism groups in the BSAC Bacteraemia Programme represented a very high proportion of the increasing number of bacteraemias (excluding those due to Treponema) reported to UKHSA by NHS-related laboratories in England (85% of approximately 59 200 in 2001, falling to 80% of 174 400 in 2019 and 80% of 215 200 in 2024, Figure S1). However, the number of isolates sampled and tested (2520–3377 annually, from 20–40 laboratories) was necessarily small compared with the national totals.^{2,39} This limitation of size reduced the power to detect subtle trends, led to more year-to-year 'noise' in resistance timetrend plots and made it extremely difficult to obtain a statistically representative sample of clinical isolates. The typical target sample was 250 isolates per organism group per year, but numbers were smaller for, e.g. species subgroups. The resulting variability in annual estimates of resistance prevalence is illustrated by simulation in Table S2 and Figures S2-S9. Other factors such as outbreaks, differences in resistance by collecting centre, centre turnover and experimental (laboratory) variation over time will all add to the variability.

Other limitations of scale and representativeness are subtler, but important. First, an issue arises because some species are easier to collect than others. In the Bacteraemia Programme, for example, target numbers of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* were reached early each year, with none collected later, meaning that any seasonal fluctuation in strain types and resistance would not be represented. Second, at its outset, the Project sought to include a representative mixture of institution types but gradually came to be dominated by tertiary hospital laboratories as these were the most reliable collectors. This bias was partly balanced by these sites coming to serve, and provide isolates from, increasing

numbers of smaller peripheral hospitals. Third, whilst the inclusion of two or three centres from each of Wales, Scotland, the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland over-represented these polities on a population basis, it was clearly insufficient to give a comprehensive picture of their resistance trends. EARS-net data suggest several differences in resistance trends between the UK and Ireland, based upon wider sampling in the latter country. For example, the fall in MRSA rates has been slower and less complete in Ireland (38%–43% of all bloodstream *S. aureus* between 2000 and 2005 and 12%–18% between 2015 and 2019) than in the UK (corresponding ranges 41%–47% versus 6%–11%). Similarly, there were too few centres per region within England for statistically robust inter-region comparison, though there are and have been periods when resistance—as with ESBL *E. coli*—has been geographically clustered. 40,41

For the Bacteraemia Programme, it was possible to compare BSAC results with collated national data for England, provided by the UKHSA as a bespoke and comprehensive data extract from the CDR module (formerly CoSurv/LabBase2) of their Second-Generation Surveillance System (SGSS) system.²,³⁹ This scheme has collected diagnostic laboratories' voluntarily submitted data for bacteraemia since the late 1980s, recording around 215 000 episodes in 2024. Its comprehensiveness has increased over time, with almost all NHS laboratories in England now participating; its data quality has also improved, reflecting wide adoption of MALDI-TOF for identification and better standardized (BSAC and EUCAST) susceptibility testing. Comparison to mandatory S. aureus/MRSA and E. coli bacteraemia surveillance suggests that, for these species, the proportions of isolates 'captured' rose from 82% in 2011 to 93% in 2019 for *S. aureus* and from 85% (2012) to 92% (2019) for E. coli. 40,42 These comparisons are extremely useful. Where the very different BSAC and UKHSA surveillances identify the same trend among bloodstream isolates (e.g. declining MRSA,⁵ or rising, then stabilizing, ESBL *E. coli*,⁶ confidence in the trend is increased.

The extract of national bacteraemia data was for England only, with no similar comparison available for Wales, Scotland, Northern Ireland or the Republic of Ireland. Moreover, there is no equivalent UKHSA routine data collection for respiratory isolates, and this lack of large-scale comparator is a limitation of the BSAC Respiratory Programme.

Limitations of the UKHSA bacteraemia database—despite its value as a comparator—include the following: (i) not all relevant antibiotics are routinely tested at all laboratories; (ii) the UKHSA dataset is poorly representative for recently licensed and second-line agents, though there have been substantial increases in routine testing of linezolid and daptomycin over time, ⁴³ (ii) some species identifications in the UKHSA data are suspect, particularly before the wide adoption of MALDI-TOF, with significant likely error rates (e.g. ampicillin-resistant enterococci reported as *Enterococcus faecalis*); and (iii) the UKHSA data are scored against contemporaneous, not current, susceptibility criteria, as used here for the BSAC data.²,³⁹

Laboratory methods and data quality

The adoption of MALDI-TOF in the latter half of the BSAC Project's surveillance period provided more precise identification of collected isolates. It does however beg the question of how many

earlier isolates might have been reassigned (principally to minor species) had they been subjected to this method.

Changes in taxonomy presented a further challenge, in particular, with the shift of *E. aerogenes* to the genus *Klebsiella*, as *K. aerogenes*. This directly impacted the denominator for the Bacteraemia Programme. Instead of ~200 *Enterobacter* with ~30 *E. aerogenes* per annum, its analysis includes fewer *Enterobacter* (principally *E. cloacae* group) and excess *Klebsiella*, making the collections more mismatched than intended. The Respiratory Programme was unaffected because Enterobacterales were collected as a single group.

BSAC agar dilution on Iso-Sensitest agar, as employed by the surveillance and summarized in Table S1, is an established technique but is decreasingly used nationally or internationally. It differs from the broth microdilution method advocated by CLSI and, subsequently, by EUCAST⁴⁴ in two important respects. First, Iso-Sensitest agar is less rich than Mueller–Hinton media, reducing bacterial growth. Second, a single pre-existing mutant cell may grow to give turbidity in broth, whereas it would yield a single, discounted colony in agar dilution. Changing to broth microdilution was repeatedly debated by the BSAC Working Party but was rejected as disruptive to data continuity.

Next, we chose to apply EUCAST version 12.0 breakpoints (January 2022),²² retrospectively, to all BSAC data rather than using contemporaneous breakpoints. This was clearly preferable to having different breakpoints for the same antibiotic in different periods but has several consequences. First, as already noted, it creates complexity in comparing BSAC results to UKHSA data. Second, although EUCAST aims to ensure that their breakpoints do not split a susceptible wild-type population, problems arise if raised MICs for a substantial proportion of isolates are adjacent to the breakpoint, especially if this is then changed. Throughout much of the testing period, whilst the piperacillin/tazobactam breakpoint for Enterobacterales was 16 + 4 mg/L, we recorded significant numbers of isolates with MICs of exactly that value. These scored as susceptible and, since control MICs were 'in range' and resistance rates did not look unusual, no repeat testing was undertaken. Subsequently, the piperacillin/tazobactam breakpoint was lowered to 8 + 4 mg/L (albeit, latterly, with 16 + 4 mg/L as an 'Area of Technical Uncertainty'), and these isolates moved to resistant, causing 'spikes' of resistance that would have been investigated, with retesting, had they been apparent at the time of the original testing. In retrospect, more weight should have been placed on control MICs being randomly scattered within acceptable ranges and clustered around their modes—as EUCAST now specifies—rather than simply being within range.²²

In the first six collection periods for the Project, an analysis of repeated MIC measurements showed reasonable reproducibility within each central laboratory, with 50% of repeated MIC measurements agreeing exactly, 90% within ± 1 dilution and 98% within ± 2 dilutions. We have theless, over 40% of repeated MIC measurements did not agree exactly, and this degree of experimental variation can be important, for example, if it substantially arises between experimental runs, rather than among isolates within a run. Typically, for annual collections of 200–250 isolates, a whole season's collection of a particular species were tested in only two or three runs, each of c. 90 isolates, over a short period. In these circumstances, between-run variation could translate

into experimental variation between seasons, increasing noise and, where MICs were close to breakpoint, giving spurious resistance spikes. 46 This issue was exemplified for MRSA collected in the Bacteraemia Programme between 2001 and 2007. 47 Longitudinal data suggested slight year-on-year upward creep for glycopeptide MICs. 48 However, re-tests, using the same central laboratory but with isolates from different years mixed between runs, demonstrated much less variability and failed to confirm the rising MICs over time. 48

More generally, testing drug combinations, specifically β -lactams/ β -lactamase inhibitor combinations, is technically more difficult than testing single agents. It is telling that they account for most of the instances where, for Enterobacterales, EUCAST allows an 'Area of Technical Uncertainty.' For co-amoxiclav, there is the further complication that EUCAST, and the BSAC Project, moved from testing with a 2:1 ratio to testing with a fixed 2 mg/L clavulanate. ⁴⁹ This creates a data discontinuity, for there is no way to review MICs obtained by the ratio method against breakpoints predicated upon a fixed clavulanate concentration.

Antibiotics tested

Next, it was not possible to maintain a continuous testing record for all the clinically important antibiotics selected as representatives. Some were only developed during the surveillance period, and inclusion of those in pre-authorization development or patent-protected exclusivity depended on sponsorship by their manufacturers, which could be intermittent (e.g. tigecycline). An antibiotic might drop out through lack of sponsorship, particularly after losing exclusivity, but be included again later owing to its growing clinical importance (e.g. daptomycin). The resulting gaps detract from longitudinal analysis. Other changes over time in the antibiotic testing panel complicated interpretation of mechanisms. For example, imipenem was tested as the representative carbapenem until meropenem lost exclusivity. Thereafter, meropenem, as the most used analogue, replaced imipenem. This created an issue for P. aeruginosa, where resistance to meropenem, involving both OprD loss and efflux, is more complex than for imipenem, which most often solely involves loss of OprD. 50

Resistance mechanisms and strain types

The identification and characterization of resistance mechanisms developed over time, with tests added, e.g. as CTX-M ESBLs and carbapenemases, gained importance.⁵¹ Initially, the process of identifying mechanisms was ad hoc but was increasingly formalized in the annual project protocols. In compiling this Supplement, we ran additional molecular tests on a few early isolates that were unusually resistant but had no mechanisms contemporaneously recorded. This included oxacillin-resistant S. aureus with no mecA recorded and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales with no carbapenemase gene sought. Constraints of funding precluded wide-ranging investigations of resistance mechanisms; the Project prioritized those perceived to be of greatest public health concern. Had it begun now, two decades later, much wider use would have been made of WGS. which has become progressively less expensive and more available.

Serotyping of S. pneumoniae was undertaken throughout the Bacteraemia Programme but, for the Respiratory Programme, was performed only for one early season (2005/06) and then consistently from 2013 to 2014 season. This precluded precise analysis of the early impact of conjugate vaccine deployment in CA-LRTI, during a period when there were dramatic serotype shifts in bacteraemia.⁴ Except for pneumococci, little typing was done, even though it is well known that (i) much of the MRSA problem in the UK reflected the dissemination of just two lineages, EMRSA-15 and EMRSA-16;⁵² (ii) much of the UK's problem with ESBL-producina *E. coli* reflects dissemination of ST131 variants;⁵¹ (iii) much of the carbapenem resistance in A. baumannii is (or was) linked with two lineages, OXA-23 Clones 1 and 2,⁵³ that spread among hospitals; and (iv) much of the high-level gentamicin resistance in *E. faecalis* in the early part of the present century was associated with two strains, both also highly resistant to fluoroguinolones.⁵⁴

A final limitation is that data collection and testing for this surveillance ended 6 years ago and that the subsequent period, until this Supplement, included the major hiatus of the COVID-19 pandemic. During the lockdowns and associated restrictions, there was a remarkable suppression of *S. pneumoniae* bacteraemias (and, putatively, pneumonias). There also was a c. 13% fall in the number of *E. coli* bacteraemias, perhaps because the patients who would have contracted these instead succumbed to COVID-19 or because those with bacteraemias succumbed without presenting for care.

Conclusions

The two BSAC Resistance Surveillance Programmes provided consistent and reliable information on antibiotic susceptibility in the UK and Ireland by using an adequate number of contributing centres and isolates, combined with standardized microbiological methods applied by a Central Laboratory, and suitable methods of statistical analysis. The information is more detailed than that available from routine data collections because MICs, not just S/I/R categories, are recorded for every organism and agent. The results from the Project are presented in five papers in this Supplement.^{3–8} The study database and isolate collections are now curated by Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, and the University of St Andrews and are available for further academic research, as outlined in the 'Legacy' paper in this Supplement.⁹

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the laboratory staff at UKHSA (formerly PHLS, HPA, PHE) and LGC Ltd (formerly GR Micro Ltd, Quotient Bioresearch Ltd) who, over 20 years, undertook the collection and testing of these isolates. We are grateful also to the staff in the sentinel laboratories that collected and submitted isolates; many sites stayed with us for long periods. We are grateful to all the companies that have sponsored the BSAC Resistance Surveillance Project during its lifespan and all who guided the Project by serving on the BSAC Resistance Surveillance Standing Committee. Particular thanks are due to Prof Richard Wise, who did much to establish the Project; to Dr Derek Brown, who served on the Standing Committee throughout the duration of the Project; and to Prof Alasdair MacGowan, who succeeded Richard Wise as its Chairman. R.R. has received grant funding from the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy and

also acknowledges support from the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Behavioural Science and Evaluation at the University of Bristol.

Funding

This paper was published as part of a supplement supported by the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy.

Transparency declarations

M.A. is a Trustee of the BSAC Council and is employed by MSD (UK) Limited, London, UK. M.A. has shareholdings in Merck & Co., Inc., Rahway, NJ, USA. S.M., O.N. and R.H. are employees of the UKHSA. R.H. and O.N. work for the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Division and have no conflict of interest to declare. S.M. works for the Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections Reference Unit (AMRHAI), which has received financial support for conference attendance, research projects or contracted evaluations from numerous sources, including Accelerate Diagnostics, Allecra Therapeutics, AstraZeneca UK Ltd, AusDiagnostics, Basilea Pharmaceutica, Becton Dickinson Diagnostics, bioMérieux, Bio-Rad Laboratories, BSAC, Cepheid, Check-Points B.V., UK Department of Health, Enigma Diagnostics, Food Standards Agency, GlaxoSmithKline Services Ltd. Helperby Therapeutics. Henry Stewart Talks, IHMA Ltd, Innovate UK, Integra holdings, MSD, Meiji Seika Pharma Co. Ltd, Mobidiag, Momentum Biosciences Ltd, Neem Biotech, Nordic Pharma Ltd, Norgine Pharmaceuticals, Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Smith & Nephew UK Ltd, Shionogi & Co. Ltd, Trius Therapeutics, VenatoRx Pharmaceuticals and Wockhardt Ltd. C.H. was employed by Una Health Limited and QuantuMDx Group Limited during the period of data analysis and manuscript preparation. C.L. is a Trustee of the BSAC Council and is employed by Shionogi B.V. B.J.P.: consultancy: GenPax. D.M.L.: advisory boards or ad hoc consultancy: AdjuTec, AstraZeneca, Centauri, GenPax, GSK, Lipovation, Meiji, Paion, Shionogi, Sumitovant, Thermo Fisher and Wockhardt; paid lectures: bioMérieux, Pfizer, Shionogi and Zuellig Pharma; and relevant shareholdings or options: GenPax, GSK, Merck & Co Inc and Revvity amounting to less than 10% of portfolio value. D.M.L. also has shareholdings in Arecor, Genedrive, GENinCode, Probiotix, Rua Life Sciences, SkinBioTherapeutics and Verici Dx (all with research/products pertinent to medicines or diagnostics) through Enterprise Investment Schemes, but has no authority to trade these holdings directly. All other authors have nothing to declare.

Supplementary data

Figures S1–S9 and Tables S1 and S2 are available as Supplementary data at $\it JAC$ Online.

References

- **1** White AR; BSAC Working Parties on Resistance Surveillance. The British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy resistance surveillance project: a successful collaborative model. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62** (Suppl 2): ii3–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn348
- **2** Reacher MH, Shah A, Livermore DM *et al.* Bacteraemia and antibiotic resistance of its pathogens reported in England and Wales between 1990 and 1998: trend analysis. *BMJ* 2000; **320**: 213–6. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7229.213
- **3** MacGowan AP, Reynolds R, Parcell BJ *et al.* Two decades of resistance surveillance by the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy: design, development, delivery, deficiencies and future directions. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv2-iv6.

- **4** Horner C, Reynolds R, Mushtaq S *et al.* Trends of serotypes and resistance among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in the UK and Ireland (1999–2019). *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv72–iv86.
- **5** Reynolds R, Mushtaq S, Hope R *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance among Gram-positive agents of bacteraemia in the UK and Ireland: trends from 2001 to 2019. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv22-iv35.
- **6** Reynolds R, Mushtaq S, Hope R *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance among Gram-negative agents of bacteraemia in the UK and Ireland: trends from 2001 to 2019. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv36-iv48.
- **7** Reynolds R, Felmingham D, Mushtaq S *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance among agents of community-associated lower respiratory tract infection in the UK and Ireland: trends from 1999/2000 to 2018/2019. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv60-iv71.
- **8** Reynolds R, Morrissey I, Mushtaq S *et al.* Antimicrobial resistance among agents of hospital-acquired lower respiratory tract infection in the UK and Ireland: trends from 2008/2009 to 2018/2019. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv49-iv59.
- **9** Parcell BJ, Horner C, Reynolds R *et al.* Applications and benefits of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy Resistance Surveillance Project legacy and future. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2025; **80** (Suppl 4): iv87–iv95.
- **10** Adeolu M, Alnajar S, Naushad S *et al.* Genome-based phylogeny and taxonomy of the 'Enterobacteriales': proposal for Enterobacterales ord. nov. divided into the families Enterobacteriaceae, Erwiniaceae fam. nov., Pectobacteriaceae fam. nov., Yersiniaceae fam. nov., Hafniaceae fam. nov., Morganellaceae fam. nov., and Budviciaceae fam. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2016; **66**: 5575–99. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0. 001485
- **11** Tindall BJ, Sutton G, Garrity GM. Enterobacter aerogenes Hormaeche and Edwards 1960 (approved lists 1980) and Klebsiella mobilis Bascomb et al. 1971 (approved lists 1980) share the same nomenclatural type (ATCC 13048) on the approved lists and are homotypic synonyms, with consequences for the name Klebsiella mobilis Bascomb et al. 1971 (approved lists 1980). Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2017; **67**: 502–4. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001572
- **12** UKHSA. 2025. Laboratory reporting to UKHSA: A guide for diagnostic laboratories. UK Health Security Agency. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/680f7e9611d566056bcae966/laboratory-reporting-to-UKHSA-a-guide-for-diagnostic-laboratories-april-2025.pdf.
- **13** Pathologists RCo. UK Standards for Microbiology Investigations Royal College of Pathologist 2025. https://www.rcpath.org/profession/publications/standards-for-microbiology-investigations.html.
- **14** IATA. Dangerous Goods Regulations. International Air Transport Association2023. https://www.iata.org/contentassets/6fea26dd84d24b 26a7a1fd5788561d6e/dgr-64-en-2.3.a.pdf.
- **15** Woodford N, Ellington MJ, Coelho JM *et al.* Multiplex PCR for genes encoding prevalent OXA carbapenemases in *Acinetobacter* spp. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2006; **27**: 351–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.01.004
- **16** La Scola B, Gundi VA, Khamis A *et al.* Sequencing of the rpoB gene and flanking spacers for molecular identification of *Acinetobacter* species. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; **44**: 827–32. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.44.3.827-832 2006
- **17** Gribaldo S, Cookson B, Saunders N *et al.* Rapid identification by specific PCR of coagulase-negative staphylococcal species important in hospital infection. *J Med Microbiol* 1997; **46**: 45–53. https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-46-1-45
- **18** Dutka-Malen S, Evers S, Courvalin P. Detection of glycopeptide resistance genotypes and identification to the species level of clinically relevant enterococci by PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995; **33**: 24–7. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.33.1.24-27.1995

- CDC. Identification of Other *Streptococcus* Species: Streptococcus General Methods Centers for Disease Control and Prevention2006. http://medbox.iiab.me/modules/en-cdc/www.cdc.gov/streplab/strep-doc/general-methods-section2.html#modalIdString_table04.
- Parte AC, Sardà Carbasse J, Meier-Kolthoff JP *et al.* List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (LPSN) moves to the DSMZ. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020; **70**: 5607–12. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0. 004332
- Andrews JM. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2001; **48 Suppl 1**: 5–16. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/48.suppl_1.5
- EUCAST. 2022. EUCAST Breakpoints Version 12 2022. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_12.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf.
- Livermore DM, Winstanley TG, Shannon KP. Interpretative reading: recognizing the unusual and inferring resistance mechanisms from resistance phenotypes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2001; **48 Suppl 1**: 87–102. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/48.suppl_1.87
- **24** Woodford N, Fagan EJ, Ellington MJ. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding CTX-M extended-spectrum β-lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; **57**: 154–5. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki412
- **25** Greenwood B, Meunier D, Hopkins KL *et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sequence type 357 with VEB extended-spectrum β -lactamases in the UK: relatedness and resistance. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2018; **52**: 301–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2018.03.013
- **26** Ranellou K, Kadlec K, Poulou A *et al.* Detection of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates of the international clonal complex 11 carrying the blaPER-1 extended-spectrum β -lactamase gene in Greece. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; **67**: 357–61. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr471
- **27** Pérez-Pérez FJ, Hanson ND. Detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamase genes in clinical isolates by using multiplex PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; **40**: 2153–62. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.6.2153-2162.2002
- Ellington MJ, Findlay J, Hopkins KL *et al.* Multicentre evaluation of a real-time PCR assay to detect genes encoding clinically relevant carbapenemases in cultured bacteria. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2016; **47**: 151–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.11.013
- Ellington MJ, Kistler J, Livermore DM *et al.* Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding acquired metallo-β-lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; **59**: 321–2. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkl481
- Meunier D, Woodford N, Hopkins KL. Evaluation of the AusDiagnostics MT CRE EU assay for the detection of carbapenemase genes and transferable colistin resistance determinants mcr-1/-2 in MDR Gram-negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2018; **73**: 3355–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky347
- **31** Bignardi GE, Woodford N, Chapman A *et al.* Detection of the mec-A gene and phenotypic detection of resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates with borderline or low-level methicillin resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1996; **37**: 53–63. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/37.1.53
- Geha DJ, Uhl JR, Gustaferro CA *et al.* Multiplex PCR for identification of methicillin-resistant staphylococci in the clinical laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 1994; **32**: 1768–72. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.32.7.1768-1772.1994
- Woodford N, Watson AP, Patel S *et al.* Heterogeneous location of the mupA high-level mupirocin resistance gene in *Staphylococcus aureus. J Med Microbiol* 1998; **47**: 829–35. https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-47-9-829
- Waight PA, Andrews NJ, Ladhani SN *et al.* Effect of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on invasive pneumococcal disease in England and Wales 4 years after its introduction: an observational cohort

- study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; **15**: 535–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70044-7
- Kapatai G, Sheppard CL, Al-Shahib A *et al.* Whole genome sequencing of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: development, evaluation and verification of targets for serogroup and serotype prediction using an automated pipeline. *PeerJ* 2016; **4**: e2477. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2477
- Bischoff D. BLINDSCHEMES: Stata Module to Provide Graph Schemes Sensitive to Color Vision Deficiency. Statistical Software Components S458251: Boston College Department of Economics, 2020. https://ideas.repec.org/cqi-bin/refs.cqi.
- Simpson E. Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 1947; **163**: 688. https://doi.org/10.1038/163688a0
- EARS-Net. Antimicrobial resistance in the EU/EEA (EARS-Net): European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/about-us/networks/disease-networks-and-laboratory-networks/ears-net-data.
- Wilson J, Elgohari S, Livermore DM et al. Trends among pathogens reported as causing bacteraemia in England, 2004–2008. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; **17**: 451–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03262.x
- White RT, Bull MJ, Barker CR *et al.* Genomic epidemiology reveals geographical clustering of multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* ST131 associated with bacteraemia in Wales. *Nat Commun* 2024; **15**: 1371. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-45608-1
- **41** Day MJ, Hopkins KL, Wareham DW *et al.* Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in human-derived and foodchain-derived samples from England, Wales, and Scotland: an epidemiological surveillance and typing study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2019; **19**: 1325–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30273-7
- UKHSA. 2024. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and resistance (ESPAUR) Report 2022 to 2023. UK Health Security Agency. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/6734e208b613efc3f1823095/ESPAUR-report-2023-2024.pdf.
- Horner C, Mushtaq S, Allen M *et al.* Replacement of *Enterococcus faecalis* by *Enterococcus faecium* as the predominant enterococcus in UK bacteraemias. *JAC Antimicrob Resist* 2021; **3**: dlab185. https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlab185
- European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID). EUCAST definitive document E.DEF 3.1, June 2000: determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antibacterial agents by agar dilution. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2023; **6**: 509–15. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2000.00142.x
- Reynolds R, Hope R, Williams L; BSAC Working Parties on Resistance Surveillance. Survey, laboratory and statistical methods for the BSAC Resistance Surveillance Programmes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62 Suppl 2**: ii15–28. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn349
- Reynolds R, Lambert PC, Burton PR; BSAC Extended Working Parties on Resistance Surveillance: analysis, power and design of antimicrobial resistance surveillance studies, taking account of inter-centre variation and turnover. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; **62 Suppl 2**: ii29–39. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn350
- Pearson A, Chronias A, Murray M. Voluntary and mandatory surveillance for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and methicillinsusceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA) bacteraemia in England. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; **64** Suppl 1: i11–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp260
- Reynolds R, Hope R, Warner M et al. Lack of upward creep of glycopeptide MICs for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated in the UK and Ireland 2001–07–authors' response. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; **68**: 1693–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkt119
- **49** Reynolds R, Mushtaq S, Allen M *et al.* Impact of changed co-amoxiclav susceptibility testing formats on apparent resistance rates for

bloodstream *Escherichia coli* in a long-term surveillance. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2022; **77**: 1206–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkab483

- **50** Livermore DM. Of *Pseudomonas*, porins, pumps and carbapenems. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2001; **47**: 247–50. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/47.3.247
- **51** PHE. 2019. Laboratory surveillance of *Escherichia coli* bacteraemia in England, Wales and Northern Ireland 2018: Health Protection Report 18 October 2019. Public Health England. Contract No.: 37. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5dc18e87ed915d1cfe538e5f/hpr3719_ecoli18.pdf.
- **52** Johnson AP, Pearson A, Duckworth G. Surveillance and epidemiology of MRSA bacteraemia in the UK. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2005; **56**: 455–62. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki266
- **53** Coelho JM, Turton JF, Kaufmann ME *et al.* Occurrence of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clones at multiple hospitals in London and Southeast England. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006; **44**: 3623–7. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00699-06

- **54** Woodford N, Reynolds R, Turton J et al. Two widely disseminated strains of *Enterococcus faecalis* highly resistant to gentamicin and ciprofloxacin from bacteraemias in the UK and Ireland. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003; **52**: 711–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkg408
- **55** Bertran M, D'Aeth JC, Abdullahi F *et al.* Invasive pneumococcal disease 3 years after introduction of a reduced 1 + 1 infant 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine immunisation schedule in England: a prospective national observational surveillance study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2024; **24**: 546–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00706-5
- **56** UKHSA. 2022. Quarterly epidemiological commentary: Mandatory Gram-negative bacteraemia, MRSA, MSSA and *C. difficile* infections (data up to January to March 2023): United Kingdom Health Security Agency. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/mrsa-mssa-gram-negative-bacteraemia-and-cdi-quarterly-report/quarterly-epidemiological-commentary-mandatory-gram-negative-bacteraemia-mrsa-mssa-and-cdifficile-infections-data-up-to-january-to-march-2023.